

## Throw-rate variations within linkage zones during the growth of normal faults: Case studies from the Western Volcanic Zone, Iceland

Francesco Iezzi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Gerald Roberts<sup>a</sup>, Joanna Faure Walker<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street, London, WC1E 7HX, UK

<sup>b</sup> Institute for Risk and Disaster Reduction, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

### ABSTRACT

This work investigates how throw-rates vary within fault bends and sites of fault linkage during the process of normal fault growth. In the Western Volcanic Zone, Iceland, through detailed field mapping and field measurements of fault throws, normal faults are mapped and along-strike throw profiles are constructed in order to understand how the throw-rates relate with the local fault geometry along faults at different stages of linkage. The results show that throw-rates increase within linkage zones and propagating fault bends independently from the stage of maturity of the fault bend. This implies that 1) the relationship between the local fault geometry and the along-strike distribution of throw-rate is driven by the deeper part of the fault, where established fault bends start propagating to the surface; 2) faults grow first by linkage and coalescence of separate faults, and then by accumulation of slip on the resultant fault, in agreement with models of fault growth by linkage and coalescence; 3) incipient fault bends can produce uncertainty associated with palaeoseismological results, if fault bends remain unrecognised. Moreover, this work demonstrates that existing models showing increased co-seismic and throw-rates within fault bends and sites of fault linkage found in continental extensional settings are valid in a geodynamic context of a mid-oceanic rifts.

### 1. Introduction

The growth of along-strike fault bends on normal faults can be described as a consequence of the linkage of either originally isolated fault segments during fault growth processes (isolated fault model) or individual fault segments that grow as kinematically related components of a fault array (coherent fault model) (e.g. MacLeod et al., 2000; Manfield and Cartwright, 2001; Manfield and Kattenhorn, 2001; Jackson et al., 2002; Walsh et al., 2003; Gawthorpe et al., 2003; Tentler and Mazzoli, 2005; Villedon and Bergerat, 2013; Rotevatn et al., 2018). Fault growth models show that once an echelon fault segments are linked, and a fault bend is established to link the faults, the throw-rates along the newly formed fault increase to re-establish the displacement-length scaling following the length increase (e.g. Cowie and Roberts, 2001). Moreover, field measurements have highlighted the occurrence of throw and throw-rate enhancements within along-strike fault bends that form at sites of fault linkage in response to local anomalies in strike and dip within the bend, especially where the fault dip value is relatively high and the horizontal strain-rate is maintained (Fig. 1a; Faure Walker et al., 2009; Wilkinson et al., 2015; Mildon et al., 2016; Iezzi et al., 2018; Iezzi et al., 2019). These findings are supported by theoretical studies showing that the vertical offset (throw) on a normal fault is controlled by the local fault geometry and the extension



that the fault must accommodate at that location (Fig. 1b; Faure Walker et al., 2009, 2010). However, there is a lack of studies describing in detail whether this relationship applies also during the fault growth and the propagation of the fault bends. Therefore, to expand our knowledge on how faulting develops within along-strike fault bends, we need to study how the throw and the throw rate within fault bends evolve during the propagation and establishment of fault bends throughout the process of fault growth.

The Western Volcanic Zone (WVZ) in Iceland (Fig. 2) has been widely studied in order to understand the mechanisms of fault growth because it is characterized by the continuous propagation of active faults upwards through subsequent lava flows (Gudmundsson, 1987, 1992, 2000; Saemundsson, 1992; Acocella et al., 2000; Bull et al., 2003; Grant and Kattenhorn, 2004; Sinton et al., 2005; Friese, 2008; Sonnette et al., 2010; Villedon and Bergerat, 2013; Tripanera et al., 2015; Weismuller et al., 2019). Different models of fault bend growth in this region have been proposed, explaining the development of bends as fault segments growing to connect two principal en-echelon faults (e.g. Acocella et al., 2000), and as a response to local perturbations of the stress field in the region of the bend (Grant and Kattenhorn, 2004). However, these previously published models did not use time constraints in order to understand the evolution of the throw-rate within the fault bend during its onset and development. Furthermore, although normal faults within the


\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [francesco.iezzi.15@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:francesco.iezzi.15@ucl.ac.uk) (F. Iezzi).


(a) Natural dataset of large coseismic throw and steeper fault dip within along-strike fault bends, Mt. Vettore 2016 earthquakes (Central Italy) (modified after Iezzi et al., 2018)




(b) Theoretical studies showing effect of fault strike and dip across the fault bend on throw-rate, assuming constant principal strain-rate (modified after Faure Walker et al., 2009)



(c) Interplay between resurfacing lava flows and active faults



**Fig. 1.** Background literature on the relationship between the local fault geometry and the along-strike distribution of throw and throw-rate. a) Natural example from the 2016 seismic sequence in Central Italy, which ruptured twice the same portion of the Mt. Vettore fault, of steeper fault dip and large coseismic throws within an along-strike fault bend, when compared to values immediately outside the fault bend (modified after Iezzi et al., 2018). b) Theoretical studies showing how a variation of fault strike and dip within along-strike fault bends affect the value of throw rates within the bend (modified from Faure Walker et al., 2009). c) Diagrams showing the interplay between fault activity and resurfacing lavas (modified from Podolsky and Roberts, 2008).




**Fig. 2.** Location map of the study areas. a) Regional setting of Iceland. In red are highlighted the neovolcanic zones, centre of the continental spreading (modified after Wolfe et al., 1997; Bergerat and Angelier, 2000; Einarsson, 2008). Black arrows indicate the direction of regional extension (after LaFemina et al., 2005). b) Map of the WVZ, with highlighted the Thingvellir rift and the Hengill volcanic complex. c) Map of the Thingvellir rift. In red are the faults subject of this study, in black are other principal faults of the Thingvellir rift (defined with our fieldwork and modified after Gudmundsson, 1987; Saemundsson, 1992; Sinton et al., 2005; Sonnette et al., 2010). Lava units are after Sinton et al. (2005). d) Map of the Hengill volcanic complex. In red are the faults studied within this paper, in black are other principal faults. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

WVZ have been widely studied (e.g. Gudmundsson, 1987; Friese, 2008; Sonnette et al., 2010), none of them have analysed the relationship between the local fault geometry and the distribution of throw and throw-rates along the strike of the fault.

In this paper we study faults in the Thingvellir rift and the Hengill volcanic complex, located in the Western Volcanic Zone (WVZ) in Iceland, through detailed field mapping and measurements of the throw along the strike of the faults. Due to ongoing propagation through young lavas, these faults preserve a variety of different stages of fault linkage maturity (Fig. 1c). These faults allow us to examine a spectrum of cases

from where the principal fault segments are not physically connected (soft-linkage) to cases where the principal fault segments are connected with well-established fault bends (hard-linkage). We describe what observations of these different geometries suggest for the evolution of throw and throw-rates during the development of along-strike fault bends. We discuss the implications of these findings for the processes of fault growth and for the interpretation of palaeoseismological studies. Furthermore, at the time of writing the relationships between the local non-planar fault geometry and the along-strike distribution of throw has been observed only within zones of continental extension (Faure Walker



**Fig. 3.** Cartoons of the field measurements. a) Cartoon of the Almannagja fault. It shows the presence of an inner graben and a prominent monocline connecting footwall and hangingwall. The throw has been measured as the vertical distance between the inflection point and the top of the footwall. b) Cartoon of the extension fractures located within the footwall of the fault in the linkage zones between the principal fault segments of the Almannagja fault. c) Cartoon of the field measurements on WDF1, WDF2, WDF3. It shows that these faults are characterized by a dilatational component between hangingwall and footwall. The throw has been measured as the vertical distance between the hangingwall and the footwall. d) Cartoon of the field measurements on WDF4 and Hengill faults. The faults present a prominent fault scarp with slope deposits on it. The throw has been measured reconstructing the geometry of the erosional surfaces on the hangingwall and footwall.

et al., 2009, 2015; Iezzi et al., 2018). The study of faults in Iceland's WVZ allows us to test if the local non-planar fault geometry exerts a control on the along-strike distribution of throw also in a geodynamic context of a plate boundary such as the Mid Atlantic Ridge.

## 2. Geological background

Iceland is located on a plateau generated by the intersection between the Mid-Atlantic Ridge and a mantle plume, which caused locally thick oceanic crust, a broad topographic high and the emergence of the oceanic ridge (Fig. 2a; Wolfe et al., 1997). In Iceland, the Mid-Atlantic Ridge is characterized by two main rift segments: the first is located on the western side of the island, composed by the Reykanes Ridge (RR) and the Western Volcanic zones (WNZ); the second is located on the eastern side of the island, composed by the Eastern Volcanic Zone (EVZ) and the Northern Volcanic Zone (NVZ) (Fig. 2a). These two main segments are connected by the South Iceland Seismic Zone (SISZ) and the Central Iceland Volcanic Zone (CIVZ) (Fig. 2a). Those volcanic zones, which represent the centre of spreading across the plate boundary, consist of a complex system formed by central volcanoes, fissure swarms 5–20 km wide and 10s to >100 km long, and fault rift systems that strike approximately normal to the spreading direction (Einarsson, 2008). Transform zones, such as the SISZ, are areas where the plate boundary runs parallel to the spreading direction and the deformation is accommodated by distributed faulting, rather than being confined to a single transform fault (Bergerat and Angelier, 2000; Einarsson, 2008).

GPS observations show that the overall spreading rate across Iceland is about 18–20 mm/yr and it is not equally distributed across the different Mid Atlantic Ridge segments (Fig. 2a; LaFemina et al., 2005; Perlt and Heinert, 2006; Geirsson et al., 2010). Spreading rates across the WVZ vary between 3 and 8 mm/yr, with an overall decrease towards the NE (LaFemina et al., 2005; Perlt and Heinert, 2006; Geirsson et al., 2010). Spreading rates across the EVZ vary between 11 and 19 mm/yr, with an overall increase towards the NE (LaFemina et al., 2005).


The Thingvellir rift and the Hengill volcanic complex, which are both part of the WVZ, occur north and south of the Thingvallavatn lake, a

large catchment produced by extensive subsidence within the rift zone (Fig. 2b; Saemundsson, 1992; Bull et al., 2003). The Thingvellir rift is a narrow rift system trending about N30°, expressed at the surface by a series of normal faults and extension fractures striking mostly sub-parallel to the trend of the WVZ on both sides of the rift (Fig. 2c; Gudmundsson, 1987; Grant and Kattenhorn, 2004; Friese, 2008; Sonnette et al., 2010). At Thingvellir, faults propagate through post-glacial Holocene lava flows which have filled the rift valley in the last ~10 kyr (Fig. 2c; Saemundsson, 1992; Sinton et al., 2005). The Hengill Volcanic complex is characterized by a central volcano and a dense set of normal faults striking about N30° and dissecting Pleistocene basaltic lava flows and hyaloclastites deposits, with extensive activity also during the Holocene (Fig. 2d; Gudmundsson, 1995; Friese, 2008).

## 3. Methods

We carried detailed field mapping in order to produce structural fault maps and densely-spaced (measurements collected every ~50 m) along-strike throw and throw-rate profiles for (1) the Almannagja fault, together with a study of the evolution of the footwall drainage following fault linkage; (2) for four W-dipping faults distributed within and on the eastern flank of the Thingvellir rift; (3) for three normal faults within the Hengill volcanic complex, where we produced also a map of the offsets of a glacial erosion surface preserved during the demise of the glaciation (Fig. 2).

Structural fault maps in Thingvellir and Hengill have been produced combining published maps (Gudmundsson, 1987; Saemundsson, 1992; Sinton et al., 2005; Sonnette et al., 2010), Google Earth imagery and our own fieldwork. Fault scarp profiles were constructed using a *Trupulse 360R*® laser range finder, which allows us to measure the azimuth, the vertical distance and the horizontal distance between the observer and the point of interest with an accuracy of ±30 cm per single measurement. Fault scarp profiles were constructed by hitting a dense distribution of clear reflectors with the laser (e.g. outcropping surfaces of basaltic lava flows) distributed along the same azimuth, recording the relative vertical and horizontal distances of each measurement from the




**Fig. 4.** Field photos of the Almannagja fault. a) Northward view of the fault. It shows the presence of different fault segments, linked by extension fractures in the footwall; the presence of an inner graben-like structure, delimited westward by sets of antithetic faults; a prominent monocline which connects the footwall to the hangingwall. b) Hangingwall view of the Almannagja fault. It shows how the fault and the monocline are continuous along the strike. c) Across-strike view of the Almannagja fault showing the direction across which the profiles were constructed. d) View inside the inner graben. It highlights the presence of extension fractures linking en-echelon principal segments and the presence of antithetic faults. e) View of the southern sector of the Almannagja fault. It shows that the inflection line is below the lake level, and therefore it was not possible to collect measurements in this sector of the fault. f) View of the southern tip of the Almannagja fault. It shows how the fault is characterized by extension fractures, across which the vertical offset is less than 1 m.

observer. The vertical and horizontal distances have been plotted in order to reconstruct a fault scarp profile that allows the fault throw to be measured (Fig. 3a and b). The scarp profiles are built normal to the fault strike, so to avoid effects of apparent dip.

In Thingvellir, most faults and extension fractures exhibit a dilatational component between the footwall and hangingwall (Fig. 3), so measurements of the vertical component of slip have been used to differentiate between faults and extensional fractures. Faults are defined as fractures with more than 1 m of vertical slip; extension fractures are defined as fractures with a vertical slip less than 1 m. For the


Almannagja fault, which shows a prominent monocline in the hangingwall, we constructed serial fault scarp profiles with an along-strike spacing of about 50 m (Fig. 3a and b). Where it was not possible to take measurements across the monocline, measurements of the distance between the observer and (1) the inflection point at the base of the monocline (i.e. the point where the hangingwall starts to bend towards the footwall), (2) the top of the monocline and (3) the top of the footwall were collected (Fig. 3a and b). The throw is defined as the vertical distance between the inflection point at the base of the monocline and the top of the footwall (Fig. 3a and b). Offsets across the southern part of the




**Fig. 5.** Structural map of the Almannagja fault. In red is the principal trace of the Almannagja fault. In blue are extensional fractures, in pale blue is the inflection line of the monocline. The location of the Wdf1 and Wdf2 (thinner red and blue lines) are shown. In black are other faults of the Thingvellir rift. Geological units are from Saemundsson (1992), and Sinton et al. (2005). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

fault could not be measured because the inflection of the monocline is below the lake level (Figs. 4e and 5). For the W-dipping faults 1 and 2 (thereafter referred as Wdf1 and Wdf2), the throw was defined as the vertical offset between the top of the hangingwall and top of the footwall (Fig. 3c). For the W-dipping fault 3 (thereafter referred as Wdf3), which is part of the Hrafnagja fault (*sensu* Gudmundsson, 1987), due to dense

vegetation and the difficulty of constructing across-fault profiles, the throws have been derived by building along-strike topographic profiles of the tops of the hangingwall and of the footwall from one observation point and then measuring the relative vertical distance between the two profiles (Fig. 3c). The W-dipping fault 4 (thereafter referred as Wdf4), which is part of the Gildruholtsgja fault (*sensu* Gudmundsson, 1987),



**Fig. 6.** Detailed structural map of the Almannagja fault. This figure shows in detail the geometry and the structure of the Almannagja fault. Locations of a), b), c) and d) are shown in Fig. 5. In red are the principal fault segments, in blue are the extension fractures, in orange are the antithetic faults, and in pale blue is the inflection line of the monocline. In white are the offset measurements with a complete profile of the monocline. In yellow are offset measurements without a profile of the monocline. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)



**Fig. 7.** Along-strike throw profile of the Almannagja fault. Measurements of the top of the footwall and of the top of the monocline have been normalized for the inflection line. Therefore, measurements of the top of the footwall represent the throw of the fault. In green is the range of the throw-rates of the fault, calculated for the time constraint of 9 and 10.2 ka (from the age of dissected lava units, Sinton et al., 2005). Our suggested principal segmentation of the Almannagja fault is shown. Note that the throw and throw-rates increase within an along-strike fault bend. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

does not show a dilatational component between footwall and hangingwall, and the throw is measured with across-strike fault scarp profiles as the vertical offset of the footwall and the hangingwall surfaces across the fault scarp (Fig. 3d). For the Thingvellir rift, throw-rates are calculated using time constraints from ages of the lava flows displaced by the faults (Figs. 2b, 5 and 10; from Sinton et al., 2005). The age constraints of the lava flows, dated between  $\sim 10200$  and  $\sim 8200$  years BP, are derived combining  $^{14}\text{C}$ -dating, tephrochronology and chemical analyses from major and trace elements (Figs. 4 and 5; Sinton et al., 2005).

For Hengill, a set of W-dipping normal faults has been mapped in detail, together with a dissected subglacial erosional surface, produced by the erosive action of the glacier on the landforms beneath it. Serial fault scarp profiles have been constructed across the normal faults, and the throw in each profile has been constrained measuring the offset between subglacial erosional surfaces in the hangingwall and footwall (Fig. 3d). For Hengill, the cosmogenic exposure ages of these erosional surfaces were used as a constraint to calculate the throw rate of the faults (Licciardi et al., 2007). We do this because we assume that the erosive process of a moving glacier would not have allowed preservation of fault scarps, and therefore these started to be preserved only once the deglaciation occurred, causing the exposure of the eroded surfaces. Measurements of the cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  concentrations in olivine phenocrysts, collected from different erupted basaltic lava caps of table mountains located within the WVZ, show that the exposure age of these lava flows occurred when the ice melted during the deglaciation between 7.2 ka and 13 ka (from Licciardi et al., 2007). Hence, we can infer an exposure age of the subglacial erosional surfaces in Hengill comprised between 7.2 ka and 13 ka. This time range is used to constrain the fault throw-rates for Hengill.

We built along-strike throw and throw rate profiles for each fault projecting the throw measurements along the principal strike of the fault, obtained using strike lines (Figs. 7, 11 and 14). The along-strike profiles are compared with the fault traces to examine whether the local fault geometry affects the distribution of throw and throw-rates along the fault.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Thingvellir rift


#### 4.1.1. Almannagja fault

The Almannagja fault is a complex fault system about 7.1 km long with a dip towards the SE and strike of  $\text{N}033^\circ$ . It comprises linked fault segments, up to 1 km long, arranged with end-on and en-echelon distributions (Figs. 4–6). The Almannagja fault is characterized by a








vertical footwall scarp and a broad monocline which connects the footwall with the rift valley (Fig. 3a, b, 4a, 4b, 4c, 4e, 5 and 6). An inner graben-like structure developed between the footwall and the monocline with minor antithetic faults that delimit the SE flank of the graben (Fig. 3a, b, 4a, 4d, 4e, 5 and 6). In some places along the fault, the monocline is dissected by multiple antithetic faults (Figs. 3a, 4a, 4d, 5 and 6). The fault segments distributed with en-echelon arrangements are, in most cases, linked by extension fractures propagating through the footwall of the fault (Figs. 3b, 4a, 4d, 5 and 6). We interpret these fractures as the palaeoterminations of the principal fault segments prior to fault linkage (palaeo-tips, *sensu* McLeod et al., 2002). Our observations suggest that the shape of the monocline reflects the segmentation of the Almannagja fault. Where the fault segments of the Almannagja fault are longer and more continuous, the inflection line of the monocline is continuous and consistent along the strike of the fault (Figs. 5–7). Where the single faults are shorter and less continuous, the inflection line becomes less regular and moves closer to the fault trace (Figs. 5–7). The tips of the Almannagja fault are characterized by the base monocline inflection line approaching or joining the fault, a decrease of the height of the vertical fault scarp and of the monocline and by a predominance of extension fractures (Figs. 4f, 5 and 6).

The along-strike throw profile of the Almannagja fault has a multi-humped shape, with an overall increase of throw towards the south (Fig. 7). The maximum measured throw is about 39 m, located in what appears to be the central part of the entire Almannagja fault. The comparison between the multi-humped throw profile and the fault geometry allows us to identify three principal fault segments of the overall Almannagja fault (Fig. 7). A well-defined along-strike fault bend links two of the main segments of the Almannagja fault, herein called Segment 1 and Segment 2, accommodating an overall right step of the fault trace in a position where a palaeo-tip indicated the presence of a former relay zone (Figs. 6b and 7). Within the fault bend the throw anomalously increases, causing the throw profile of the Segment 2 to have a double peak of about 31 m. Segment 2 and Segment 3 are soft-linked in a left en-echelon arrangement (Fig. 7). Because of the vegetation, it was not possible to obtain measurements across the southern part of Segment 3, and therefore it is not clear how the throw changes along the fault in that location. Other smaller bends exist along the fault, connecting single small fault segments, but the resolution of the throw measurements (every 50 m along strike) does not allow us to study variations of throw across bends shorter than this distance. The width of the monocline confirms the principal segmentation of the Almannagja fault. The monocline tips out in the relay zone between Segment 2 and Segment 3, in agreement with the soft-linkage between the two fault segments (Figs. 5–7). Note that the monocline does not tip out at the





**Fig. 8.** Study of the drainage in the footwall of the Almannagja fault. a) Location map of the river bed in the footwall of the Almannagja fault. b) Northward migration of the river bed in relationship to the increased uplift following the fault linkage. c) Blockage of the river and production of the waterfall following fast footwall uplift and fault linkage. d) The photo shows that the waterfall does not show an incised channel in the footwall, which reflects a recent formation of the waterfall. Overall this figure shows that the fault linkage processes are influencing the drainage across the fault.



(caption on next page)

**Fig. 9.** Field photos of the W-Dipping faults in the Thingvellir rift. a) Along strike view of the Wdf1. It highlights the presence of multiple fault segments and of the extensional fractures linking these en echelon faults (people as scale). b) Across strike view of the Wdf1. It highlights the dilatational component of the fault and the presence of water infilling the inner graben. c) Along strike view of the Wdf2 showing the flat hangingwall and footwall surfaces and the dilatational component of the fault. d) Across strike view of the Wdf2 highlighting the vertical offset between the hangingwall and the footwall of the fault. e) Along strike view of the Wdf3. It shows the undisturbed hangingwall and footwall surfaces and the dilatational component of the fault. f) View of the fault bend along Wdf3. An incipient fault bend is propagating through a relay ramp connecting two principal fault segments. A road ~5 m wide is located across the relay ramp. g) Panoramic view of the Wdf4 showing the continuity of the prominent fault scarp. h) View of the fault scarp across Wdf4. Green arrows mark a fault scarp profile collected across the fault. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

fault bend linking Segment 1 and Segment 2, but does narrow, reflecting the hard-linkage between the two fault segments (Figs. 5–7).

In summary, the Almannagja fault consists of several individual fault segments linked by faults propagating within linkage zones and forming along strike fault bends. The comparison between the fault geometry and the multi-humped throw profile allows us to subdivide the fault into three principal fault segments. A prominent along-strike fault bend links two of these principal fault segments, within which the throw and the throw-rates anomalously increase if compared with the values of throw and throw rate outside the fault bend.

#### 4.1.2. Influence of the fault linkage on the drainage system

The drainage system in the footwall of the Almannagja fault is studied to observe how the fault evolution has influenced the river flow through time (Fig. 8). This provides important insights to understand the timings of the fault linkage and of the propagation of fault bends at the surface. The footwall of the fault is marked by the presence of a river flowing south-eastwards and towards the rift valley (Fig. 8a and b). The river crosses the Almannagja fault at a spectacular waterfall (Fig. 8c and d), in the position of a former en echelon step between two faults, now marked by a fault bend and preserved palaeo-tip (Fig. 8b and c). Both the river bed and the waterfall are located to the north of the maximum peak in throw of the Almannagja fault (see location of the waterfall in the throw profile in Fig. 7). As shown in Fig. 8b, an abandoned river bed is located to the southwest of the present river. We combine these observations to suggest that the fault linkage can be one of the plausible geologic processes that has influenced the drainage in the footwall of the Almannagja fault, causing a northward migration of the river bed. The fault linkage causes an increase of the throw-rates along the entire fault, with faster throw-rates located within the central part of the fault (e.g. Cowie and Roberts, 2001). The initial river course flowed through the en echelon step, with recent linkage forming a bend occurring rapidly so that the waterfall formed, and has not had time to produce an incised slot gorge due to headward erosion (*sensu* Whittaker et al., 2007). We suggest that a rapid increase of the throw-rates during linkage allowed footwall uplift to outpace headward erosion and incision by the river, with off-fault footwall uplift diverting the course of the river to NE away from the growing uplift at the fault centre, SW of the river.

#### 4.1.3. W-dipping faults

Several W-dipping faults are accommodating the regional extension across the Thingvellir rift. We focused our attention on two faults located in the immediate hangingwall of the Almannagja fault (Wdf1 and Wdf2), and on two faults bounding the eastern flank of the rift valley (Wdf3 and Wdf4; Figs. 2c, 9 and 10).

The Wdf1 and Wdf2 are both formed by mostly subparallel small fault segments with a dilatational component, organized in en-echelon and end-on arrangements, dissecting lava flows dated to ~9000 years BP (Fig. 9a, b, 9c, 9d and 10a; Sinton et al., 2005). Extensional fractures accommodate the deformation within the relay zones of the en-echelon distributed segments boundaries (Figs. 9a, 10a and 11a and 11b). Both the along-strike throw profiles present multiple maxima, reflecting an immature stage of the fault growth (Fig. 11a and b). However, both faults present relative maxima in throw and throw-rates on the fault segments immediately outside the linkage zones, across relay zones and incipient fault bends linking fault segments (Fig. 11a and b). Hence, our

results suggest that the fault throw increases within newly formed linkage zones along immature faults (Fig. 11a and b). Again, other relative maxima might exist across smaller relay zones and incipient bends, but it is not possible to study these because of the resolution of the field measurements.


The fault traces of Wdf3 and Wdf4 are more continuous than Wdf1 and Wdf2, and both present along-strike fault bends in their traces (Fig. 9e, f, 9g, 9h, 10b). The Wdf3 is formed by fault segments with a dilatational component, and it is also marked by two small antithetic faults accommodating the deformation in the hangingwall (Figs. 10b and 11c). In the southern part of the fault trace the fault is propagating through a relay zone connecting two en-echelon fault segments, forming an incipient fault bend (Figs. 9f, 10b and 11c). The throw profile shows an increase of the throw within the fault bend compared with the values of throw immediately outside the bend (Fig. 11c). The Wdf4 has a more continuous fault trace, characterized by a classic fault scarp without an obvious dilatational component (Fig. 9g, h, 10b and 11d). The fault trace presents a mature along-strike fault bend, within which the throw and throw-rates profiles have relative maxima, when compared to values of throw and throw-rates immediately outside the bend (Figs. 10b and 11d).

In summary, normal W-dipping faults distributed within the Thingvellir rift are characterized by different stages of the process of fault growth and linkage, which is reflected in different stages of maturity of relay zones and fault bends. Independently to the stage of maturity of the fault bend, all the studied faults present increased throw and throw-rates within fault bends.

## 4.2. Hengill volcanic complex

Faults in the Hengill volcanic complex are prominently fault scarps, often with slope deposits at the base of the scarp, propagating through hyaloclastites and basaltic lava flows (Figs. 3d, 12a and 12b, 12d, 12e and 13). In two locations, outcrops of striated fault planes show dip-slip kinematics (Figs. 12d and 13). Subglacial flat erosional surfaces, the products of the erosional activity of the moving glacier on the volcanic deposits, are located on both the hangingwall and the footwall of the faults (Fig. 12a, b, 12c, 12e and 13). The glacial origin of these surfaces is confirmed by the presence of erratic boulders on top of them (Fig. 12c).

The analysis of our field data allows us to identify three principal fault segments (Fig. 14). Fault 1 has a skewed along-strike throw profile, with maxima in throw values located within a fault bend in the strike of the fault (Fig. 14). Fault 2 has a fault bend towards its northern mapped extent, across which the throw profile of Fault 2 achieves a relative maximum (Fig. 14). Overall, these two fault bends define a broad fault bend for the mapped set of faults (Fig. 14). The cumulative throw and throw rate profiles present two maxima, with one of them located within the broad fault bend (Fig. 14). Moreover, it is interesting to note that a lake is located in the hangingwall of the broad fault bend, coincident with the maximum measured throw and between the two fault bend segments identified on Fault 1 and Fault 2 (Fig. 14). This prompts the idea that the maximum throw within the fault bend might have affected the drainage system in the hangingwall of the fault, causing a maximum hangingwall subsidence in front of the bend. Hence, these results suggest that the local fault geometry can affect the spatial distribution of throw



**Fig. 10.** Structural maps of the W-Dipping faults. a) The structural fault maps of the WDF1 and WDF2, located immediately in front of the Almannagja fault. In red are the principal fault traces, in blue are the extension fractures, in black are other fault traces. In yellow are the location of the measurements of the throw. b) The structural fault maps of the WDF3 and WDF4, located on the eastern flank of the Thingvellir rift. In red are the principal fault segments mapped, in blue are the extension fractures, in orange are antithetic faults, in black are other principal faults, not mapped in detail in this study. For WDF3, the dashed lines mark the sector of the fault along which field measurements have been collected from the measurement location (see text for details). For WDF4, fault scarp profiles used to measure the throw are shown in white. Geological units are from Sinton et al. (2005). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

and throw-rates along normal faults in this example.


## 5. Discussion

This study of faults in the Thingvellir and Hengill regions suggests that faults within the Western Volcanic Zone in Iceland are characterized by different stages of fault growth, and this is reflected in different stages of maturity of the linkage zones and of the along-strike fault bends. The comparison between the local fault geometry and the spatial distribution of throw and throw-rates shows that, independently to the stage of maturity of the fault bends, the throw and throw-rates anomalously increase within the bends.

These findings can improve our knowledge on the progressive development of faulting within fault bends, because previous works studying throw and throw-rate enhancement within fault bends have been focussed on well-established fault bends at the surface (Faure Walker et al., 2009, 2015; Wilkinson et al., 2015; Mildon et al., 2016; Iezzi et al., 2018, 2019). Here, for the first time, it is shown that throw-rate enhancements also occur in incipient fault bends, where the propagation of fault and the formation of the fault bend is not complete. In cases where the principal fault segments are soft-linked, with immature fault bends growing in the linkage zone, the throw values of the two principal single faults increase towards the linkage zone, suggesting that the throw will eventually be larger within the fault bend once it is fully formed at the surface. These findings could be corroborated using high quality digital elevation models (DEM) (e.g. Weismuller et al., 2019), which at the time of writing have not been used to study the relationships between linkage zones and throw values.

Overall, our results suggest that the relationship between the non-planar fault geometry and the conservation of the strain-rate along the fault, which causes throw-rate enhancements in response to changes in strike and dip within fault bends with constant horizontal extension (Faure Walker et al., 2009, 2015), might be driven from processes occurring on the fault at depth, where fault bends enucleate, establish their geometry and propagate towards the surface (e.g. see Iezzi et al., 2018, their Fig. 2).

These findings can also help to improve the knowledge of the process of fault growth by linkage of previously individual fault segments (Fig. 15). If the variation of strike and dip, with dip that has been shown to increase within bends for a variety of normal faults (Iezzi et al., 2018), promotes high throw-rates in incipient bends due to conservation of heave (Fig. 15a; Faure Walker et al., 2009), local throw and displacement maxima may develop (Fig. 15b). The upward propagation of established faults at depth causes the development of new fault segments at the surface. As the newly formed individual faults grow in length, they start to link. In response to the fault linkage, the throw-rates along the entire fault work to create an along-strike profile consistent with a single longer fault, but local maxima in throw-rates will be located within the fault bends. However, note that development of such maxima depend on the relative values of strike and dip in the fault bend and along intervening segments, and also, presumably, the magnitude of rotations about horizontal axes in relay zones (e.g. see Walsh et al., 2003; their Fig. 4); note that we were unable to resolve such rotations due to the short time window recorded by the deformation we measure (<10–12 ka) and the resultant low magnitude of the rotations. Our suggestion is consistent with classic models of fault growth by linkage, where the fault length is established early followed by accumulation of the finite fault slip, and it can apply for either cases of faults previously isolated or for cases where surface faults are kinematically related components of a fault array (Walsh et al., 2002, 2003; Nicol et al., 2005). The key point we want to emphasise is that local maxima may develop in fault bends. Throw enhancements within incipient fault bends could be one possible explanation of the wide scatter in natural values of displacement accrual occurred during fault lengthening (10–60% of faults displacement cumulated during faults lengthening; Rotevatn et al., 2018). If the measurements of maximum displacement, obtained at different stages of



**Fig. 11.** Along-strike throw profiles of the W-Dipping faults. In black are the measurements of throw. In green are the range of the throw rate values, constrained using the age of the lava flows which the faults are propagating through (see Fig. 9). For the Wdf1 and Wdf2, the throw rate profiles coincide with the throw profile because of the single value for the age of the lava flows. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

fault lengthening and used within displacement versus fault length diagrams, were collected across fault bends, this might create a scatter of the values of maximum displacement used to study the fault evolution through time.

The increases of throw-rate within incipient fault bends have implications for palaeoseismology. Previous studies show that fault bends may be the site of anomalously high coseismic throws (e.g. Iezzi et al., 2018). If fault bends are not recognised, this could lead to overestimates of palaeoearthquake magnitudes from palaeoseismological trenches (Sgambato et al., 2020).

Overall, this study suggests that the throw and throw-rate distributions along faults within the WVZ are influenced by the local fault geometry and the strain that the fault must accommodate in that location, following the model first presented in Faure Walker et al. (2009). This implies that the relationship between the non-planar fault geometry and the conservation of strain along the fault and across fault bends, which causes throw rate enhancements within the bends, is valid also in an extremely fast-deforming geodynamic domain such as the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Therefore, this work highlights the importance of including the effects of non-planar fault geometry on the seismic behaviour of normal faults also in seismic hazard assessments located in geodynamic contexts different from the Central Apennines, where the first examples have been identified (Faure Walker et al., 2018).


## 6. Conclusions

Detailed fault mapping and serial fault scarp profiles were carried out along 5 normal faults in the Thingvellir rift and 3 normal faults in the Hengill Volcanic Complex, both located in the WVZ, Iceland. Normal faults within the WVZ in Iceland present different stages of fault growth, which is reflected in a variety of stages of maturity of along-strike fault


bends connecting the principal fault segments. Along-strike throw and throw rate profiles show that, independently to the stage of maturity of the fault bends, the throw and throw-rates increase within the fault bends, compared to the values on the fault segments immediately outside the bends. This implies that: 1) the relationship between the local fault geometry and the conservation of the strain rate, which causes throw and throw rate enhancements within the bend in response to local changes in strike and dip within the bend with constant horizontal strain, might be driven by processes occurring in the deeper part of the fault; 2) during fault growth, fault bends in linkage zones are propagating faster than the slip accumulation of the principal fault segments, implying that during the process of fault growth by linkage and coalescence the fault works in order to first establish the fault length and then to accumulate slip; 3) palaeoseismological studies must take in account the effect of incipient fault bends on the throw-rates of a fault, in order to avoid misleading interpretations of results obtained from palaeoseismological trenches located in proximity of incipient bends. Overall, this work shows that the previously observed relationship between the non-planar fault geometry and the conservation of strain rate along a fault affects the seismic behaviour of a normal fault also in a geodynamic domain of a mid-oceanic ridge, implying that this relationship is valid also in geodynamic contexts different from the Central Apennines, where the first examples of this relationship have been identified.

## Declaration of competing interest


The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.




**Fig. 12.** Field photos of the Hengill volcanic complex. a) Panoramic view of the study area. The three principal fault segments identified in the area are marked with the different coloured arrows. The white arrows mark the subglacial erosional surfaces used to constrain the throw across the faults. b) Linkage zone between Fault 1 and Fault 3, with a relay ramp located between the two. It is also evidenced the presence of a landslide affecting the fault scarp. Measurements have not been collected in the presence of landslides. c) Erratic boulders on the subglacial erosional surfaces, confirming the glacial origin of the surfaces. d) Outcrop of a fault plane along Fault 3. Field measurements show dip slip kinematics for the fault. e) Panoramic view from the hangingwall of the studied faults. The coloured arrows show the principal faults, with the subglacial erosional surfaces shown in white. The along-strike fault bend in Fault 1 is shown.



**Fig. 13.** Structural fault map of the faults studied in the Hengill volcanic complex. In red are the principal faults studied, in black are other principal faults. In yellow are marked the subglacial erosional surfaces, in orange are reported the slope deposits on the fault scarps, in blue is marked a lake bed in the hangingwall of the fault. In white are reported the traces of the fault scarp profiles. White stars mark the locations of outcrops of the fault plane. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)



**Fig. 14.** Along-strike throw profiles for the faults in the Hengill volcanic complex. It shows the presence of along-strike fault bends along Fault 1 and Fault 3, across which the throw increases. The shaded blue area in the profile is the range of throw-rates for the faults, constrained with the age of deglaciation and exposure of the subglacial erosional surfaces (from Licciardi et al., 2007). It is also reported the presence of a lake bed in the hangingwall of the fault, located in front of the fault bend and in correspondence of the maximum throw measured. This shows that the fault bend has influenced the drainage system in the hangingwall of the fault. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)



**Fig. 15.** Fault growth model showing that fault bends present faster throw rates, compared to the throw-rates on faults immediately outside the bend, since the onset of the fault linkage processes.

**CRedit authorship contribution statement**

**Francesco Iezzi:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing - original draft. **Gerald Roberts:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. **Joanna Faure Walker:** Investigation, Writing - review & editing.

**Acknowledgments**

This study was funded by NERC Studentship NE/L002485/1 to Iezzi and by STFC grant ST/L001284/1. We thank Steve Hirons for the help during the fieldwork. The research data used in this work are all exhibited in the paper.

**Appendix A. Supplementary data**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2020.103976>.

**References**

Acocella, V., Gudmundsson, A., Funicello, R., 2000. Interaction and linkage of extension fractures and normal faults: examples from the rift zone of Iceland. *J. Struct. Geol.* 22 (9), 1233–1246. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(00\)00031-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00031-6).  
 Bergerat, F., Angelier, J., 2000. The South Iceland Seismic Zone: tectonic and sismotectonic analyses revealing the evolution from rifting to transform motion. *J. Geodyn.* 29 (3–5), 211–231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707\(99\)00046-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707(99)00046-0).  
 Bull, J.M., Minshull, T.A., Mitchell, N.C., Thors, K., Dix, J.K., Best, A.I., 2003. Fault and magmatic interaction within Iceland’s western rift over the last 9 kyr. *Geophys. J. Int.* 154 (1), F1–F8. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01990.x>, 2003.  
 Cowie, P.A., Roberts, G.P., 2001. Constraining slip rates and spacings for active normal faults. *J. Struct. Geol.* 23 (12), 1901–1915. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(01\)00036-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(01)00036-0).  
 Einarsson, P., 2008. Plate boundaries, rifts and transforms in Iceland. *Jökull* 58 (12), 35–58.  
 Faure Walker, J.P., Visini, F., Roberts, G., Galasso, C., McCaffrey, K., Mildon, Z., 2018. Variable fault geometry suggests detailed fault-slip-rate profiles and geometries are needed for fault-based probabilistic seismic hazard assessment (PSHA). *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 109 (1), 110–123.  
 Faure Walker, J.P., Roberts, G.P., Cowie, P.A., McCaffrey, K., Wedmore, L., Watson, Z., Gregory, L.C., 2015. Long-term strain rates as a tool for understanding the mechanics of continental extension and the importance of local 3D fault geometry for local throw-rates across faults. In: 6<sup>th</sup> Int. INQUA Meeting on Paleoseismology, Active Tectonics and Archaeoseismology, 19–24 April 2015, Pescara, Fucino Basin, Italy, vol. 27, pp. 150–154.



- Faure Walker, J.P., Roberts, G.P., Sammonds, P.R., Cowie, P.A., 2010. Comparison of earthquake strains over 10 2 and 10 4 year timescales: insights into variability in the seismic cycle in the central Apennines, Italy. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115 (B10), B10418. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JB006462>.
- Faure Walker, J.P., Roberts, G.P., Cowie, P.A., Papanikolaou, I.D., Sammonds, P.R., Michetti, A.M., Phillips, R.J., 2009. Horizontal strain-rates and throw-rates across breached relay zones, central Italy: implications for the preservation of throw deficits at points of normal fault linkage. *J. Struct. Geol.* 31 (10), 1145–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2009.06.011>.
- Friese, N., 2008. Brittle tectonics of the Thingvellir and Hengill volcanic systems, Southwest Iceland: field studies and numerical modeling. *Geodin. Acta* 21 (4), 169–185. <https://doi.org/10.3166/ga.21.169-185>.
- Gawthorpe, R.L., Jackson, C.A.L., Young, M.J., Sharp, I.R., Moustafa, A.R., Leppard, C. W., 2003. Normal fault growth, displacement localisation and the evolution of normal fault populations: the Hammam Faraun fault block, Suez rift, Egypt. *J. Struct. Geol.* 25 (6), 883–895. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(02\)00088-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(02)00088-3).
- Geirsson, H., Árnadóttir, T., Hreinsdóttir, S., Decriem, J., LaFemina, P., Jónsson, S., et al., 2010. Overview of results from continuous GPS observations in Iceland from 1995 to 2010. *Jökull* 60, 3–22.
- Grant, J.V., Kattenhorn, S.A., 2004. Evolution of vertical faults at an extensional plate boundary, southwest Iceland. *J. Struct. Geol.* 26 (3), 537–557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2003.07.003>.
- Gudmundsson, A., 2000. Fracture dimensions, displacements and fluid transport. *J. Struct. Geol.* 22 (9), 1221–1231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(00\)00052-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00052-3).
- Gudmundsson, A., 1995. Infrastructure and mechanics of volcanic systems in Iceland. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 64 (1–2), 1–22. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0273\(95\)92782-q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0273(95)92782-q), 1995.
- Gudmundsson, A., 1992. Formation and growth of normal faults at the divergent plate boundary in Iceland. *Terra. Nova* 4, 464–471. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.1992.tb00582.x>, 1992.
- Gudmundsson, A., 1987. Geometry, formation and development of tectonic fractures on the Reykjanes Peninsula, southwest Iceland. *Tectonophysics* 139 (3–4), 295–308. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(87\)90103-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90103-X).
- Iezzi, F., Roberts, G., Walker, J.F., Papanikolaou, I., 2019. Occurrence of partial and total coseismic ruptures of segmented normal fault systems: insights from the Central Apennines, Italy. *J. Struct. Geol.* 126, 83–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.05.003>.
- Iezzi, F., Mildon, Z., Walker, J.F., Roberts, G., Goodall, H., Wilkinson, M., Robertson, J., 2018. Coseismic throw variation across along-strike bends on active normal faults: implications for displacement versus length scaling of earthquake ruptures. *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth* 123. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016732>.
- Jackson, C.A.L., Gawthorpe, R.L., Sharp, I.R., 2002. Growth and linkage of the East Tanka fault zone, Suez rift: structural style and syn-rift stratigraphic response. *J. Geol. Soc.* 159 (2), 175–187. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-764901-100>.
- LaFemina, P.C., Dixon, T.H., Malservisi, R., Arnadóttir, T., Sturkell, E., Sigmundsson, F., Einarsson, P., 2005. Geodetic GPS measurements in south Iceland: strain accumulation and partitioning in a propagating ridge system. *J. Geophys. Res.* 110, B11405. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB003675>.
- Licciardi, J.M., Kurz, M.D., Curtice, J.M., 2007. Glacial and volcanic history of Icelandic table mountains from cosmogenic <sup>3</sup>He exposure ages. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 26 (11–12), 1529–1546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2007.02.016>.
- McLeod, A.E., Underhill, J.R., Davies, S.J., Dawers, N.H., 2002. The influence of fault array evolution on synrift sedimentation patterns: controls on deposition in the Strathspey-Brent-Statfjord half graben, northern North Sea. *AAPG Bull.* 86 (6), 1061–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1306/61EEDC24-173E-11D7-8645000102C1865D>.
- McLeod, A.E., Dawers, N.H., Underhill, J.R., 2000. The propagation and linkage of normal faults: insights from the Strathspey-Brent-Statfjord fault array, northern North Sea. *Basin Res.* 12, 263–284. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2117.2000.00124.x>.
- Mansfield, C., Cartwright, J., 2001. Fault growth by linkage: observations and implications from analogue models. *J. Struct. Geol.* 23, 745–763. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(00\)00134-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00134-6).
- Mildon, Z.K., Roberts, G.P., Faure Walker, J.P., Wedmore, L., McCaffrey, K.J.W., 2016. Active normal faulting during the 1997 seismic sequence in Colfiorito, Umbria: did slip propagate to the surface? *J. Struct. Geol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2016.08.011>.
- Nicol, A., Walsh, J., Berryman, K., Nodder, S., 2005. Growth of a normal fault by the accumulation of slip over millions of years. *J. Struct. Geol.* 27 (2), 327–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2004.09.002>.
- Perl, J., Heinert, M., 2006. Kinematic model of the South Icelandic tectonic system. *Geophys. J. Int.* 164 (1), 168–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02795.x>.
- Podolsky, D.M., Roberts, G.P., 2008. Growth of the volcano-flank Koa'e fault system, Hawaii. *J. Struct. Geol.* 30 (10), 1254–1263.
- Rotevatn, A., Jackson, C.A.L., Tvedt, A.B.M., Bell, R.E., Blakkean, I., 2018. How do normal faults grow? *J. Struct. Geol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2018.08.005>.
- Saemundsson, K., 1992. Geology of the thingvallavatn area. *Oikos* 64 (1/2), 40–68. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3545042>.
- Sgambato, C., Faure Walker, J.P., Roberts, G.P., 2020. Uncertainty in strain-rate from field measurements of the geometry, rates and kinematics of active normal faults: implications for seismic hazard assessment. *J. Struct. Geol.* 131 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2019.103934>.
- Sinton, J., Gronvold, K., Sæmundsson, K., 2005. Postglacial eruptive history of the western volcanic zone, Iceland. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 6, Q12009. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GC001021>.
- Sonnette, L., Angelier, J., Villemin, T., Bergerat, F., 2010. Faulting and fissuring in active oceanic rift: surface expression, distribution and tectonic–volcanic interaction in the Thingvellir Fissure Swarm, Iceland. *J. Struct. Geol.* 32 (4), 407–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.01.003>.
- Tentler, T., Mazzoli, S., 2005. Architecture of normal faults in the rift zone of central north Iceland. *J. Struct. Geol.* 27 (9), 1721–1739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2005.05.018>.
- Tripanera, D., Accolla, V., Ruch, J., Abebe, B., 2015. Fault and graben growth along active magmatic divergent plate boundaries in Iceland and Ethiopia. *Tectonics* 34. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015TC003991>.
- Villemin, T., Bergerat, F., 2013. From surface fault traces to a fault growth model: the Vogar fissure swarm of the Reykjanes Peninsula, Southwest Iceland. *J. Struct. Geol.* 51, 38–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2013.03.010>.
- Walsh, J.J., Bailey, W.R., Childs, C., Nicol, A., Bonson, C.G., 2003. Formation of segmented normal faults: a 3-D perspective. *J. Struct. Geol.* 25 (8), 1251–1262. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(02\)00161-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(02)00161-X).
- Walsh, J.J., Nicol, A., Childs, C., 2002. An alternative model for the growth of faults. *J. Struct. Geol.* 24 (11), 1669–1675. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(01\)00165-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(01)00165-1).
- Weismüller, C., Urai, J.L., Kettermann, M., Hagke, C.V., Reicherter, K., 2019. Structure of massively dilatant faults in Iceland: lessons learned from high-resolution unmanned aerial vehicle data. *Solid Earth* 10 (5), 1757–1784.
- Whittaker, A.C., Cowie, P.A., Attal, M., Tucker, G.E., Roberts, G.P., 2007. Bedrock channel adjustment to tectonic forcing: implications for predicting river incision rates. *Geology* 35 (2), 103–106.
- Wilkinson, M., Roberts, G.P., McCaffrey, K., Cowie, P.A., Faure Walker, J.P., Papanikolaou, I., Phillips, R.J., Michetti, A.M., Vittori, E., Gregory, L., Wedmore, L., Watson, Z.K., 2015. Slip distributions on active normal faults measured from LiDAR and field mapping of geomorphic offsets: an example from L'Aquila, Italy, and implications for modelling seismic moment release. *Geomorphology* 237, 130–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.04.026>.
- Wolfe, C.J., Bjarnason, I.T., VanDecar, J.C., Solomon, S.C., 1997. Seismic structure of the Iceland mantle plume. *Nature* 385 (6613), 245. <https://doi.org/10.1038/385245a0>.