- R. Wollan, N. Smith, and C. Zhou (2011) The Social Media Management Handbook. Everything You Need to Know to Get Social Media Working in Your Business. Hobeken: John Wiley and Sons, pp. 57–225.
- R.K. Yin (2003) Case Study Research, Designs and Methods, 4th edn.

 Applied Social Research Methods Series, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage,
 pp. 1–106 and 86.
- YouTube (2015) https://www.youtube.com (home page), date accessed March 14, 2015.

6

Crowdsourcing in Wine Business: Co-Creation and Fundraising Experiences

Angela Mariani, Azzurra Annunziata, Francesco Nacchia, and Antonella Vastola

Abstract: Thanks to the development of Web 2.0 tools and applications, crowdsourcing-based business models, in which the 'crowd' takes on a proactive role in the markets, being engaged in co-creation of value and in funding producers and their projects, are emerging worldwide. The aim of this chapter is to achieve a deeper understanding of the opportunities offered by crowdsourcing in wine business. Given both the novelty of the phenomenon and the exploratory nature of this research, a qualitative multiple-case study approach is used with the purpose of presenting cases of major interest as benchmarks. Overall, crowdsourcing is ideally suited as both a new approach to the radically changing consumer profile and as a source of funding for small entrepreneurs facing difficulties in accessing other forms of financing.

Szolnoki, Gergely, Liz Thach, and Dani Kolb, eds. Successful Social Media and Ecommerce Strategies in the Wine Industry. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2016. DOI: 10.1057/9781137602985.0010.

Introduction

The term 'crowdsourcing' is a neologism, coined by Jeff Howe and Mark Robinson in 2006 and then defined by Howe (2006) as 'the act of a company or institution taking a function once performed by employees and outsourcing it to an undefined (and generally large) network of people in the form of an open call'. Although still in an early stage, thanks to the development and diffusion of Web 2.0 tools and applications, crowdsourcing is rapidly redefining business models in which the 'crowd' gets more closely involved in the development of the firm's activities, as customers, having the potential to be part of the product design, manufacturing, marketing process, and as investors, directly funding producers and projects, or both.

In this context, the aim of this chapter is to achieve a deeper understanding of the opportunities offered by crowdsourcing in wine business. Overall, crowdsourcing might be deployed in marketing activities for co-creation of value and represent a new opportunity, through a practice specifically referred to as crowdfunding, for entrepreneurs facing difficulties in accessing bank loans. Given both the novelty of the phenomenon and the exploratory nature of this research, a qualitative multiple-case study approach has been used. For this purpose, cases of successful crowdsourcing experiences in wine business have been identified via an Internet research conducted from March to May 2015 through the main search engines, and then classified according to their characteristics, with the ultimate goal of selecting and discussing some cases of major interest as benchmarks.

The chapter is organized in the following main sections: (i) a literature review highlighting the main characteristics, benefits, and downsides of crowdsourcing, with a focus on two specific practices, co-creation of value and crowdfunding; (ii) the analysis of two selected crowdsourcing experiences in wine business—as meaningful examples in winemaking and retailing, respectively; (iii) a focus on the first two recently created wine-dedicated crowdfunding platforms, namely, Fundovino and Cruzu; (iv) a discussion of potential of crowdsourcing in wine industry and some preconditions for further future development.

Literature review

Nowadays the concept of crowdsourcing refers to such a broad spectrum of practices that a large variety of definitions and categorizations is found in the literature. A comprehensive definition is provided in the systematic literature review by Estellés-Arolas and González-Ladrónde-Guevara (2012): 'crowdsourcing is a type of participative online activity in which an individual, an institution, a non-profit organization, or company proposes to a group of individuals of varying knowledge, heterogeneity, and number, via a flexible open call, the voluntary undertaking of a task. The undertaking of the task, of variable complexity and modularity, and in which the crowd should participate bringing their work, money, knowledge and/or experience, always entails mutual benefit. The user will receive the satisfaction of a given type of need, be it economic, social recognition, self-esteem, or the development of individual skills, while the crowdsourcer will obtain and utilize to their advantage what the user has brought to the venture, whose form will depend on the type of activity undertaken. In this literature review, the focus is on two different specific practices (even implemented jointly) in which the 'crowd' takes on a proactive role in the markets, being engaged by firms in the production process and marketing activities (co-creation of value), mainly as customers, and in the funding of their projects (crowdfunding).

Though customers can be actively involved in co-creation of value through different types of crowdsourcing (Prahalad and Ramaswamy, 2004; Kleeman et al., 2008; Zwass, 2010), here, only the most interesting and significant typologies in the framework of this chapter are mentioned and summed up in the two following broad categories.

- 1 Call by firms for either consumers' participation in product development and configuration (these vary in intensity from simple opinion polls to elaborate schemes for the collaborative development of actual products by users), or for the creation of a product that wholly depends on their input.
- 2 Call by firms for consumers' knowledge and opinions about products, to be shared online either in the form of published product rating or organized customer-to-customer support via chats and discussion forums.

quality improvement thanks to the wisdom of the crowd (Kleeman acceptance, that is, a greater willingness to buy them; and products' in the development of new products; a better achievement of market centric approach, bringing considerable benefits such as: time-reduction Overall crowdsourcing allows firms to adopt an effective customeret al., 2008; Piller et al., 2010).

a project or a venture by a group of individuals, instead of professional of tapping into new sources of funding, through a practice referred to as ary or through dedicated platforms (Schwienbacher and Larralde, 2012). parties (e.g., banks), usually taking place online—without any intermedi-'crowdfunding'. The term can be loosely defined as the fundraising for Moreover, crowdsourcing can be exploited by firms with the purpose

different ways by a variety of subjects. In the literature, with few differin return for their contributions (Hemer, 2011; Bradford, 2012). The ences among authors, crowdfunding initiatives are categorized into model provides a non-financial return, usually operating a tiered system investors that contribute for intrinsic and social motivation. The reward ties and other non-profit institutions, does not offer any reward to its first model, donation-based crowdfunding, mainly promoted by charifour main different models on the basis of what investors are promised where the more you donate the better the reward you receive (Baeck and opportunity to participate in some way to the financed project. In some Collins, 2013). These rewards are often just immaterial acknowledgments, in return for the product that has been developed and produced with projects this model is similar to a pre-sale agreement: funders contribute ranging from a mere thank-you (by email or on social media) to the model, equity-crowdfunding (or crowd-investing) allows contributors to on specified terms with (or in certain cases without) interests. The last contributors provide funds on a temporary basis, expecting repayment offer some form of financial return. Through the crowd-lending model, the funds raised, at a reduced price. Other crowdfunding campaigns dividends, or voting right. receive equity in the funds-recipient company, which can include shares, Crowdfunding is a channel of financing which can be used in many

small contributions from a large number of people (Belleflamme et al., can become an entrepreneur, and anyone with a little money can become 2014); the innovative stretch is that anyone who has a good business idea costs of getting capital to entrepreneurs, making financing cheaper. It an investor (Bradford, 2012). Crowdfunding reduces the transaction The basic idea of crowdfunding is to raise money through relatively

> traditional financing methods, mainly valuable for small and medium represents an opportunity for firms that face difficulties in accessing to

entrepreneur's reputation damages and customer disaffection, may arise as estimate the potential customer base. Funding contributors tend to bidirectional communication, receive feedback on the product, as well to social media exposure, interact with potential customers through a tors to raise public attention around the product (or the project), thanks several benefits from marketing perspective (Schwienbacher and in case of failure (or delay) to meet a funded project goal. tion about it through word of mouth. However, some drawbacks, such as become active promoters of the products themselves, as the feeling of Vitkauskaite, 2014; Rossi, 2014). Crowdfunding campaigns allow initia-Larralde, 2012; Gerber et al., 2012; De Buysere et al., 2012; Gatautis and involvement in the funded project motivates them to spread informa-Moreover, as crowdsourcing in general, crowdfunding can bring

in and interacting with the project's team or the producer; the chance certain community with similar priorities; enjoyment in being engaged ness to support small entrepreneurs; satisfaction from being part of a personal identification with the project's subject and its goals; willingsized that backers are not primarily motivated by material rewards, on Gerber et al., 2012; Gerber and Hui, 2013; Oddani et al., 2011) emphaboth tangible and/or intangible rewards. Some authors (Hemer, 2011; ments a more democratic process, spiced with the opportunity to get direct choice over where to put one's money, therefore making investfunders in return for one's own crowdfunding project. to expand one's own personal network; the expectation of attracting the contrary by immaterial ones, plus a range of intrinsic motives like: From the contributors' perspective, this new form of financing offers

some cautions as it comes with some challenges and potential risks. In several functions: screen the project; collect the funds; make relevant ing information asymmetry and building confidence, as they perform are used. Crowdfunding platforms can play a key role in both reducmay not be able (or not be allowed) to verify how the funds collected of success of the proposed crowdfunding campaigns. Besides, they if lacking the information and skills needed to evaluate the chances fact, funders might face a number of asymmetric information problems tion gathering by funders (Belleflamme et al., 2015). In this context, the information easy available, while, at the same time, encourage informa-Although crowdfunding may bring several benefits, it also requires

Crowdsourcing in Wine Business

most dangerous risks of crowdfunding are those of fraud and abuse of funds by borrowers and/or platforms. Specifically, the model entailing financial returns carries the highest risks for contributors who take the position of investors, while, as for the reward model, the risk is that the promised reward may be delivered with a delay or not delivered at all in case entrepreneurs fail to meet the projects goals (Pazowski and Czudec, 2014; Mollick, 2013).

On the other side, for entrepreneurs, and start-up companies primarily, a first main challenge concerns estimating in advance how much funding is needed in order to meet the crowdfunding campaign goals. As a matter of fact, the risk is that a trail of projects failures may dissuade potential funders to get involved further. In addition, when starting innovative projects, entrepreneurs are required to disclose their ideas so as to attract the interest of funders, but if intellectual property is not sufficiently protected, market competitors will have access to information and may steal and take credit for it (Pazowski and Czudec, 2014). Furthermore, as discussed in more detail here, running successful crowdsourcing campaigns requires a significant investment of time and the development of some specific skills.

Experiences of crowdsourcing in wine business

Entrepreneurs in the wine industry are starting to exploit the opportunities of crowdsourcing, by involving customers in value co-creation and the funding of projects. Among the many initiatives that have been identified via an Internet research, in this section two experiences of major interest are presented as meaningful case studies of value co-creation in winemaking, and crowdfunding and value co-creation implemented jointly in retailing.

La Crema: 'Virtual Vintner' program

Some winemakers have begun to involve customers in value co-creation by engaging them in some or all stages of production and marketing, with the idea of making a crowdsourced wine. A first experience, quoted by Ramaswamy and Gouillart (2010) in their book as one of the best examples of co-creation within a small business, was Crushpad, a North American winemaking company based in Sonoma founded in 2004 and closed in 2012. Crushpad had individuals make their own decisions over

the winemaking process, from vineyard to labeling, with the purpose of releasing a customer-tailored wine. To date there are some similar ongoing projects worldwide such as the 'Virtual Vintner' program—launched in August 2014 by La Crema, one of the 25 largest wineries in California—that is analyzed as a case in point.

The 'Virtual Vintner' program takes customers through the winemaking process, by granting them the right to vote on such decisions as the varietal, the appellation, the vineyard, the barrel type, the name and the label design. However, La Crema decided that the most crucial decision, when to harvest the grapes (calling the pick), would be left to the Director of winemaking (Elisabeth Grant-Douglas). The interactive website led participants through their decisions step by step, with the support of sharp pictures, graphics, easy-to understand texts and short videos explaining the process. The expected result, considered that climatic conditions can affect the quality and quantity of grapes, is a special bottling of 500 cases to be released in late fall 2015 that participants will have a chance to purchase.

ess. This resulted in a user-engagement that went far beyond the act of along the way), allowing consumers to feel part of the winemaking proc-38,000 likes, shares and retweets and other engagements through social of participants (10,000) compared to the 22,485 registered users so far. a wild berries prevailing aroma, such as raspberries and sweet spices; States, over pinot noir, that, instead, won with a 51 percent. Afterwards would choose chardonnay, which is the most popular wine in the United made. Moreover, La Crema Director of winemaking highlighted that on the site, with visitors showing interest in the product and how it is voting itself, proven by the two and a half minutes average time spent also educate people about wine (explaining each step in the process media sites. The main strength of the Virtual Vintner project has been As a matter of fact, the winery had expected a much smaller number Loughlin vineyard; the grape which was described to the viewers having participants chose: the Russian River Valley appellation; the company's fact, as for the first choice, the varietal, it was expected that participants has produced some unexpected results since the very beginning. In the program has provided a real-time focus group on a large scale and the ability to entertain (with the fun voting and naming contest), but Those visitors have logged 43,000 votes and quiz participations plus president (Caroline Shaw) the results have far exceeded expectations. As reported by Swindell (2014), according to La Crema executive vice

specially toasted to give the final product a 'subtle impression' (Swindell, 2014). Up to now, Virtuoso is the name chosen for the wine and voting will finish with the label design on summer 2015. wild yeasts for fermentation, and a nine months aging in oak barrels

production process to get a wine tailored to their preferences. Two Wine (in Italy). cabernet by Columbia Crest (in the United States) and My Tailored ongoing projects in particular are worth mentioning: Crowdsourced As said above, other winemakers are involving wine lovers in the

Naked Wine

wines in 13 countries worldwide. sold 13 million bottles and invested in 145 winemakers, producing 600 funds that are invested into small independent winemakers. In 2014 NW United States in 2012, collects from regular customers (called Angels) Gormley) in the United Kingdom and expanded to Australia and the Wines, the online wine retailer launched in December 2008 (by Rowan crowdfunding and crowdsourcing for co-creation of value. Naked Naked Wine (NW) is a unique and well-known case of reward-basec

a strategic win-win relationship, working on a cost plus basis, that allows a financing to support production that will then be sold on the NW plus a free premium bottle each month if they order a case. The funds \$40 in the United States, \$40 in Australia). In exchange for this advance account towards their next wine purchase (£20 in United Kingdom. 45,000) invest a definite amount of money each month into their NW security, and reduced financial risk exposure. The basic idea is to develop collected from Angels are invested by NW into winemakers who need price (ranging from 25 percent up to 50 percent) every time they buy, payment, they get a preferred access to exclusive wines, a discount on platform. Winemakers benefit in terms of guaranteed sales, commercial to get good wine at fair prices for both consumers and producers. NW Angels (approximately 250,000 individuals with a waiting list of

co-creation of value, as shown in Table 6.1. whose members are called Archangels, more involved in the process of App exclusively available to the Angels. Among the Angels is a subgroup. individual online blog, Naked me (a personal feed), and the smartphone (among customers and with winemakers) are promoted through the In a broad way, customers' participation, sociality and interaction

TABLE 6.1 Archangels' main engagements and rewards

- Interacting with Naked Wine staff, winemakers, and other customers to share ideas
- Wines evaluation and selection: tasting and reviewing wine samples (they get wine website); searching for new wines (they are invited to participate at special events samples sent—to try, rate, and give a feedback—before they are proposed onto the suppliers). run by Naked Wines, Trade Fairs and Wine tours to taste wine and find new wine
- Winemakers promotion: they champion winemakers to support and promote their wine (suggesting them to Angels).
- Naked Wine promotion: they are ambassador of the company

- ✓ Free samples of wines Naked Wine is thinking of stocking
- ✓ Invitations to exclusive trade-only wine events
- ✓ The opportunity to go on buying trips
- Membership to Pearly Gates, a private group on NW website

special events; belong to an active community and interact with other that their motivations go far beyond price discounts, and that what they emphasize that NW business growth may jeopardize the strong and Hence, Archangels high value their role as co-creators of value, they and know them; choose small winemakers to 'champion' and promote customers, winemakers, and NW staff; be in touch with winemakers mostly appreciate is the opportunity to: test wines and to participate in views to a sample of the Archangels in the United Kingdom, highlight valuable interaction among customers, winemakers, and NW staff The results of a previous research (Mariani et al., 2014), based on inter-

Wine crowdfunding platforms and campaigns

Kingdom's largest ever equity crowdfunding initiative. ple is represented by Chapel Down, which in 2014 reached the campaign crowdfunding campaigns are also common. The most successful examplatforms), mainly as reward-crowdfunding campaigns, though equity call for money on their own sites and/or crowdfunding platforms (CF Several entrepreneurs and startups in wine business have published total of £3,95 million in just over three weeks, making it the United

specific reference to the wine industry, but some overall figures may give There are no estimates of the funds raised through crowdfunding with

collected through crowdfunding worldwide reports an impressive an idea of the significance of the phenomenon. The volume of money neurship stayed as the most popular crowdfunding category, collecting industry is set to more than double once again. Business and entrepregrowth. According to the latest data, global crowdfunding experienced million) rounded out the top five categories (Massolution, 2015). arts (\$1.97), real estate (\$1.01 billion), and music and recording arts (\$736 \$6.7 billion in 2014, social causes (\$3.06 billion), films and performing in 2013) raised by 1,250 active CF platforms across the world. In 2015, the an accelerated growth in 2014 to reach \$16.2 billion (up from \$6.1 billion

crowdfunders can either accommodate any campaigns' type—general publication can be performed by either an editorial team or an unbiased whether the project is in line with the platform norms and decide over its technology or hardware development). Moreover they may even offer purpose or non-specific platforms—or be restricted to specific industries owner receives funds only if the funding goal is either met or surpassed algorithm. Typically, there are two ways in which CF platforms allocate funding models. The projects' qualitative selection process that evaluate just one model or option from the abovementioned different crowd-(e.g., music or video games), or projects' type (e.g., creative projects charge an additional payment processing fee, which is transferred to commission on raised funds or a flat fee. In addition many of them also a variety of fee structures for the services provided, mainly a percentage less of whether the funding goal is met or not. At last, CF platforms use provides that the funds raised are transferred to the project owner regard their pledges are never fulfilled. The other model, Keep-What-you-Earn, does not meet its funding goal, contributors are either reimbursed or within the predetermined funding period. In the event that the project third-party payment partners (e.g., PayPal) or credit card companies. the funds. The first is the All-or-Nothing model, in which the project CF platforms that act as facilitators for both project initiators and

Fundovino and Cruzu platforms

and Cruzu (founded in the United States in early 2015). The main platforms dedicated to wine, Fundovino (founded in France in 2014) The interest in crowdfunding has recently led to the creation of two well explained by the founders. It is worth mentioning this excerpt from reasons for those wine-dedicated crowdfunding platforms to exist are

> producing countries). CF platforms, and establish a relationship with winemakers from all over to select projects, without any other readdressing as it happens on other affect both entrepreneurs' and consumers' choice. Given their nature of aspects, that are those related to user access and user experience, may a wine-dedicated platform to a non-specific one, two more interesting the world (valuable especially for wine lovers that do not live in wine vertical portals focused exclusively on wine, they make it easier for users away as a reward may already represent a valuable reason for preferring other well-established non-specific crowdfunding platforms, such as prohibited, as stated in their respective Terms of Use. Though giving wine Kickstarter or Indiegogo, inform users that alcohol-reward to funders is allowed to offer alcohol—conceivably wine—to their backers, whereas same passion. Above all, entrepreneurs using Cruzu and Fundovino are gathering wine lovers together to join a community of people sharing the wouldn't exist without their participation'. Fundovino and Cruzu aim at where wine enthusiasts fund, make, learn and share wines—wines that winemaker. It is a platform for a new consumer-centric wine industry turn, fund projects, receiving wine and a unique connection with the full stack marketplace for direct wine. We are rebuilding the wine industry around winemakers who share their world with consumers. They, in Cruzu co-founder and CEO Michael Brill's Linkedin profile: 'Cruzu is

who's eligible to use Cruzu'. their publication' and Cruzu granting themselves 'the right to decide Cruzu. Finally, as for the projects' selection process, they both decided to e-payment bank system. As for age limit, funders need to be 18 or older of reviewing the seriousness and coherency of projects in order to grant rest upon their own editorial team, with Fundovino endorsing the task to access Fundovino whereas they should be at least 21 to sign up to distributes proceeds once the project's funding phase is completed; while which is a platform for web and mobile payments that accepts and finally campaigns creation or unsuccessful projects. Cruzu uses Stripe Connect only if the campaign reaches or exceeds the pledge amount. Respectively, Fundovino uses a more conventional funds transfer process based on an Fundovino charges a 5 percent fee (plus 3 percent bank transaction) transaction) to successful projects-no fees have to be paid on either while Cruzu charges a 15 percent success fee (plus 3 percent bank up to now just the reward model—with funds being released to initiators characteristics of these new platforms. Both Fundovino and Cruzu offer Before focusing on single experiences, it is worth looking at the main

DOI: 10.1057/9781137602985.0010

Source: Our elaboration from www.fundovino.com, updated on May 15, 2015.

Experiences of crowdfunding campaigns

ing projects, classified according to the nine categories utilized by 6.3, and 6.4 sum up the main characteristics of the funded and ongowith funds collected for a total amount of around 124,000€. Tables 6.2, On Fundovino platform, up to May 2015, 12 projects have been financed, Fundovino.

wine culture (a dictionary and two movies), and wine tourism; Table 6.3 displays funded projects promoting innovations (two) and investments In detail, Table 6.2 shows funded projects related to the world of wine,

TABLE 6.2 Fundovino—funded projects in the categories: culture and tourism Types of rewards:

	Pledge	Collected		tiered system where the more you donate the better the reward
Category/goal	amount	amount	Donation	you receive
Culture Dictionary for wine and wine	20.000€	27.820€	11 levels From €10 to 1,200	Bookmark, booklet, poster, bottles of wine, copies of the
ICACT 3				dictionary, the name as sponsor on the dictionary
A short movie on Grand Cru Zinnkoeplflé	4.860€	4.970€	8 levels From €15 to 250	Thank you on Facebook, bottles of wine, invitation to a tasting, the name in
A documentary	6.400€	6.400€	9 levels From €15 to 2,000	Thank you on Facebook, DVD,
				invitation to preview, bottles of wine, subscription to a French wine magazine, the name in the film credits
Wine tourism Organization of themed visits in the Cognac	1.500€	1.550€	9 levels From €5 to 190	Thank you on Facebook, USB storage devices, hats, visits

Category/goal	Pledge amount	Collected amount	Donation	Types of rewards: tiered system where the more you donate the better the reward you receive
Innovation				
Development of a kit for early on-field detection of the Flavescence Dorée disease	€15,000	€15,085	13 levels From €5 to 8,000	Thank you on social media, postcards, visits to the laboratories, T-shirts, coupons to purchase wine, offer kits to producers, a wine lovers tour, kits, heating blocks (that allow the DNA test on the field), name on marketing materials
Horse-drawn farming equipment—filing a patent and registration of three models	€7,340	€8,741	8 levels From €5 to 1,000	Thank you on Facebook, T-shirts, bottles of wine, introduction to horse plowing, wine tasting, workshops, vineyards tours, and farm tours
Adopt a grapevine Purchase of 20 acres of vines (Canon-Fronsac appellation)	€16,300	€16,723	12 levels From €10 to 1,000	Thank you on social media, bottles of wine, wine tasting sessions, vouchers to be used at the wine cellar
Vineyards Purchase of an ovoid wine tank for a special vintage	€7,400	€7,515	6 levels From €10 to 150	Thank you on Facebook, bottles of wine, bottles of the special vintage produced in numbered magnum
Wine cellar				
Purchase of egg-shaped tanks and barrels	€6,000	€6,340	6 levels From €10 to 100	Bottles of wine, bottles of organic wine, gourmet menu for two, a plaque in your name on the barrels or the tanks
Purchase and installation of an electronic temperature control system in the vat cellar	€4,565	€4,565	6 levels From €10 to 300	Thank you on Facebook, bottles of wine, wine tasting sessions, invitation to a party
Acquisition of a cask to produce their Petraea reserve	€15,200	€15,785	11 levels From €5 to 1,000	Thank you on Facebook, wine tasting sessions, bottles of wine and champagne, customized labels
Organic Natural				
Purchase of eco-friendly plows	€7,900	€8,185	8 levels From €5 to 500	Thank you on Facebook, bottles of wine, flacons, magnums, the amount of the donation to be spent in wine, even with personalized label

ated reward types offered, all organized in a multi-tiered system where among which contributors can choose from, and the various associbution and one falling into the category named other alcohol products projects are presented, among them, a project concerning wine distri-(six) through various production stages; finally, in Table 6.4 ongoing promoted by French initiators, with the exception of the ongoing project the higher the donation the better the reward you get. All projects are (stout) are shown. It is worth noting the wide range of donation-levels about wine tourism in the Duro Area.

of 48 percent. With the purpose of identifying the reasons behind the success or failure of a crowdfunding campaign, an email interview to the the campaigns launched have been funded, with a projects success rate According to Fundovino statistics, up to May 2015, less than the half of

TABLE 6.4 Fundovino—ongoing projects

	(•	
Category/goal	Pledge amount	Donation	Types of rewards: tiered system where the more you donate the better the reward you receive
Wine tourism Discovering the Douro Area	€500	5 levels From €5 to 50	Thank you, postcards, corkscrews, drop-stops, bottles of wines
Vineyards Acquisition of 2 vineyards (Gamay and Chardonnay) in Beaujolais villages	€5,000	15 levels From 65 to 300	Thank you on Facebook, bottles of wines, magnums, organic wines, customized labels
Wine shop Purchase of two Advineo wine distributors	€12,000	8 levels From 610 to 500	Thank you on Facebook, a map of the area, invitations to the inauguration, discount cards, bottles of wines, visits, tasting sessions, oenotouristic weekends
Other alcohols First biodynamic stout, aged in the most prestigious Sauternes casks	€7,000	8 levels From €10 to 380	Thank you on Facebook, bottles, aperitif-bag, lunches, tasting sessions, lessons.

Source: Our elaboration from www.fundovino.com, updated on May 15, 2015.

funding campaign. Specifically, a project must have: precious guidelines by entrepreneurs interested in taking up a crowd-Fundovino Team has been conducted. Its answers may be employed as

- A detailed description: as a matter of fact 'when you ask strangers to be reassured that the project owner is someone trustworthy and pictures, budgets and ideally a video' since a potential funder 'needs the project as much as possible' through 'detailed information, that the project is feasible and realistic. to hnance your project, you need to introduce yourself and describe
- Original, customized, and worth it rewards: apart from the value addition, 'rewards need to be available in a wide range of prices, in of the reward itself, a potential funder is also interested in 'what order to appeal to a large type of potential backers it represents and the limited amounts of the rewards available. In
- and money to it. After all 'if you can't convince people who know clients before starting to relate to strangers and draw their attention Enrolment of initiators' first and second circle: in the early stages of with strangers? you—and your project—to help you, how can you expect to do so the campaign, funders need to rest upon family, friends, users, and

potential detrimental factor to its success. a project, as long as it's in line with the project owner potential and the tioned to the nature and the size of the project, it does not become a project itself. In other words, if the amount of money asked is propor-Team, 'The amount of money asked is not a key factor for the success of No mention is made of pledge amount, since according to the Fundovino

with the winemaker (e.g., tasting sessions, release parties, or final blendthe most commonly offered rewards, followed by organized meetings \$20,000 for a project related to a Barolo vineyard in Piedmont that is a soon. Moreover, here donation levels are limited to 5 (starting from \$35), ing sessions) very valuable Italian territory for wine production. Bottles of wine are The pledge amount does not exceed the \$10,000 with one exception of resulting in a lower customization-degree offered to potential backers. making-related projects, though further categories are due to be added by its later launch. Up to June 2015, the platform only launched wineand 6.6) promoted on the site compared to Fundovino may be explained With regards to Cruzu, the smaller number of projects (see Tables 6.5

OAT

				Types of rewards: Tiered system where
	Pledge	Collected		the more you donate the better the reward you
Category/goal	amount	amount	Donation	receive
Winemaking				
Production of	\$10,000	\$10,560	5 levels	release party
wines from Napa				, .
Production of wine	\$7,500	\$8,060	5 levels	Bottles of wine,
from Napa Valley	,		From \$50 to 400	invitations to
vintage 2013				final blending
C ,				session, lunches
Production of high	\$7,500	\$7,718	5 levels	Bottles of wine,
elevation Pinot Noir			From \$38 to 230	release party
Creation of a new	\$20,000	\$20,669	5 levels	Bottles of wine,
single vineyard of			From \$39 to 349	tasting sessions,
Barolo in Piedmont				become a
				founder
Production of wine	\$7,500	\$8,235	5 levels	Bottles of wine,
from Napa Valley			From \$50 to 1,000	final blending
Croz Senitti				session, lunches

Source: Our elaboration from www.cruzu.com, updated on June 4, 2015.

TABLE 6.6 Cruzu—ongoing projects

	0 01 /		
Category/goal	Pledge	Donation	Types of rewards: Tiered system where the more you donate the better the reward you receive.
Winemaking			
Production of biodynamic wine from	\$7,500	5 levels From \$50 to 1,000	Bottles of wine, tasting sessions
Chile and support to enter into the US			
market Support to enter into	\$10,000	5 levels	Bottles of wine, winery
the US market with Barbaresco vintage		From \$39 to 349	tours, tastings, participations in the
2011			next harvest

Source: Our elaboration from www.cruzu.com, updated on June 4, 2015.

DOI: 10.1057/9781137602985.0010

Last but not least, for a better backer's involvement, a list of contributors providing profiles, comments posted on the site and the number of projects that she/he has backed is available on Cruzu. In order to ensure a higher involvement of potential backers, an updated flow of information—such as videos—related to the proceedings of ongoing and successfully closed projects is posted by winemakers.

Discussion of main results and implications

Crowdsourcing business model is ideally suited for the wine sector, as both a new approach to the radically changing consumer profile and a source of funding, since an overwhelming majority of producers is represented by small entrepreneurs that have difficulties in accessing other forms of financing.

As widely documented in many studies and recently reaffirmed by Rabobank (2014), one of the most important developments in today's wine market is a shift in the consumer base towards new markets, the so called non-traditional wine drinking countries, and new consumers. In those countries, the so-called Millennial generation—a cohort of individuals born between 1983 and 2004—represents the fastest growing wine consumer segment that appear to be behaving differently from the mainstay consumers of the past. In this scenario, the current challenge for wine companies is about adapting to the evolution of consumer preferences. 'As market segmentation is ushering in a new wave of innovation in global wine styles, marketing and distribution...a consumer centric approach will become key to drawing in—and remaining relevant to—new generations of wine drinkers' (Rabobank, 2014).

Atkin and Thach (2012) in their literature review have highlighted some Millennials' core characteristics that are worth mentioning concisely. Members of this generation, having grown up with Internet and technology that touched almost every aspect of their lives, have developed specific values, such as innovation, freedom, fun, collaboration, openness to diversity and challenge, apart from a greater attention to fair pricing and environmental practices of companies. In particular, the results of their research indicate that Millennials are much more concerned about making a mistake in wine choice compared to older wine consumers and rely heavily on friends' opinions and Internet searches for reducing the risk of choosing the wrong

wine. For all such aspects, involving those consumers in co-creation of value could prove itself to be a more attuned approach to fit their preferences and values, leading to a comparative advantage over

Winemakers and wine retailers can exploit a number of different options with the purpose of engaging customers, by involving them in the process of co-creation of value in a more or less extensive way. The Virtual Vintner program discussed here is an example of consumer involvement throughout every step of the winemaking process, a participation that implies and requires a willingness to learn and take a long term commitment. Nevertheless there are also opportunities of customers' involvement in relation to few less demanding choices such as wine name, bottle, and label design.

Moreover, customers can be involved as funders in supporting: winemaking investment projects, to improve production and distribution processes; new producers; products and process innovations; cultural projects related to the world of wine. Crowdfunding can offer unique support for entrepreneurs that goes beyond funding itself, as it can provide, without additional cost, the benefits of pre-sales, market research, crowd wisdom and above all word of mouth promotion that is proving even more effective amongst wine consumers. Primarily small wineries could take advantage from crowdsourcing because, as reported for the use of social media practices too (Thach and Lease, 2014), consumers attribute a high value to interfacing with someone who is close to the business and, in addition, supporting small entrepreneurs may motivate them further as funders.

However crowdsourcing requires a significant investment of time and the development of some skills. As highlighted in our interview to the Fondovino Team, confirming the results of other researches (Agrawal et al., 2011; Mollick, 2013), for a successful crowdfunding project, initiators must keep in mind that their projects are to be geared towards a crowd who, among other things, wants to know as much as possible about him/her and the project, so as to evaluate its overall reliability before deciding to get involved in it. Even a great project may not succeed if not promoted properly and if lacking an initial group of supporters functioning as the main driving force, such as relatives and networks of friends that support the project by sharing it on social networks. Furthermore, detailed presentations (e.g., attractive descriptions, biographic information, presentation videos) are signals of quality

of the projects' reliability that can help prevent the risk of fraud or abuse of funds, the main dangers that could jeopardize crowdfunding growth. At the same time, it has to be underlined that funders carry a specific risk when they back any project in winemaking, as, being wine a natural product, quantity and quality are subject to weather condition and other external factors, to such an extent that the characteristics of the wine promised as a reward may vary considerably from the initial expectations of both producers and customers.

Future expansion of crowdfunding and full exploitation of its potential, in the overall economy and wine sector, are heavily dependent on the fulfillment of some preconditions. First of all, there is still a general lack of awareness about crowdfunding as such and the mechanisms behind it, mainly in Europe. Sustainable growth in crowdfunding is only possible if users are informed on both its benefits and the risks, and are supported by the services offered by platforms and/or other actors (European Commission, 2014).

As CF platforms play a key role in reducing information asymmetry and building confidence in crowdfunding, there is a huge need for both transparency on platform's projects selection rules and charges, and collaboration among platforms in developing best practices to lower the risks of fraud and abuse of funds. This may be fulfilled, as suggested by De Buysere et al. (2012) and already implemented in some countries (such as United Kingdom), by establishing a quality label that would signal compliance with certain standards of transparency and functioning (European Commission, 2014). As for wine, to date, there are only two specifically dedicated platforms (respectively in France and the United States), though the creation of other platforms in major producing countries may help raise awareness about crowdfunding and, by focusing on the segment of wine lovers, offer more opportunities of engaging funders in long-term relationships to small and medium-sized enterprises.

Finally, with the aim of supporting successful crowdfunding campaigns and increasing trust among users, platforms and/or other actors may offer additional services. Among the others, two main categories are worth to be mentioned (Ramos, 2014): (i) technical services to improve the quality of the projects, such as assistance to develop a campaign, activate social networks, identify target groups set a realistic target budget and publicize the launched projects; (ii) legal services designed to create secure environment for businesses, as, given the novelty of

protection of ideas, investors and customers, taxation, and legislation. crowdfunding, there are still some issues of legal uncertainty regarding

References

- A. Agrawal, C. Catalini, and A. Goldfarb (2011) 'Friends, Family and the Flat World: The Geography of Crowdfunding, NBER Working Paper, No. 16820, Toronto (Canada), 1-61.
- T. Atkin and L. Thach (2012) 'Millennial Wine Consumers: Risk Perception and Information Search, Wine Economics and Policy, 1,
- P. Baeck and L. Collins (2013) Working the Crowd: A Short Guide to org.uk/sites/default/files/working_the_crowd.pdf, date accessed Crowdfunding and How It Can Work for You, http://www.nesta. February 16, 2015.
- P. Belleflamme, T. Lambert, and A. Schwienbacher (2014) 'Crowdfunding: Tapping the Right Crowd', Journal of Business Venturing, 29, 585-609.
- P. Belleflamme, N. Omrani, and M. Peitz (2015) 'The Economics of Crowdfunding Platforms', Center for Operations Research and cfm?abstract_id=2585611, date accessed May 30, 2015. Econometrics—Discussion Paper, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.
- C.S. Bradford (2012) 'Crowdfunding and the Federal Securities Laws', College of Law, Faculty Publications, Paper 119, 1–150.
- K. De Buysere, O. Gajda, R. Kleverlaan, and D. Marom (2012) europecrowdfunding.org/files/2013/06/FRAMEWORK_EU_ A Framework for European Crowdfunding, http://www. CROWDFUNDING.pdf, date accessed February 18, 2015.
- . Estellés-Arolas and F. González-Ladrón-de-Guevara (2012) "Towards an Integrated Crowdsourcing Definition, Journal of Information Science, 38(2), 189-200.
- European Commission (2014) Unleashing the potential of Crowdfunding in the European Union—Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee of the Regions, COM, 172.
- R. Gatautis and E. Vitkauskaite (2014) 'Crowdsourcing Application in Marketing Activities', Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 110,

- E.M. Gerber and J.S. Hui (2013) 'Crowdfunding: Motivations and Interaction (TOCHI) 20(6), Article No. 34, 1-25. Deterrents for Participation, ACM Transactions on Computer-Human
- E.M. Gerber, J.S. Hui, and P.Y. Kuo (2012) Crowdfunding: Why the People Are Motivated to Post and Fund Projects on Crowdfunding CSCW_Crowdfunding_Final.pdf, date accessed February 18, 2015. Platforms, http://www.juliehui.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/
- J. Hemer (2011) 'A Snapshot on Crowdfunding', Working Papers Firms and Region, No. R2, 1-39.
- J. Howe (2006) Crowdsourcing: A Definition. Wired Blog Network: crowdsourcing_a.html, accessed February 18, 2015. Crowdsourcing, http://crowdsourcing.typepad.com/cs/2006/06/
- Kleemann, G.G. Voß, and K. Rieder (2008) 'Un(der)paid Innovators: Crowdsourcing, Science Technology and Innovation Studies, 4(1), 5-26. The Commercial Utilization of Consumer Work through
- A. Mariani, A. Cataldo, and A. Vastola (2014) 'Consumers' Engagement Practice, Quality-Access to Success Journal, 15(143), 70-73. in Co-creation of Value and Crowdfunding: Naked Wine as a Best
- Massolution (2015) Crowdfunding Industry Report, http://www. crowd sourcing. or g/editorial/global-crowd funding-market-to-reach-each-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reach-reachdate accessed May 15, 2015. 344b-in-2015-predicts-massolutions-2015cf-industry-report/45376.
- E.R. Mollick (2013) 'The Dynamics of Crowdfunding: An Exploratory Study, Journal of Business Venturing, 29(1), 1–16.
- A. Oddani, L. Miceli, M. Pizzetti, and A. Parasuraman (2011) Innovative Service Platforms, Journal of Service Management, 22. 'Crowdfunding: Transforming Customers into Investors through
- . Pazowski and W. Czudec (2014) 'Economic Prospects and Condition February 18, 2015. ISBN/978-961-6914-09-3/papers/ML14-685.pdf, date accessed of Crowdfunding, pp. 1079–1088, http://www.toknowpress.net/
- F. Piller, C. Ihl, and A. Vossen (2010) 'A Typology of Customer org/10.2139/ssrn.1732127, date accessed February 18, 2015. Co-creation in the Innovation Process, pp. 1-26, http://dx.doi
- C.K. Prahalad and V. Ramaswamy (2004) 'Co-creation Experiences: The Next Practice in Value Creation, Journal of Interactive Marketing, 18,

- Rabobank (2014) 'Adapting to a Changing Consumer Landscape', Wine date accessed February 18, 2015. Quarterly Q3, published on July 30, pp. 1-5, http://pr.co/p/001rg6,
- V. Ramaswamy and F. Gouillart (2010) The Power of Co-Creation: Build Schuster, p. 288. It with Them to Boost Growth, Productivity, and Profits. Simon and
- J. Ramos (2014) Crowdfunding and the Role of Managers in Ensuring accessed February 18, 2015. is.jrc.ec.europa.eu/pages/EAP/eInclusion/employability.html, date Prospective Technological Studies, European Commission, http:// Research Center (JRC) Technical Report Series, JRC Institute for the Sustainability of Crowdfunding Platforms. J. Stewart (ed.), Joint
- M. Rossi (2014) 'The New Ways to Raise Capital: An Exploratory Study of Crowdfunding, International Journal of Financial Research, 5(2),
- A. Schwienbacher and B. Larralde (2012) 'Crowdfunding of Oxford Handbook of Entrepreneurial Finance. Oxford University Press. Entrepreneurial Ventures', Chapter 13, in D. Cumming (ed.) The
- B. Swindell (2014) 'La Crema Uses Crowdsourcing to Make Wine, crowdsourcing-to, date accessed February 18, 2015. www.pressdemocrat.com/home/3078429-181/la-crema-uses-Engage Consumers, The Press Democrat, November 9, 2014, http://
- L. Thach and T. Lease (2014) 'Exploring the Impact of Social Media Practices on Wine Sales in U.S. Wineries', Submitted to AWBR 8th International Conference Geisenheim, Germany.
- V. Zwass (2010) 'Co-creation: Toward a Taxonomy and an Integrated Research Perspective, International Journal of Electronic Commerce,

as Electronic Word of Mouth for Wine Marketers Wine? The Importance of **E-Conversations about Your** Online Discussion Forums **Are Customers Having**

David L. Dean and Sharon L. Forbes

forums devoted to wine and identifies their potential influence experiences can be delivered electronically. Of these, wine sources. The results document the anatomy of online wine on consumer attitudes and value for wine marketers. wine experiences. This chapter examines online discussion discussion forums are the least understood source of unbiased experiences of other consumers and with social media, these potentially valuable information source for wine consumers. discussions and indicate that wine forums are a visible and visibility was compared to other winery generated/controlled the conversation and individual post level and their Internet Fifty-one online wine discussion threads were analyzed at Abstract: Wine purchase decisions are influenced by the

DOI: 10.1057/9781137602985.0011. Wine Industry. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2016. Successful Social Media and Ecommerce Strategies in the Szolnoki, Gergely, Liz Thach, and Dani Kolb, eds.