Levy R, Ferber A, Ben-Arie A, et al. A randomised comparison of early versus late amniotomy following cervical ripening with a Foley catheter. BJOG 2002;109:168–72.

3. Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, Gluud C. Trial sequential analysis may establish when firm evidence is reached in cumulative meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 2008;61:64–75.

4. Technical Working Group. World Health Organization. Care in Normal Birth: a practical guide. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog. 2019.09.028

REPLY



We thank Drs Feng and Chen for their letter and their interest in our study. In our meta-analysis of randomized trials,¹ including 4 trials with 1273 participants, we showed that after cervical ripening, with either Foley catheter or prostaglandins, routine amniotomy does not increase the risk of cesarean delivery, and reduces the interval from induction to delivery, compared to a policy of no amniotomy or late amniotomy at the time of active stage of labor. Drs Feng and Chen state that the nonsignificant difference in the primary outcome, that is, cesarean delivery, may be associated with a type I error, due to the small sample size. Although this is certainly possible, the sample size was 1273. Moreover, the relative risk of the pooled results for the primary outcome is very close to 1 (1.05 with 95% confidence interval of 0.71-1.56). Although we agree that trial sequential analysis is an important statistical tool, standard meta-analysis using the Mantel-Haenszel method is the gold standard for metaanalysis of randomized trials, also recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration.²

There are several Cochrane reviews on the use of amniotomy in labor or for induction (with or without other interventions), with some of these reviews including more than 5500 women. None of them reports an increase in cesarean delivery.^{3–7}

In addition, although early amniotomy interferes with the physiological timing of fetal membrane rupture, several interventions interfering with the physiological natural time of delivery, for example, induction of labor at full term,^{8,9} have been associated with a decrease, not an increase, in the incidence of cesarean delivery, and with improved maternal or perinatal outcome.¹⁰ We certainly wish for more research on amniotomy.

Gabriele Saccone, MD Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry School of Medicine University of Naples Federico II Naples, Italy Valentino De Vivo, MD Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry School of Medicine University of Naples Federico II Naples, Italy Vincenzo Berghella, MD Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Sidney Kimmel Medical College of Thomas Jefferson University Philadelphia, PA

vincenzo.berghella@jefferson.edu

The authors report no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. De Vivo V, Carbone L, Saccone G, et al. Early amniotomy after cervical ripening for induction of labor: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019 Aug 6. pii: S0002-9378(19)30964-0. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.07.049. [Epub ahead of print].

2. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Available at: https://training.cochrane.org. Accessed August 22, 2019.

 Smyth RMD, Markham C, Dowswell T. Amniotomy for shortening spontaneous labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;6:CD006167.
Bricker L, Luckas M. Amniotomy alone for induction of labour.

Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000;4:CD002862.

5. Howarth G, Botha DJ. Amniotomy plus intravenous oxytocin for induction of labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2001;3:CD003250.

6. Thomas J, Kelly AJ, Kavanagh J. Oestrogens alone or with amniotomy for cervical ripening or induction of labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2001;4:CD003393.

7. Wei S, Wo BL, Qi HP, et al. Early amniotomy and early oxytocin for prevention of, or therapy for, delay in first stage spontaneous labour compared with routine care. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;8:CD006794.

8. Saccone G, Della Corte L, Maruotti GM, et al. Induction of labor at fullterm in pregnant women with uncomplicated singleton pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2019;98:958–66.

9. Saccone G, Berghella V. Induction of labor at full term in uncomplicated singleton gestations: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;213:629–36.

10. Grobman WA, Rice MM, Reddy UM, et al. Labor induction versus expectant management in low-risk nulliparous women. N Engl J Med 2018;379:513–23.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog. 2019.09.027