

# Searching for Fractal Structures in the Universal Steenrod Algebra at Odd Primes

Maurizio Brunetti and Adriana Ciampella

**Abstract.** Unlike the  $p = 2$  case, the universal Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  at odd primes does not have a fractal structure that preserves the length of monomials. Nevertheless, when  $p$  is odd we detect inside  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  two different families of nested subalgebras each isomorphic (as length-graded algebras) to the respective starting element of the sequence.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 13A50, 55S10.

**Keywords.** Universal Steenrod algebra, Cohomology operations.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be any prime. The so-called universal Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra extensively studied by the authors (see, for instance, [2]-[12]). On its first appearance, it has been described as the algebra of cohomology operations in the category of  $H_\infty$ -ring spectra (see [16]). Invariant-theoretic descriptions of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  can be found in [11] and [15]. When  $p$  is an odd prime, the augmentation ideal of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  is the free  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra over the set

$$\mathcal{S}_p = \{ z_{\epsilon,k} \mid (\epsilon, k) \in \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \} \quad (1.1)$$

subject to the set of relations

$$\mathcal{R}_p = \{ R(\epsilon, k, n), S(\epsilon, k, n) \mid (\epsilon, k, n) \in \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \}, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$R(\epsilon, k, n) = z_{\epsilon, pk-1-n} z_{0,k} + \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{(p-1)(n-j)-1}{j} z_{\epsilon, pk-1-j} z_{0, k-n+j}, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S(\epsilon, k, n) &= z_{\epsilon, pk-n} z_{1,k} + \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{(p-1)(n-j)-1}{j} z_{\epsilon, pk-j} z_{1, k-n+j} \\ &\quad + (1-\epsilon) \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{(p-1)(n-j)}{j} z_{1, pk-j} z_{0, k-n+j}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Such relations are known as *generalized Adem relations*. In (1.3) and (1.4), as throughout the paper, binomial coefficients  $\binom{a}{b}$  are understood to be 0 if  $a < 0$ ,  $b < 0$  or  $a < b$ .

The algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  is related to many Steenrod-like operations. For instance to those acting on the cohomology of a graded cocommutative Hopf algebra ([6], [14]), or the Dyer-Lashof operations on the homology of infinite loop spaces ([1] and [17]). Details of such connections, at least for  $p = 2$ , can be found in [5]. In particular, the ordinary Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  is a quotient of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$ . At odd primes, the algebra epimorphism is determined by

$$\zeta : z_{\epsilon, k} \mapsto \begin{cases} \beta^\epsilon P^k & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The kernel of the map  $\zeta$  turns out to be the principal ideal generated by  $z_{0,0} - 1$ .

All monic monomials in  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$ , with the exception of  $z_\emptyset = 1$  have the form

$$z_I = z_{\epsilon_1, i_1} z_{\epsilon_2, i_2} \cdots z_{\epsilon_m, i_m}, \quad (1.6)$$

where the string  $I = (\epsilon_1, i_1; \epsilon_2, i_2; \dots; \epsilon_m, i_m)$  is the *label* of the monomial  $z_I$ . By *length* of a monomial  $z_I$  of type (1.6) we just mean the integer  $m$ , while the length of any  $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p \subset \mathcal{Q}(p)$  is defined to be 0. Since Relations (1.3) and (1.4) are homogeneous with respect to length, the algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  can be regarded as a graded object.

A monomial and its label are said to be *admissible* if  $i_j \geq pi_{j+1} + \epsilon_{j+1}$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ . We also consider  $z_\emptyset = 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p \subset \mathcal{Q}(p)$  admissible. The set  $\mathcal{B}$  of all monic admissible monomials forms an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear basis for  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  (see [11]).

Through two different approaches, in [8] and [10] it has been shown that  $\mathcal{Q}(2)$  has a fractal structure given by a sequence of nested subalgebras  $\mathcal{Q}_s$ , each isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Q}$ . The interest in searching for fractal structures inside algebras of (co-)homology operations initially arouse in [18], where such structures were used as a tool to establish the nilpotence height of some elements in  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ . Results in the same vein are in [13].

Recently, in [7] the authors proved that no length-preserving strict monomorphisms turn out to exist in  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  when  $p$  is odd. Hence no descending chain of isomorphic subalgebras starting with  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  exists for  $p > 2$ . Results in [7] did not exclude the existence of fractal structures for proper subalgebras of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$ . As a matter of fact, the subalgebras  $\mathcal{Q}^0$  and  $\mathcal{Q}^1$  generated by the  $z_{0,h}$ 's and the  $z_{1,k}$ 's respectively (together with 1) turn out to have self-similar shapes, as stated in our Theorem 1.1, our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be any odd prime. For any  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$  there is a chain of nested subalgebras of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$*

$$\mathcal{Q}_0^\epsilon \supset \mathcal{Q}_1^\epsilon \supset \mathcal{Q}_2^\epsilon \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{Q}_s^\epsilon \supset \mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^\epsilon \supset \cdots$$

*each isomomorphic to  $\mathcal{Q}_0^\epsilon = \mathcal{Q}^\epsilon$  as length-graded algebras.*

Theorem 1.1 relies on the existence of two suitable algebra monomorphisms

$$\phi: \mathcal{Q}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi: \mathcal{Q}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}^1. \quad (1.7)$$

Indeed, we just set  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0 = \phi^s(\mathcal{Q}^0)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1 = \phi^s(\mathcal{Q}^1)$ , the restrictions  $\phi|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^0}$  and  $\psi|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^1}$  being the desired isomorphism between  $\mathcal{Q}_s^\epsilon$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ ).

For sake of completeness we point out that the algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  can also be filtered by the internal degree of its elements, defined on monomials as follows:

$$|\rho z_I| = \begin{cases} \sum_h (2i_h(p-1) + \epsilon_{i_h}), & \text{if } I = (\epsilon_1, i_1; \epsilon_2, i_2; \dots; \epsilon_m, i_m) \\ 0 & \text{if } I = \emptyset. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

In spite of its geometric importance, the internal degree will not play any role here.

We finally recall that the algebra  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  is not of finite type: for  $k \geq 0$  the pairwise distinct monomials  $z_{0,k} z_{0,-k}$  all have internal degree 0 and length 2, moreover they all belong to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of monic admissible monomials.

## 2. A first descending chain of subalgebras

We first need to establish some congruential identities. Let  $\mathbb{N}_0$  denote the set of all non-negative integers. Fixed any prime  $p$ , we write

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i(m) p^i \quad (0 \leq \gamma_i(m) < p) \quad (2.1)$$

to denote the  $p$ -adic expansion of a fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The following well-known Lemma is a standard device to compute mod  $p$  binomial coefficients.

**Lemma 2.1 (Lucas' Theorem).** *For any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0$ , the following congruential identity holds.*

$$\binom{a}{b} \equiv \prod_{i \geq 0} \binom{\gamma_i(a)}{\gamma_i(b)} \pmod{p}. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* See [13, p. 260] or [19, I 2.6]. Equation 2.2 follows the usual conventions:  $\binom{0}{0} = 1$ , and  $\binom{l}{r} = 0$  if  $0 \leq l < r$ .  $\square$

Congruence (2.2) immediately yields

$$\binom{p^r a}{p^r b} \equiv \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p} \quad \text{for every } r \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

since, in both cases, we find on the right side of (2.2) the same products of binomial coefficients, apart from  $r$  extra factors all equal to  $\binom{0}{0} = 1$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *For any  $(\ell, t, h) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \{1, \dots, p\}$ , the following congruential identity holds.*

$$\binom{p\ell - h}{pt} \equiv \binom{\ell - 1}{t} \pmod{p}. \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* Since  $p\ell - h = (p - h) + p(\ell - 1)$ , we have  $\gamma_0(p\ell - h) = p - h$ . Note also that  $\gamma_0(pt) = 0$ . According to Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\binom{p\ell - h}{pt} \equiv \binom{p - h}{0} \binom{p(\ell - 1)}{pt} \pmod{p}. \quad (2.5)$$

We now use Congruence 2.3 for  $r = 1$ , and the fact that  $\binom{k}{0} = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\square$

In order to make notation less cumbersome, we set

$$A(k, j) = \binom{(p-1)(k-j) - 1}{j}. \quad (2.6)$$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $(n, j)$  a couple of positive integers. Whenever  $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , the binomial coefficient  $A(pn, j)$  is divisible by  $p$ .*

*Proof.* If a fixed positive integer  $j$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then there exists a unique couple  $(l, h) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that  $j = pl - h$ . Hence, setting

$$T = (p-1)(n-l) + h - 1,$$

we get

$$A(pn, j) = \binom{(p-h-1) + pT}{(p-h) + p(l-1)} \equiv \binom{p-h-1}{p-h} \cdot \binom{T}{l-1} \pmod{p} \quad (2.7)$$

by Lemma 2.1 and Equation (2.3). Since  $p-h-1 < p-h$ , the first factor on the right side of Equation (2.7) is zero, so the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(s, n, j)$  a triple of positive integers. Whenever  $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$ , the binomial coefficient  $A(p^s n, j)$  is divisible by  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $s$ . The  $s = 1$  case is essentially Corollary 2.3.

Suppose now  $s > 1$ . The hypothesis on  $j$  is equivalent to the existence of a suitable  $(b, i) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{1, \dots, p^s - 1\}$  such that  $j = p^s b - i$ . Likewise, we can write  $i = pl - r$ , for a certain  $(l, r) \in \{1, \dots, p^{s-2}\} \times \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ .

We now distinguish two cases. If  $r = 0$ , the binomial coefficient  $A(p^s n, j)$  has the form  $\binom{p\ell-h}{pt}$  where

$$\ell = (p-1)(p^{s-1}n - p^{s-1}b + l), \quad h = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad t = p^{s-1}b - l.$$

By Corollary 2.2, we get

$$A(p^s n, j) \equiv A(p^{s-1}n, p^{s-1}b - l) \pmod{p},$$

and the latter is divisible by  $p$  by the inductive hypothesis.

Assume now  $1 \leq r \leq p-1$ . In this case,

$$A(p^s n, j) = \binom{r-1 + pT'}{r + p(p^{s-1}b - l)} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $T' = (p-1)(p^{s-1}n - p^{s-1}b + l) - r$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$A(p^s n, j) \equiv \binom{r-1}{r} \cdot \binom{T'}{p^{s-1}b - l} \pmod{p}. \quad (2.9)$$

The right side of Equation 2.9 vanishes, since  $r - 1 < r$ , and the proof is over.  $\square$

Lemmas and Corollaries proved so far will be helpful to reduce, in some particular cases, the number of potentially non-zero binomial coefficients in (1.3) and in (1.4). For instance, for any  $(h, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0$ , relations of type  $R(\epsilon, p^s h - \alpha_s, p^s n)$ , where

$$\alpha_s = \frac{p^s - 1}{p - 1} \quad (s \geq 1),$$

only involve generators in the set

$$\mathcal{T}_{(\epsilon, s)} = \{z_{\epsilon, p^s m - \alpha_s} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad (2.10)$$

as stated in the following Proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $(\epsilon, k, n, s)$  a fixed 4-tuple in  $\{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}$ . The polynomial  $R(\epsilon, p^s k - \alpha_s, p^s n)$  in (1.3) is actually equal to*

$$z_{\epsilon, p^s(p^{k-1}-n)-\alpha_s} z_{0, p^s k - \alpha_s} + \sum_j (-1)^j A(n, j) z_{\epsilon, p^s(p^{k-1}-j)-\alpha_s} z_{0, p^s(k-n+j)-\alpha_s}.$$

*Proof.* By definition (see (1.3)),  $R(\epsilon, p^s k - \alpha_s, p^s n)$  is equal to

$$z_{\epsilon, p(p^s k - \alpha_s) - 1 - p^s n} z_{0, p^s k - \alpha_s} + \sum_l (-1)^l A(p^s n, l) z_{\epsilon, p(p^s k - \alpha_s) - 1 - l} z_{0, p^s k - \alpha_s - p^s n + l}.$$

According to Lemma 2.4, the only possible non-zero coefficients in the sum above occur when  $l \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$ . Thus, after setting  $l = p^s j$ , we write  $R(\epsilon, p^s k - \alpha_s, p^s n)$  as

$$z_{\epsilon, p(p^s k - \alpha_s) - 1 - p^s n} z_{0, p^s k - \alpha_s} + \sum_j (-1)^{p^s j} A(p^s n, p^s j) z_{\epsilon, p(p^s k - \alpha_s) - 1 - p^s j} z_{0, p^s k - \alpha_s - p^s n + p^s j}. \quad (2.11)$$

In such polynomial we can replace  $z_{\epsilon, p(p^s k - \alpha_s) - 1 - p^s n}$  and  $z_{\epsilon, p(p^s k - \alpha_s) - 1 - p^s j}$  by

$$z_{\epsilon, p^s(pk-1-n)-\alpha_s} \quad \text{and} \quad z_{\epsilon, p^s(pk-1-j)-\alpha_s}$$

respectively, since  $p\alpha_s + 1 = p^s + \alpha_s$ . Finally, applying Equation (2.4) as many times as necessary, and recalling that we are supposing  $p$  odd, we get

$$(-1)^{p^s j} A(p^s n, p^s j) \equiv (-1)^j A(n, j) \pmod{p}. \quad (2.12)$$

$\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 2.5, the admissible expression of any non-admissible monomial with label  $(\epsilon, p^s h_1 - \alpha_s; 0, p^s h_2 - \alpha_s; \dots; 0, p^s h_m - \alpha_s)$  involves only generators in  $\mathcal{T}_{(\epsilon, s)}$ .

That's the reason why, for any non-negative integer  $s$ , there is a well-defined  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0$  generated by the set  $\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}_{(0, s)}$  and subject to relations

$$R(0, p^s h - \alpha_s, p^s n) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{Q}_0^0$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_1^0$  are the subalgebras of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  generated by the sets

$$\{1\} \cup \{z_{0, h} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{1\} \cup \{z_{0, ph-1} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

respectively. The former has been simply denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}^0$  in Section 1. The arithmetic identity

$$p^{s+1}h - \alpha_{s+1} = p^s(ph - 1) - \alpha_s, \quad (2.13)$$

implies that  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0 \supset \mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^0$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *A monomial of type*

$$z_I = z_{\epsilon, p^s h_1 - \alpha_s} z_{0, p^s h_2 - \alpha_s} \cdots z_{0, p^s h_m - \alpha_s} \quad (2.14)$$

is admissible if and only if  $h_i \geq ph_{i+1}$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

*Proof.* Admissibility for a monomial of type (2.14) is tantamount to the condition

$$p^s h_i - \alpha_s \geq p(p^s h_{i+1} - \alpha_s) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}.$$

Inequalities above are equivalent to

$$h_i \geq ph_{i+1} - \frac{p^s - 1}{p^s} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\},$$

and the ceiling of the real number on the right side is precisely  $ph_{i+1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear basis for  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0$  is given by the set  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Q}_s^0}$  of its monic admissible monomials.*

*Proof.* In [11] it is explained the procedure to express any monomial in  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  as a sum of admissible monomials. As Proposition 2.5 shows, the generalized Adem relations required to complete such procedure starting from a monomial in  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0$  only involve generators actually available in the set at hands.  $\square$

So far, we have established the existence of the following descending chain of algebra inclusions:

$$\mathcal{Q}^0 = \mathcal{Q}_0^0 \supset \mathcal{Q}_1^0 \supset \mathcal{Q}_2^0 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{Q}_s^0 \supset \mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^0 \supset \cdots,$$

On the free  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $\mathbb{F}_p(\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}_{(0,0)})$  we now define a monomorphism  $\Phi$  acting on the generators as follows

$$\Phi(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(z_{0,k}) = z_{0, pk-1}. \quad (2.15)$$

We set  $\Phi^0 = 1_{\mathbb{F}_p(S_p)}$  and  $\Phi^s = \Phi \circ \Phi^{s-1}$  for  $s \geq 1$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *For each  $s \geq 0$ ,*

$$\Phi^s(z_{0,i_1} \cdots z_{0,i_m}) = z_{0, p^s i_1 - \alpha_s} \cdots z_{0, p^s i_m - \alpha_s}, \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\Phi^s(R(0, k, n)) = R(0, p^s k - \alpha_s, p^s n). \quad (2.17)$$

*Proof.* Equations (2.16) and (2.17) are trivially true for  $s = 0$ . For  $s \geq 1$  use an inductive argument taking into account (2.13) and Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\pi : \mathbb{F}_p\{\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}_{(0,0)}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^0$  be the quotient map. There exists an algebra monomorphism  $\phi$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{F}_p\{\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}_{(0,0)}\} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{F}_p\{\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}_{(0,0)}\} \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \mathcal{Q}^0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{Q}^0
 \end{array} \tag{2.18}$$

*commutes.*

*Proof.* By Equation (2.17), it follows in particular that

$$\Phi(R(0, k, n)) = R(0, pk - 1, pn).$$

Therefore there exists a well-defined algebra map

$$\phi : z_{0,i_1} z_{0,i_2} \cdots z_{0,i_m} \in \mathcal{Q}^0 \mapsto z_{0,pi_1-1} z_{0,pi_2-1} \cdots z_{0,pi_m-1} \in \mathcal{Q}^0.$$

Such map is injective since the set  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Q}_s^0}$  – an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear basis for  $\mathcal{Q}^0$  according to Proposition 2.7 – is mapped onto admissibles by Lemma 2.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *The algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0$  is isomorphic to its subalgebra  $\mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^0$ .*

*Proof.* By Propositions 2.8 and 2.9, we can argue that  $\phi^s(\mathcal{Q}^0) = \mathcal{Q}_s^0$ . Hence the map

$$\phi|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^0} : \text{Im } \phi^s \longrightarrow \text{Im } \phi^{s+1}$$

gives the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

Corollary 2.10 proves Theorem 1.1 for  $\epsilon = 0$ .

### 3. A second descending chain of subalgebras

The aim of this Section is to provide a proof for the  $\epsilon = 1$  case of Theorem 1.1. We choose to follow as close as possible the line of attack put forward in Section 2.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(k, n, s)$  a fixed triple in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}$ . In (1.4) the polynomial  $S(1, p^s k, p^s n)$  is actually equal to*

$$z_{1,p^s(p k-n)} z_{1,p^s k} + \sum_j (-1)^{j+1} A(n, j) z_{1,p^s(p k-j)} z_{1,p^s(k-n+j)}.$$

*Proof.* By definition (see 1.4),

$$S(1, p^s k, p^s n) = z_{1,p^s(p k-n)} z_{1,p^s k} + \sum_l (-1)^{l+1} A(p^s n, l) z_{1,p^{s+1} k-l} z_{1,p^s k-p^s n+l}. \tag{3.1}$$

According to Lemma 2.4, the only possible non-zero coefficients in the sum above are those with  $l \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$ . Setting  $l = p^s j$ , the polynomial (3.1) becomes

$$z_{1,p^{s+1} k-p^s n} z_{1,p^s k} + \sum_j (-1)^{p^s j+1} A(p^s n, p^s j) z_{1,p^{s+1} k-p^s j} z_{1,p^s k-p^s n+p^s j}.$$

The result now follows from Equation (2.12).  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 implies that relations of type  $S(1, p^s h, p^s n)$  only involve generators of type  $z_{1, p^s m}$ . therefore the admissible expression of any non-admissible monomial with label  $(1, p^s h_1; 1, p^s h_2; \dots; 1, p^s h_m)$  only involves generators in the set

$$\mathcal{T}'_{(1,s)} = \{z_{1, p^s m} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (3.2)$$

So it makes sense to define  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1$  as the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra generated by the set  $\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}'_{(1,s)}$  and subject to relations

$$S(1, p^s h, p^s n) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Each  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1$  is actually a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$ . We have inclusions  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1 \supset \mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^1$ . In Section 1, the algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_0^1$  has been simply denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}^1$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *A monomial of type*

$$z_{1, p^s h_1} z_{1, p^s h_2} \cdots z_{1, p^s h_m} \quad (3.3)$$

in  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1 \subset \mathcal{Q}(p)$  is admissible if and only if  $h_i \geq p h_{i+1} + 1 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ .

*Proof.* By definition, the monomial (3.3) is admissible if and only if

$$p^s h_i \geq p(p^s h_{i+1}) + 1 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}.$$

Inequalities above are equivalent to

$$h_i \geq p h_{i+1} + \frac{1}{p^s} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\},$$

and the ceiling of the real number on the right side is precisely  $p h_{i+1} + 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear basis for  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1$  is given by the set  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Q}_s^1}$  of its monic admissible monomials.*

*Proof.* Follows verbatim the proof of Proposition 2.7, just replacing ‘‘Proposition 2.5’’ by ‘‘Proposition 3.1’’ and  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0$  by  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1$ .  $\square$

We are now going to prove that the subalgebras in the descending chain

$$\mathcal{Q}^1 = \mathcal{Q}_0^1 \supset \mathcal{Q}_1^1 \supset \mathcal{Q}_2^1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{Q}_s^1 \supset \mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^1 \supset \cdots,$$

are all isomorphic. To this aim we consider the injective endomorphism  $\Psi$  on the free  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $\mathbb{F}_p\langle\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}'_{(1,0)}\rangle$  by setting

$$\Psi(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(z_{1,k}) = z_{1, pk}. \quad (3.4)$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\pi' : \mathbb{F}_p\langle\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}'_{(1,0)}\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^1$  be the quotient map. There exists an algebra monomorphism  $\psi$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_p\langle\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}'_{(1,0)}\rangle & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathbb{F}_p\langle\{1\} \cup \mathcal{T}'_{(1,0)}\rangle \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{Q}^1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{Q}^1 \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

commutes.



*Proof.* Since  $\Psi^s(z_{1,i_1} \cdots z_{1,i_m}) = z_{1,p^s i_1} \cdots z_{1,p^s i_m}$ , by Proposition 3.1 we argue that

$$\Psi^s(S(1, k, n)) = S(1, p^s k, p^s n). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore there exists a well-defined algebra map

$$\psi : z_{1,i_1} \cdots z_{1,i_m} \in \mathcal{Q}^1 \longmapsto z_{1,pi_1} \cdots z_{1,pi_m} \in \mathcal{Q}^1.$$

Such map is injective since the set  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Q}_s^1}$  – an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear basis for  $\mathcal{Q}^1$  according to Proposition 3.3 – is mapped onto admissibles by Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *The algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_s^1$  is isomorphic to its subalgebra  $\mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^1$ .*

*Proof.* By Equation (3.6) and Proposition 3.4, we can argue that  $\psi^s(\mathcal{Q}^1) = \mathcal{Q}_s^1$ . Thus, the desired isomorphism is given by

$$\psi|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^1} : \text{Im } \psi^s \longrightarrow \text{Im } \psi^{s+1}.$$

$\square$

## 4. Further substructures

For each  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we define  $V_s$  to be the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector subspace of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  generated by the set of monomials

$$\mathcal{U}_s = \{z_{1,p^s h_1 - \alpha_s} z_{0,p^s h_2 - \alpha_s} \cdots z_{0,p^s h_m - \alpha_s} \mid m \geq 2, (h_1, \dots, h_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m\}.$$

Equation 2.13 implies that  $V_s \supset V_{s+1}$ . None of the  $V_s$ 's is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$ , nevertheless, by Proposition 2.5 and the nature of relations (1.3) it follows that  $V_s$  can be endowed with a right  $\mathcal{Q}_s^0$ -module structure just by considering multiplication in  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$ . By using once again Lemma 2.6 and the argument along the proof of Proposition 2.7, we get

**Proposition 4.1.** *An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear basis for  $V_s$  is given by the set  $\mathcal{B}_{V_s}$  of its monic admissible monomials.*

**Proposition 4.2.** *The map between sets*

$$z_{1,i_1} z_{0,i_2} \cdots z_{0,i_m} \in \mathcal{U}_0 \longmapsto z_{1,pi_1-1} z_{0,pi_2-1} \cdots z_{0,pi_m-1} \in \mathcal{U}_0$$

can be extended to a well-defined injective  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map  $\lambda : V_0 \longrightarrow V_0$ . Moreover

$$\lambda^s(V_0) = V_s \subset V_0. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Proposition 2.8, Equation 2.13 and Proposition 2.5 show that the  $s$ -th power of the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map

$$\Lambda : z_{\epsilon_1, i_1} z_{\epsilon_2, i_2} \cdots z_{\epsilon_m, i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{S}_p \rangle \longmapsto z_{\epsilon_1, pi_1-1} z_{\epsilon_2, pi_2-1} \cdots z_{\epsilon_m, pi_m-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{S}_p \rangle$$

maps the polynomial  $R(\epsilon, k, n) \in \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{S}_p \rangle$  onto  $R(\epsilon, p^s k - \alpha_s, p^s n)$ . Hence there are two maps  $\bar{\Lambda}$  and  $\lambda$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{S}_p \rangle & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{S}_p \rangle \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{U}_0 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Lambda}} & \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{U}_0 \rangle \\
\downarrow \pi'' & & \downarrow \pi'' \\
V_0 & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & V_0
\end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

commutes, where  $\pi'' : \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{U}_0 \rangle \rightarrow V_0$  is the quotient map. Finally, taking into account Equation 2.13, one checks that

$$\lambda^s(z_{1,i_1} z_{0,i_2} \cdots z_{0,i_m}) = z_{1,p^s i_1 - \alpha_s} z_{0,p^s i_2 - \alpha_s} \cdots z_{0,p^s i_m - \alpha_s}. \tag{4.3}$$

Since Equation (4.3) implies (4.1), the proof is over.  $\square$

We now introduce a category  $\mathcal{K}$  whose objects are couples  $(M, R)$ , with  $R$  being any ring, and  $M$  any right  $R$ -module. A morphism between two objects  $(M, R)$  and  $(N, S)$  is given by a couple  $(f, \omega)$  where  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a group homomorphism and  $\omega : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, furthermore

$$f(mr) = f(m)\omega(r) \quad \forall (m, r) \in (M, R).$$

The category  $\mathcal{K}$  is partially ordered by “inclusions”. More precisely we say that

$$(M, R) \subseteq (M', R')$$

if  $M$  is a subgroup of  $M'$  and  $R$  is a subring of  $R'$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *The objects in  $\mathcal{K}$  of the descending chain*

$$(V_0, \mathcal{Q}_0^0) \supset (V_1, \mathcal{Q}_1^0) \supset \cdots \supset (V_s, \mathcal{Q}_s^0) \supset (V_{s+1}, \mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^0) \supset \cdots$$

are all isomorphic.

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.2 it follows that  $\lambda|_{V_s} : V_s \rightarrow V_{s+1}$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces. Thus, recalling Corollary 2.10, the desired isomorphism in  $\mathcal{K}$  is given by

$$(\lambda|_{V_s}, \phi|_{\mathcal{Q}_s^0}) : (V_s, \mathcal{Q}_s^0) \rightarrow (V_{s+1}, \mathcal{Q}_{s+1}^0).$$

$\square$

## 5. A final remark

Theorem 1.1 in [7] says that no strict algebra monomorphism in  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  exists when  $p$  is odd. Hence there is no chance to find algebra endomorphisms over  $\mathcal{Q}(p)$  extending the maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  defined in Sections 2 and 3 respectively. Just to give an idea about the obstructions you come up with, consider the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map

$$\Theta : \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{S}_p \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p\langle \mathcal{S}_p \rangle$$

defined on monomials as follows

$$\Theta(z_{\epsilon_1, i_1} \cdots z_{\epsilon_m, i_m}) = z_{\epsilon_1, p i_1} \cdots z_{\epsilon_m, p i_m}.$$

Neither the map  $\Theta$  nor the map  $\Lambda$  introduced in Section 4 stabilizes the entire set (1.2). Indeed, take for instance

$$R(0, 0, 0) = z_{0,-1} z_{0,0} \quad \text{and} \quad S(1, 0, 0) = z_{1,0} z_{1,0}.$$

The polynomial

$$\Theta(R(0, 0, 0)) = z_{0,-p} z_{0,0} \tag{5.1}$$

does not belong to the set  $\mathcal{R}_p$ . In fact, the only polynomial in  $\mathcal{R}_p$  containing (5.1) as a summand is

$$R(0, 0, p-1) = z_{0,-1-(p-1)} z_{0,0} + z_{0,-1} z_{0,-p+1}.$$

Similarly, the polynomial

$$\Lambda(S(1, 0, 0)) = z_{1,-1} z_{1,-1}$$

does not belong to the set  $\mathcal{R}_p$ , since it consists of a single admissible monomial, whereas each element in  $\mathcal{R}_p$  always contains a non-admissible monomial among its summands.

## References

- [1] S. Araki, T. Kudo, *Topology of  $H_n$ -spaces and  $H$ -squaring operations*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyusyu Univ. Ser. A **10** (1956), 85–120.
- [2] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *The Cohomology of the Universal Steenrod algebra*, Manuscripta Math., **118** (2005), 271–282.
- [3] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *An Embedding for the  $E_2$ -term of the Adams Spectral Sequence at Odd Primes*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series **22** (2006), no. 6, 1657–1666.
- [4] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, *A Priddy-type Koszulness criterion for non-locally finite algebras*, Colloquium Mathematicum **109** (2007), no. 2, 179–192.
- [5] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *Homology and cohomology operations in terms of differential operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **42** (2010), no. 1, 53–63.
- [6] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *An Example in the Singer Category of Algebras with Coproducts at Odd Primes*, Vietnam J. Math. **44** (2016), no. 3, 463–476.
- [7] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *Length-preserving monomorphisms for some algebras of operations*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. **23** (2017), no. 1, 487–500.
- [8] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, *The Fractal Structure of the Universal Steenrod Algebra: an invariant-theoretic description*, Appl. Math. Sci. Ruse, Vol. **8** no. 133 (2014), 6681–6687.
- [9] M. Brunetti, L. A. Lomonaco, *Chasing non-diagonal cycles in a certain system of algebras of operations*, Ricerche Mat. **63** (Suppl. 1) (2014), 57–68.

- [10] A. Ciampella, *On a fractal structure of the universal Steenrod algebra*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli, vol. 81, (4) (2014), 203–207 .
- [11] A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *The Universal Steenrod Algebra at Odd Primes*, Communications in Algebra **32** (2004), no. 7, 2589–2607.
- [12] A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *Homological computations in the universal Steenrod algebra*, Fund. Math. **183** (2004), no. 3, 245–252.
- [13] I. Karaca, *Nilpotence relations in the mod  $p$  Steenrod algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **171** (2002), no. 2–3, 257–264.
- [14] A. Liulevicius, *The factorization of cyclic reduced powers by secondary cohomology operations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1962).
- [15] Lomonaco L. A., *Dickson invariants and the universal Steenrod algebra*. Topology, Proc. 4th Meet., Sorrento/Italy 1988, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser. **24** (1990), 429–443.
- [16] J. P. May, *A General Approach to Steenrod Operations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. **168**, Berlin: Springer, 153–231 (1970).
- [17] J. P. May, *Homology operations on infinite loop spaces*, Algebraic topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXII, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1970), pp. 171–185. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1971).
- [18] K. G. Monks, *Nilpotence in the Steenrod algebra*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2) **37** (1992), no. 1-2, 401–416 (Papers in honor of José Adem).
- [19] N. E. Steenrod, *Cohomology Operations*, lectures written and revised by D. B. A. Epstein, Ann. of Math. Studies **50**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1962).

Maurizio Brunetti

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni,  
Università di Napoli “Federico II”,  
Piazzale Tecchio 80 I-80125 Napoli, Italy.  
e-mail: [maurizio.brunetti@unina.it](mailto:maurizio.brunetti@unina.it)

Adriana Ciampella

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni,  
Università di Napoli “Federico II”,  
Piazzale Tecchio 80 I-80125 Napoli, Italy.  
e-mail: [adriana.ciampella@unina.it](mailto:adriana.ciampella@unina.it)