REVIEW

CLINICAL AND MOLECULAR ALLERGY

Open Access

Engineered metal based nanoparticles and innate immunity

Claudia Petrarca¹, Emanuela Clemente², Valentina Amato¹, Paola Pedata³, Enrico Sabbioni¹, Giovanni Bernardini^{4,5}, Ivo Iavicoli⁶, Sara Cortese², Qiao Niu⁷, Takemi Otsuki⁸, Roberto Paganelli^{1,2} and Mario Di Gioacchino^{1,2*}

Abstract

Almost all people in developed countries are exposed to metal nanoparticles (MeNPs) that are used in a large number of applications including medical (for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes). Once inside the body, absorbed by inhalation, contact, ingestion and injection, MeNPs can translocate to tissues and, as any foreign substance, are likely to encounter the innate immunity system that represent a non-specific first line of defense against potential threats to the host. In this review, we will discuss the possible effects of MeNPs on various components of the innate immunity (both specific cells and barriers). Most important is that there are no reports of immune diseases induced by MeNPs exposure: we are operating in a safe area. However, in vitro assays show that MeNPs have some effects on innate immunity, the main being toxicity (both cyto- and genotoxicity) and interference with the activity of various cells through modification of membrane receptors, gene expression and cytokine production. Such effects of MeNPs, as workers of industries producing or applying MeNPs, should be monitored for possible health effects. On the other hand, understanding the modality of the effects on immune responses is essential to develop medical applications for MeNPs. Indeed, those MeNPs that are able to stimulate immune cells could be used to develop of new vaccines, promote immunity against tumors and suppress autoimmunity.

Keywords: Immunotoxicity, Cell receptors, Immunostimulation, Barriers, Adjuvant, Cytokines

Introduction

Human exposure to engineered nanoparticles (NPs) is at present widespread. The general population is subjected to a lower risk compared to workers of industries producing or using NPs [1] and, obviously, extremely relevant is the exposure of patients receiving NP-based drugs for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes. NPs are used as biosensors, photo-detectors, sensing devices, catalysts, sorbents, semiconductors [2]. In nanomedicine, they are used in diagnostic imaging, vaccines, cancer therapy and drug delivery [3–6]. In any way, either assimilated by environmental exposure or deliberately administered to humans, they may interact with cellular and molecular targets and can also trigger unpredicted and potentially harmful outcomes. Therefore, information on biological

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

effects and safety of these emerging manufactured products is mandatory.

Speculations on the possible effects of metal-based nanoparticles (nanoparticles made of elemental metals and their oxides and compounds - MeNPs) on human cells is not straightforwardly deducible from bulk metallic matter. This is because in the nanoworld, dose and concentration are not the most relevant factors for the toxicological profile but, rather surface specific area and physicochemical properties, such as ions leakage, magnetism, crystalline and electronic configurations should be taken into account [7, 8].

Moreover, metal toxicology definition is further complicated by the biphasic dose–response relationship of some metallic elements, the so-called hormetic-like behavior [9]. For doses below the threshold, the response can likely reflect an adaption of modest magnitude [10]. Additional complexity is given by the aggregation/agglomeration tendency of certain types of MeNPs and the tunable effect of the milieu [11]. The fact that a large number of studies are



© 2015 Petrarca et al.; licensee BioMed Central. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: m.digioacchino@unich.it

¹Immunotoxicology and Allergy Unit, Ageing Research Center G. d'Annunzio University Foundation, Chieti, Italy

²Department of Medicine and Science of Ageing, G. d'Annunzio University, Chieti, Italy

published on technical more than biomedical journals is symptomatic of the difficulty to adapt current biological tests to the assessment of NP toxicology.

Once inside the body, absorbed by inhalation, contact, ingestion and injection, MeNPs can translocate to tissues and, as any foreign substance, are likely to encounter the innate immune system [12]. The first response of the innate immune system to harmful substances is mediated by inflammation. The process is initiated by cells present in all tissues mainly resident as macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils and mast cells. They produce a variety of chemical factors that induce vasodilation and favor chemotaxis of phagocytes [13]. Generating high levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) upon interaction with cellular components [14] causes accumulation of oxidized glutathione (GSSG). The extent of the generated oxidative stress determines the pathophysiologic potential of the NPs as it activates pro-inflammatory signals, favoring cell death [14] or cancer [15].

Cells of the innate immune system, particularly macrophages and dendritic cells, communicate with the adaptive immune system inducing tolerance or an immune reaction depending from the safety or harmfulness of the absorbed substance [13].

Numerous experimental evidences support the role of the innate immune cells in the onset of inflammatory pathways in response to MeNP exposure. Immunotoxicity comprises activation/dysregulation of macrophages and antigen presenting cells (APC) [16, 17].

There are evidences that in animals, MeNPs cause aspecific immune responses, immunosuppression and autoimmunity and associated morphological alterations of the immunologically active tissues [18]. These effects are attributable to both physical characteristics (mainly size) of NPs and to their chemical nature (through released ions) [19–21]. Moreover, MeNPs may affect immune system function indirectly by changing essential elements homeostasis due to released ions [22]. Metallic elements, their ions and compounds, have been clearly related to immune system mediated diseases. The finding that exposure to platinum, chromium, nickel, beryllium, and mercury can cause asthma and allergic contact dermatitis in professional workers provided the first clue of their possible detrimental influence [23].

There is no information in literature regarding the correlation of the onset of some disease as consequence of MeNPs exposure in the general population. However, it has been observed the increase in palladium (Pd) allergic contact dermatitis, in parallel with the increased pollution of PdNPs emitted from catalytic converters [24]. The main concern is for people exposed to large amounts or high concentrations of MeNPs, as workers in NP-making industries or in patients receiving NP-based drugs.

Review

In this review, we will examine the possible immune effects of MeNPs, focusing our attention on the innate immune system (both specific cells and barriers), and we will discuss future research lines.

Nanoparticle/cell surface receptor interaction

Increasing experimental evidences suggest that cells of the innate immune system react to MeNPs through the same mechanisms developed to destroy pathogens. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are crucial sensor molecules, which detect conserved molecular patterns of microbes and viruses and initiate innate immune response [25]. Toll-like receptor 4 (TRL-4) appears to be sensor and signal transducer for CoNPs ending up with activation of the innate immune response and pro-inflammatory cytokines production [26]. In fact, no pro-inflammatory response is produced when using blocking antibodies against TRL-4 or when TLR4-negative cells are challenged, whereas an enhanced reaction is observed by TLR4-overexpressing cells [26]. Through the activation of TRL-4, human monocyte/macrophages THP-1 exposed to Co microparticles (0.5-2 µm) activate a signaling pathway leading to IL-8 release. This presumably leads to neutrophil attraction that in turn might phagocytose and eliminate the particles. An analogous IL-8-mediated mechanism might function for aggregated/agglomerated CoNPs, on epithelial cell types [27-29].

Non-toxic exposure (10 μ g/mL) to TiO₂ NPs and ZnO NPs did not significantly alter the phenotype of MDDC, whereas subtoxic concentrations of ZnO NPs, but not of TiO₂ NPs, induced a down regulation of Fc γ RIII (CD16) expression on NK-cells, suggesting an effect on Fc γ R-mediated immune responses [30].

Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) of various sizes (ranging from 4 to 45 nm) have been found to affect macrophage response against microbial pathogens through accumulation in the lysosomes and inhibition of TLR-9 function. In fact, AuNPs impede the binding of bacterial DNA fragments (CpG-ODN) specifically recognized by this receptor, as well as the downstream signaling pathway involving JNK and NF-kB activation, leading to lower TNF- α production. AuNPs are easily internalized by phagocytic and tumor-infiltrating macrophages used as a sort of Trojan horse to target (silica-coated) Au-nanoshells to the center of human breast carcinoma spheroids, thus allowing the killing of bystander tumor cells [31]. Similarly, AuNPs are internalized by human T cells and targeted to the tumor in a xenograft model of lymphoma [32]. AuNPs, commonly considered biologically inactive and non-cytotoxic, have become one of the ideal nanomaterials for medical applications. However, once engulfed by phagocytes, the immunological effects of AuNPs are still of concern and require exhaustive investigation.

Table 1 summarizes the main interactions of MeNPs with receptors of the innate immune cells.

Immune depression and pro-inflammatory response

Monocytes/macrophages exposed to MeNPs (Co-, ZnO-, CeO₂- and TiO₂NPs) can die by necrosis and apoptosis, a phenomenon that depends on concentration, chemical nature, size and structure of NPs [33-37] as assessed with various in vitro assays. At non-cytotoxic doses, however, MeNPs generate pro-inflammatory effects. AgNP-exposed macrophages were promptly induced to produce IL-8, as well as oxidative stress genes (hemeoxygenase-1, heat shock protein-70), in a size-dependent way. In fact, 5 nm NPs produced an early effect, while 100 nm particles failed to do so [38]. The inverse relationship between size and cytotoxic effect of AgNPs is confirmed on human blood monocytes that produce higher levels of hydrogen peroxide when exposed to 5 nm compared to 28 nm NPs. Moreover, the potential of activating the innate immunity, measured as production of IL-1 β , and induction of inflammasome formation and other effects, was higher for the smaller AgNPs [39]. ZiONPs behave similarly. As for Ag, at an equivalent mass load, smaller particles induce a greater cytotoxicity in exposed monocyte/ macrophages [40].

Interestingly, in human peripheral blood lympho/ monocytes, CoNPs induced an increase of TNF- α and IFN- γ release along with an inhibition of IL-10 and IL-2, a cytokine pattern similar to that detected in the experimental and clinical autoimmunity and in allergic contact dermatitis [41]. It is not possible to exclude that this proinflammatory response could be triggered by Co²⁺ ions that are known to abundantly leak from CoNPs.

Many metal oxide NPs (made of CuO, TiO₂, ZnO, Fe_2O_3 , Fe_3O_4) induce cytotoxicity and DNA damage in A549 type II lung epithelial cells. Amongst them, CuNPs evoke inflammatory responses stronger than the other metal oxides [42], and in an in vivo model, they induced an increase of neutrophils and associated cytokines in the lung as well as signs of cytotoxicity [43]. However, when mice were exposed to both CuNPs and *Klebsiella*,

bacterial clearance was decreased respect to mice not treated by NPs. This suggests that CuNPs exposure might lead to increased risk of pulmonary infection by impairing host defense against bacteria. Similar results have been obtained by other authors with single-walled carbon nanotube [44]. However, it is not certain whether these NPs directly impair the immune system. It has been demonstrated that the rate of bacterial clearance depends on the ratio of neutrophils to bacteria and that a reduced recruitment of neutrophils with a severe neutrophil inflammation are accompanied by a reduced bacterial killing [45, 46].

Intratracheally instilled Fe_2O_3NPs induce lung inflammation as assessed by increased cytokine productions by cultured lung lymph node cells and decreased pulmonary immune responses against sheep erythrocytes. Both levels of inflammation and immunodepression were greater than those induced by the corresponding microparticles [47].

A recent in vitro study enlightened the differential response of human antigen presenting cells with different roles in innate and adaptive immunity, macrophages and dendritic cells, to ZnO and TiO₂ NPs. ZnONPs caused cell death in a dose-dependent manner, but at sub-toxic doses both kinds appear to follow the typical storage, transport and detoxification route of metals through upregulation of the gene encoding metallothioneins. Nevertheless, dendritic cells appear less distressed by ZnONPs compared to macrophages, with only 12 genes affected, compared to the 2703 genes in macrophages. In macrophages, ZnONPs affect main biological processes regarding cell death and growth and controlling the development of the immune system. This effect was essentially dependent on particle dissolution and was strongly reduced when NPs were modified to reduce Zn^{2+} release [48].

Heavy metals and almost all MeNPs can also activate autophagy [35, 49–52], a fundamental eukaryotic pathway controlling inflammation through regulatory interactions with innate immune cells, by removing endogenous oxidative stress-damaged mitochondria and modulating the secretion of immune mediators [53–55]. Moreover,

Table 1 Main interactions of metal nanoparticles with receptors of the immune cells

NP	Cells	Effect	Dose/Diameter	Ref
CoNP	Monocyte/Macrophages	-Activation of the innate immune response		[26]
		-Release of inflammatory cytokines through TLR-4		
TiO ₂ NP ZnONP	Human lymphocytes	-No alterations of MDDC phenotype	10 µg/mL	[30]
ZnONP	Human lymphocytes	-Down regulation of CD16 on NK-cells	subtoxic	[30]
AuNP	Macrophages	-Reduction of macrophage response against pathogens	4-45 nm	[32]
		-Accumulation of NPs in the lysosomes		
		-Inhibition of TRL9 function		
		-Inhibition of TNF-a production		
-				

autophagy contributes to antigen presentation and to T cell homeostasis, and it affects T cell repertoires and polarization [56].

Mitogen-stimulated human primary lympho/monocytes exposed to Co and PdNPs show autophagic vacuoles, associated with the alteration of cell cycle, in particular with prolongation of G1-phase [20, 24], and, in the case of hematopoietic progenitor cells, with prolongation of G2/M-phase [20]. Delayed cell cycle-phases are likely due to DNA replication fidelity checkpoints that, in case of failure, cause mutations and genomic arrangements promoting cancer development. Moreover, IL-8 was released by the primary human lympho/monocytes upon exposure to a sub-toxic dose of PdNPs. Notably, such effect was not observed for immature progenitor cells of the myeloid lineage (CD133+) [57].

Two studies on the divergent behavior of NPs from particles of greater size (microparticles) or ions [58, 59] show that CoNPs cytotoxicity is lower than that of microparticles and ions, following the ranking ions > micro > nano. On the contrary, only micro- and nanoparticles have morphological transforming potential [58]. Another investigation concerning the interference of CoNPs with gene expression has shown that only Rab18 is affected by all three forms of cobalt. This gene regulates membrane traffic and vesicular organization, whose down-regulation is implicated in lipid metabolism, autophagy and inflammation. In general, Co ions interfere with genes related to mitochondrial dysfunction, microparticles with genes related to cell metabolism and cycle, whereas NPs with genes involved in the activation of the immune response, in particular innate immunity and apoptosis [60, 15].

Primary dendritic cells were the least sensitive to Co ions amongst six cell lines of non-immune system derivation and the second least sensitive to CoNPs, based on the hypothesis that the toxic effects of aggregated CoNPs are mainly due to Co ions dissolution from the aggregated NPs [29].

At present, a major area of interest is the modification of some properties of NPs (size, surface charge, hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity, and the steric effects of particle coating) that can dictate nanoparticle compatibility with the immune system in order to use them for human therapy [61–64]. For example, the hydrophilic environment, obtained designing NPs by attaching to poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) or other types of polymers, shields them from immune recognition [65]. However, also in this case repeated injections of high doses of PEG-coated liposomes are followed by the formation of PEG-specific antibodies [66, 67], which results in an accelerated clearance of PEG-liposomes with change in their pharmacokinetic profile [68].

Coating can reduce NPs cytotoxicity and proinflammatory effects. However, while Fe_2O_3NPs coated with PEG or dextran were non-toxic to primary human monocyte-derived macrophages, dose-dependent toxicity of 30 nm and 50 nm silica-coated Fe₂O₃NPs was observed for primary monocyte-derived dendritic cells [69]. Similarly, both coating and particle size determine the cytotoxicity of ZnONPs for human macrophages and monocytes [40]. Poly(vinylalcohol)-coated superparamagnetic Fe₂O₃NPs, used in biomedical applications induce important functional deficit in monocyte-derived dendritic cells. These cells internalize NPs in a dosedependent manner. However, LPS-induced maturation decreases uptake at higher particle concentrations, and cytochalasin D pre-treatment also inhibits this process suggesting pinocytosis mediated by actin assembly. NPs exposed dendritic cells maintain the typical immunophenotype (CD80, CD83, CD86, myeloid/plasmacytoid DC markers) and are capable of antigen-uptake. However, the capacity of antigen processing, T helper cells stimulation, and cytokines induction is reduced, suggesting that they may revert to an immature state following particle exposure [70].

The type of coating also affects NPs uptake; for instance, silica-coated Fe_2O_3NPs are internalized to a significantly higher degree when compared to the dextran-coated NPs of comparable size, through an active, actin cytoskeleton-dependent process [69]. This behavior makes them promising materials for medical imaging and cell tracking. Table 2 summarizes cytotoxic effects of MeNPs.

Immune stimulation

There are no evidences so far that NPs are able to induce a T- and B-cells (antibody) mediated specific immune reactions. In addition, there are no reports on IgE mediated allergies against NPs. However, three studies demonstrated the generation of particle-specific antibodies when C60 fullerene derivatives conjugated to a protein carrier were used for immunization [71–73]. All the studies demonstrating the generation of antibodies against NPs have as common feature the NPs conjugation to BSA. Therefore, it may be assumed that some water-soluble NPs may behave as haptens, gaining antigenicity when bind to protein carrier possibly as a result of their small size. On the contrary, other studies using gold colloids, different fullerene derivatives, and dendrimers, even in the presence of strong adjuvants, have not reported particle-specific immune response [74-76]. However, NP interaction with the innate immune system can influence the adaptive immune reaction through the production of cytokines and chemokines. AgNPs-exposed peripheral blood mononuclear cells produced IL-1β, a critical cytokine involved in lymphocyte activation and proliferation [39]. Also in this case, the size of NPs (5 nm and 28 nm) is inversely correlated to the magnitude of the observed effects. Both particle sizes induced inflammasome

NPs	Cells	Effects	Ref
CoNPs ZnONPs CeO ₂ NPs TiO ₂ NPs	Mononyte/Macrophages	Necrosis (dependent on dose, size, concentration, structure	[33–36, 48]
AgNP ZiONP	Monocyte/Macrophages	-IL-8 and IL-1 β production (size-dependent)	[37–40]
		-Oxidative stress	
		-Inflammasome induction	
CoNPs	Human PBMCs	-Increase of TNF-α, IFN-γ	[41]
		-Inhibition of IL-2, IL-10	
CuONP	Neutrophils	-Neutrophil recruitment and activation	[42, 43]
		-Increase of IL-6, IL-12, GM-CSF, KC, MCP-1, MIP-α, TNF-α	
CuONPs	Neutrophils	-Reduced bacterial killing	[44-46]
Fe ₂ O ₃ NPs	Lung lymph node cells	-Increased cytokine production	[47]
ZnONPs	Monocyte/Macrophages	-Toxicity (dose-dependent)	[48]
		-Cell death (ion relese-dependent)	
CoNP	Human PBMC	-Autophagy	[20]
PdNPs	Human PBMC	-Autophagy	[20]
		-Cell cycle (prolongation of G1-phase)	
		-Increase of IL-8	
Coated NPs	Monocyte-derived macrophages	-Variable cytotoxicity	[62–64, 69, 70]

Table 2 Main cytotoxic effects of metal nanoparticles on cells of the immune system

formation and the subsequent caspase-1 activation, but the 5 nm AgNPs produced more hydrogen peroxide and were more cytotoxic [39].

The interaction of NPs with the innate and adaptive immune system is modulated through the induction of specific pattern of cytokines. By this way, MeNPs can favor sensitization to common allergens. For instance, in mice exposed to TiO_2NPs it was observed lung cellular inflammation involving eosinophils [77], with consequent production of T helper cells-activating cytokines [78] along with an amplification of Th2 cytokines expression. This event might contribute to the immunotoxicity underlying pulmonary injury associated with exposure to this type of NPs [79].

Similar pattern of Th2 cytokines production is induced by other MeNPs for example Ag- and ZnONPs [79–81]. On the contrary, Fe_2O_3 -, NiO-, Co_3O_4 - and PdNPs favor the production of Th1 cytokines by peripheral monocytes [24, 41, 82–84] hypothetically favoring the spreading of autoimmune diseases. Table 3 summarizes the immunostimulating effects of MeNPs.

Adjuvant properties of MeNPs

The ability to stimulate the innate immune system is exploited in medicine as some NPs can guide appropriate immune responses in therapeutic settings acting as adjuvants [85]. In fact, in the immunotherapy of allergy, specific NPs imprint differentially modulated induction of acute allergic airway inflammation, with a significant inhibition of adaptive allergen-specific immunity. In this context, NPs are taken up by a specific subset of lung APC, stimulate cytokine/chemokine production and pulmonary DC maturation and translocate to the lungdraining lymph nodes via cell-associated transport. These findings support the development of lung-specific particulate vaccines, drug delivery systems, and immunomodulators [86]. The increase in inflammatory cells, airway hyperresponsiveness, increased levels of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, and the increased NF-KB levels in lungs after ovalbumin inhalation were significantly reduced by the administration of AgNPs. These are able to reduce intracellular ROS levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid induced by antigen inhalation [87]. Fullerenes have

Table 3 Immunostimulating effects of metal nanoparticles on cells of the immune system

	5		
NPs	Cells	Effects	Ref
AgNPs	Human PBMCs	Size dependent IL-1 β production (inverse correlation)	[39]
TiO ₂ NPs AgNPs ZnONPs	Lung macrophages, dendritic cells, basophils, neutrophils and eosinophils	Increase of inflammatory and Th cell activating cytokines (IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, CINC-1, IL-10, TNF-α)	[77–79, 86, 87]
Fe ₂ O ₃ NiNP CoNPs PdNPs	Human peripheral monocytes	Increase of Th1 cytokines	[24, 41, 82–84]

shown activity as a negative regulator of allergic inflammation, suppressing Ag-driven mediator release by mast cells [88]. Human mast cells preincubated with fullerenes exhibited a significant inhibition of IgE dependent mediator release, involving profound reductions in the activation of signaling molecules, likely involving a reduction in the tyrosine phosphorylation of Syk. In addition, fullerenes significantly inhibited elevation in cytoplasmic ROS levels induced by allergens [88]. Au- and AgNPs of similar size are taken up in a dose-dependent manner (more efficiently when they own a positive charge) by mouse peritoneal mast cells, whose efficiency of the degranulation and secretion was inhibited [89]. AuNPs of 15 nm are also successfully used as adjuvants of a recombinant protein vaccine (hNgR-Fc) developed to block myelin associated inhibitors of neurite outgrowth. In a rat model of spinal cord-injury, adjuvant AuNPs produced higher titers of anti-NgR antibody and promoted repair [90].

Aluminum is included in vaccines formulations, including those for allergen immunotherapy, for its adjuvant activity involving the engagement of the NLRP3 inflammasome and the induction of IL-1 β by dendritic cells [91].

Aluminum has been designed in form of NPs (nanorods) to obtain effective immune adjuvancy using aluminum oxyhydroxide (AlOOH-). In in vitro models (human myeloid cells and murine dendritic cells), the adjuvant capacity of these NPs has been confirmed since they induce activation of the inflammasome. The extent of the activation depends on the shape, crystallinity and hydroxyl groups displayed of the NPs surface and is more potent than the elemental aluminum. Moreover, AlOOH-nanorods of specific shape and crystalline structures are capable of inducing higher MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules expression [92]. Thus, they are useful for quantitative boosting of antigen-specific immune responses.

Superparamagnetic Fe_2O_3NPs , tested as an anti-cancer DC-targeting nanovaccine, were found to rapidly enter, even if transitorily, within endolysosomal compartments of ex vivo exposed dendritic cells, and also limitedly in the cytoplasm [93]. Magnetic Fe_2O_3NPs induced exosomes in the alveolar region of BALB/c mice that act as signaling mediators in the induction of Th1 immune activation. NPs induced exosomes would transfer their membrane-bound antigens to immature DC and macrophages, favoring their maturation into cells producing the Th1 cytokines, IL-12 and TNF- α which drive T-cell activation and differentiation [82]. Th1-polarized immune activation can be useful in the case of tumor nanovaccines. Table 4 summarizes the adjuvant properties of MeNPs.

NPs and physical barriers of the innate immune system

Since epithelial cells have toll-like receptors [94] and secrete cytokines [95] that participate in the determination of the type of immune reaction against the host, it is important to verify the ability of NPs to overcome the epithelial barrier and to look at the possible toxic effects on the epithelial cells. Inhalation is an important route for NPs exposure, and several studies report NPs-induced lung inflammation in animals [96, 97], although no examples of lung pathologies have been reported in humans. The production of ROS remains the main inflammatory mechanism induced by Ag-, TiO2-, ZnO-, MnO2- and CeO₂NPs in human bronchial epithelium [98–104]. On the contrary, oxidative stress plays only a marginal role in the genotoxicity of Fe₂O₃NPs in human lung cells [105]. ROS production can lead to cellular and DNA damage [106] with extracellular and intracellular signals and cell death through apoptosis [107] that has been associated with many lung diseases [108]. A kinetic study of the gene expression profiles induced by inhalation of Co₃O₄ and CeO₂ NPs in lung epithelial cells showed mainly a downregulation of gene transcription; about 14 % of the differentially expressed transcripts were involved in immune processes [109]. NiNPs induced a significant reduction of cell viability and an increase of apoptotic and necrotic cells at 24 h along with an increase in ROS production and a significant release of IL-6 and -8, dependent on mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPK) cascade through the induction of NF-kB pathway [110]. ZnO NP exposed human bronchial epithelial cells significantly increase the expression of IL-8 mRNA and protein in a dose-dependent manner [111]. Both IL-6 and IL-8 are proteins of the acute of the acute inflammation acting as chemotactic and activating factors for neutrophils and other granulocytes [13].

Generation of ROS and release of IL-8 are also typical for MeNPs exposed gastrointestinal epithelial cells. ZnONPs induce cell oxidative damage, the small-sized NPs being the more effective, with a marked increase in anti-oxidant gene expression and high lipid peroxidation level in the enterocytes, in which disarrangement of the cytoskeleton and cell junction integrity were evidenced. These events led to diffuse necrotic damages in the intestinal barrier with a transand paracellular permeation of NPs through the mucosa. Differently from other NPs, ZnONPs toxicity seems to be crucially mediated by the NP reactivity rather than their dissolved ions [112]. ZnONPs led to significant cell death in Caco-2 and SW480 cells, while Ag and TiO₂NPs led to cell death in SW480 cells. In these last NPs, the exposure did not yield significant increased ROS generation, but all NP exposures led to increased IL-8 cytokine generation in both cell lines [113].

Mucus represents an efficient acellular barrier for both the respiratory and intestinal tracts. The passage through the mucus is most likely based on the electrostatic repulsion from negatively charged sugar moieties which favors the penetration of positively charged hydrophilic molecules. The passage of lipophilic compounds is slow [114]. Furthermore, smaller particles

NPs	Cells	Effects	Ref
AgNPs	Lung	-Reduction of IL-4, IL-5, IL-13	[87]
		-Reduction of NF-kB	
		-Reduction of eosinophilic inflammation (OVA-induced)	
Fullerenes	Mast cells	-Inhibition of IgE dependent mediator release	[88]
		-Reduction of phosphorylation Syk	
		-Inhibition of allergen-induced ROS	
AuNPs AgNPs	Mast cells	-Inhibition of degranulation and serotonine secretion	[89]
AgNPs	Rat model of spinal cord injury	-Higher anti-NgR antibody	[90]
AINPs	Dendritic cells	-Increased MHC and CD86, Cd80 and CD40 (> than Al ions)	[91]
Fe ₂ O ₃ NPs	Dendritic cells	-Th1 immune activation	[81, 93]

 Table 4 Immune adjuvant properties of metal nanoparticles

underwent a significantly faster transport so bypassing the barrier.

It has been demonstrated that, *in vitro*, TiO_2NPs [115] and, *in vivo*, AgNPs [116] induce abnormal mucus production. However, no data are available on the capacity of NPs to pass the mucosal layer of bronchi and intestine in pathological conditions.

Once reached the intestinal epithelium, NPs can react with epithelial cells. It was found that AgNPs damage microvilli as well as intestinal glands in mice, inducing a malabsorption syndrome [117]. Au NPs were retained in the gut lumen of *Daphnia magna*, but there was no observable internalization into the gut epithelial cells. Carbon nanotubes and CuO NPs have a similar behavior as *in vivo* retention does not necessarily result in their internalization [118].

TiO₂NPs can cross the intestine. In fact, exposure of whole gut sacs to 1 mg/l TiO₂NPs for 4 h caused total Ti metal concentrations to increase in the intestine in rainbow trout [119]. Furthermore, TiO₂NPs cross Caco-2 monolayers without disruption of junctional complexes and without causing cytotoxicity [120]. Since the plasma membrane of the cells forming the epithelial barrier is lipophilic, lipophilic substances are taken up passively by the transcellular route whereas hydrophilic drug compounds use the paracellular route. The penetration area of the paracellular route is extremely small compared to the transcellular route and restricted to polar substances below 1000 Da. NPs are not expected to be able to use the paracellular route, because they are considerably larger than 1000 Da. Transcellular passage by passive diffusion appears to be rare. Although the passage of cells by 22 nm TiO₂ NPs was suggested to occur by passive diffusion [121], 5-8 nm AuNPs could not enter cells by this process [121]. Independently on the entry route, NPs are mainly transported via endosomes to lysosomes. Non-functionalized Ag-, TiO₂- and SiO₂NPs are mainly taken up by clathrin-mediated endocytosis [122-124].

The transport of antigens and/or NPs is mainly carried out by the follicle associated epithelium-M cells, since the mucus layer limits the particle uptake across the villous epithelium [125]. Once NPs have crossed the epithelial barrier, they can be found in the lymphatic tissues.

No information is present in literature regarding possible changes in MeNPs uptake in inflamed intestine, whereas contrasting results have been obtained with other NPs [126, 127]. Other important effects are linked to the tendency of NPs to absorb macromolecules. By adsorption of organic compounds also unintended molecules (undigested and unmetabolized compounds) may be absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract so inducing adverse effects [128]. Table 5 summarizes the interaction of MeNPs with cellular barriers of the innate immunity.

Conclusion

The study of the possible effects of potentially noxious substances on the innate immune system is of fundamental importance, as it drives the subsequent reaction by the adaptive immune system. Cells of the innate immune system acting as APC are responsible of antigen recognition. Damaged APC can release pattern of cytokines that can start hypersensitive immune reactions with the appearance of allergies of autoimmune diseases and in industrialized countries hypersensitivity reactions represent the most frequently reported immunotoxic effects of chemicals [129, 130].

Moreover, the innate immune system can directly react against harmful substances thus inducing inflammation, which is the basis of many diseases involving different organs. Among them cancer is the main concern as immunomodulation also plays a key role in carcinogenicity. Immunosuppressive activity is important as neoplastic cells frequently have antigenic properties that permit their detection and elimination by normal immune system function. It has been demonstrated that, if a compound is immunotoxic, likely it is carcinogenic [131]. Chemicals affecting the activity of NK cells, NKT

NPs	Cells	Effects	Ref
AgNPS TiO ₂ NPs ZnONPs	Human lung epithelium	-ROS production	[97–104]
MnONPs CeO ₂ NPs		-DNA damage	
		-Apoptosis	
Fe ₂ O ₃ NPs	Human bronchial epithelium	-Genotoxicity	[105]
NiNPs	Lung	-Reduction of cell viability	[110]
		-Apoptosis	
		-Necrosis	
		-Increase of ROS, IL-6 and IL-8 (MAPK-dependent, NF-kB-mediated)	
ZnNPs	Human bronchial epithelial cells	-Increased of IL-8 (dose-dependent)	[111]
		-Activation of NF κB and C/EBP β	
MeNPs ZnONPs	Gut epithelium	-Generation of ROS	[112]
		-Release of IL-8	
		-Cytotoxicity (size dependent)	
AgNPs TiO ₂ NPs	Intestinal epithelium	-Cell death (SW480 cells)	[113]
		-IL-8 production	
		-ROS generation	
AgNPs	Mice intestinal epithelium	-Damage of microvilli and intestinal glands	[117]
		-Malabsorption syndrome	
TiO ₂ NPs	Caco-2	-Cross monolayers without disruption of junctional complexes and without causing cytotoxicity	[120]
TiO ₂ NPs AgNPs	Bronchial and intestinal eptithelium	-Abnormal mucus production	[115, 116]

Table 5 Nanoparticle interaction with cell barriers of the immune system

cells, macrophages, CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes, or altering cytokine production, are likely to compromise cancer immune surveillance.

Based on the *in vitro*, *in vivo* and occupational data, it can be expected that Me NPs may activate the immune system towards immune suppression and immune activation in exposed people. In fact, Me NPs induce cell death of immune cells and changes in cytokine production. Therefore, this tends to support the hypothesis that Me NPs directly or indirectly interacting with cells of the immune system trigger effects that may be relevant to the development of escalating diseases (allergies, autoimmunity and cancer) in Western countries.

On the contrary, literature review clearly shows that there are no report of immune diseases induced by MeNPs exposure, except for the observation that the increase in Pd allergic contact dermatitis is linked to the increased exposure to PdNPs released from car catalytic converters [58]. Descotes [132] stated that "present methods of evaluating immunotoxicity are primarily focused on immunosuppression, even though unexpected immunosuppression has rarely a cause of concern". On the other hand, immune system has enormous overcapacities and indeed a functional deficit often manifests itself only under the additional stress of mass infection. Even a therapeutic immunosuppression is difficult to reach; in fact, it needs strong doses, strict adherence to the dose regimen, and often a combination of drugs. It is also important to underline that immune responses in the normal human population vary considerably. This means that immunomodulation does not necessarily take an individual out of a healthy response pattern.

A few studies undertaken by groups without a specialist toxicological qualification generated results that are not based on scientific fundamentals and speak of the "enormous toxicological potential" of engineered NPs. These statements have a negative effect on the public opinion much greater than the many good scientific studies which demonstrate, through careful analysis of the dose–response relationship, that we are operating in a safe area.

It is clear from the literature that the influence MeNPs have in the innate immune system could be beneficial when exploited to modify the immune response in medicine. In fact, recent MeNPs able to stimulate of immune functions are used in the development of new vaccines, to promote immunity against tumors and suppress autoimmunity. Therefore, understanding the modality of the effect of Me NPs on immune responses is an essential requirement to developing novel technological and clinical applications. Understanding the immune compatibility of nanoformulations is one of the important factors in (pre)clinical development and requires reliable *in vitro* and *in vivo* immunotoxicity tests. There are several major challenges in the *in vitro* testing of nanoparticle immunotoxicity: i) selection of a model, ii) selection of an endpoint, iii) selection of relevant positive and negative controls, iv) nanoparticle interference with in vitro assays, and v) understanding assay predictability of corresponding immunotoxicity *in vivo*. The generally low sensitivity of standard *in vivo* toxicity tests to immunotoxicities, inter-species variability in the structure and function of the immune system, high costs and relatively low throughput of *in vivo* tests, and ethical concerns about animal use underscore the need for trustworthy *in vitro* assays.

In conclusion, MeNPs represent a technological advancement that may also help the development of new and more potent therapeutic tools. However, in this review we show that their non-intentional exposure might cause, at least theoretically, effects on the immune system.

This fact represents a paradox that toxicologists and developers have to overcome by the production and the dispersion of nanoparticles in work and living environments, and their entry in the food chain. This is a major issue that makes these technologies to be improved from the point of view of minimizing the associated risk. Assessment of bioavailability and exposure of workers and general population appear to be a must for a successful and safe forthcoming development and application of nanotechnology.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors made substantive intellectual contributions to this study. MDG coordinated drafting the manuscript; all authors participated in the conception, acquisition of literature, and analysis of the data and revised critically the text. All have given final approval of the version to be published.

Authors' information

MDG: MD and Professor of Occupational Medicine and Allergy at the G. d'Annunzio University, Chieti, Italy, is the chief of the Immunotoxicology and Allergy Unit and of the Occupational Biobank t the Aging Research Center, an organization in consultative status with ECOSOC and DPI of the United Nations. At present he chairs the Scientific Committee on Allergy and Immunotoxicology of the International Commission on Occupational Health (ICOH). From 2010 he is Secretary of the Italian Society of Nanotoxicology. CP: PhD Chief Biologist of the Immunotoxicology and Allergy Unit and of the Occupational Biobank in the Aging Research Center, Chieti, Italy. EC: PhD at the Immunotoxicology and Allergy Unit and of the Occupational Biobank of the Aging Research Center, Chieti, Italy. VA: Biologist at the Immunotoxicology and Allergy Unit and of the

Occupational Biobank of the Aging Research Center, Chieti, Italy. PP: MD and Researcher at the Occupational Medicine, department of Experimental Medicine, II university of Naples, Italy.

ES: President of the Italian Society of Nanotoxicology. Senior Researcher at Allergy and Immunotoxicology Unit of the Aging Research Center, Chieti, Italy. Chief Scientific Advisor of the European Center for the Sustainable Impact of Nanotechnologiy (ECSIN) from 2010 to 2014. Scientific Official of the European Commission at the Joint Research Center-Ispra from 1961 to 2007. Hevesy Medal Award 2002 for "contribution to radioanalytical biological and biomedical applications".

GB: Director of the department of Biotechnologies and Molecular Sciences of Insubria University, Varese, Italy. President Elected of the Italian Society of Nanotoxicology.

II: Researcher at the Occupational Medicine of Catholic University, Rome Italy. Adjunct professor at Public Health School of Massachusetts University, Amherst (USA). Secretary of the Scientific Committee on Nanomaterials Workers' Health of the International Commission on Occupational Health. SC: Specialist in Allergy and Clinical Immunology, working in the Allergy Unit of Univertity Hospital, Chieti, Italy.

QN: Professor of Occupational Health and Toxicology, Director of the Occupational Health Department, Dean of the Public Health School of Shanxi Medical University, Shanxy, China.

TO: MD and Full professor of Hygiene at the Department of Hygiene, Kawasaki Medical School, Kurashiki, Japan. Secretary of the Japanese Society of Immunotoxicology (JSIT) and of the Scientific Committee of Allergy and Immunotoxicology of the International Commission on Occupational Health. PP: Full professor of Internal Medicine at G. d'Annunzio University, Chieti, Italy. Immunologist at the Aging Research Center, Chieti, Italy.

Author details

¹Immunotoxicology and Allergy Unit, Ageing Research Center G. d'Annunzio University Foundation, Chieti, Italy. ²Department of Medicine and Science of Ageing, G. d'Annunzio University, Chieti, Italy. ³Occupational Medicine, II University, Naples, Italy. ⁴Department of Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy. ⁵'Protein Factory', Interuniversity Center of the Politecnico di Milano and University of Insubria, Milan, Italy. ⁶Institute of Public Health, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy. ⁷School of Public Health, Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, People's Republic of China. ⁸Department of Hygiene, Kawasaki Medical School, Kurashiki, Okayama 7010192, Japan.

Received: 9 February 2015 Accepted: 29 April 2015 Published online: 15 July 2015

References

- Di Gioacchino, M., Verna, N., Gornati, R., Sabbioni, E. and Bernardini, G. (2009) Metal Nanoparticle Health Risk Assessment, in Nanotoxicity (eds S. C. Sahu and D. A. Casciano), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK. doi:10.1002/ 9780470747803.ch24.
- http://www.understandingnano.com/nanoparticles.html. Last seen January 22, 2015.
- Jain KK. Nanomedicine: application of nanobiotechnology in medical practice. Med Princ Pract. 2008;17:89–101.
- Zhao W, Karp JM, Ferrari M, Serda R. Bioengineering nanotechnology: towards the clinic. Nanotechnology. 2011. doi:10.1088/0957-4484/22/49/ 490201.
- Conde J, Rosa J, Lima JC, Baptista PV. Nanophotonics for Molecular Diagnostics and Therapy Applications. Int J Photoenergy. 2011; doi:10.1155/2012/619530/ 2012/619530.
- Baptista PV, Doria G, Quaresma P, Cavadas M, Neves CS, Gomes I, et al. Nanoparticles in molecular diagnostics. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2011;104:427–88.
- Nel AE, Mädler L, Velegol D, Xia T, Hoek EMV, Somasundaran P, et al. Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio interface. Nat Mater. 2009;7:543–57.
- Schrand AM, Rahman MF, Hussain SM, Schlager JJ, Smith DA, Syed AF. Metal-based nanoparticles and their toxicity assessment. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol. 2010;2:544–68.
- 9. Calabrese EJ. Hormesis mechanisms. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2013;43:580-606.
- Hayes AW. Principles and Methods of Toxicology. 6th ed. London, UK: CRC Press; 2007.
- 11. Borm PJ, Robbins D, Haubold S, Kuhlbusch T, Fissan H, Donaldson K, et al. The potential risks of nanomaterials: a review carried out for ECETOC. Part Fibre Toxicol. 2006;14:3–11.
- Bernardini G, Cattaneo AG, Sabbioni E, Di Gioacchino M, Chiriva-Internati M, Gornati R. Toxicology of engineered metal nanoparticles. In: Ballantyne B, Marrs TC, Syversen T, Casciano DA, Sahu SC, editors. General, applied and systems toxicology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: Chichester, UK; 2009.
- 13. Immunity I. In Kuby editor. New York: Immunology. W. H. Freeman and Company; 2009.

- Nel A, Xia T, M\u00e4del L, Li N. Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. Science. 2006;311:622–7.
- Mantovani A. Molecular pathways linking inflammation and cancer. Curr Mol Med. 2010;10:369–73.
- Kodali V, Littke MH, Tilton SC, Teeguarden JG, Shi L, Frevert CW, et al. Dysregulation of macrophage activation profiles by engineered nanoparticles. ACS Nano. 2013;7:6997–7010.
- Xia T, Hamilton Jr RF, Bonner JC, Crandall ED, Elder A, Fazlollahi F. Responses to engineered nanomaterials: The NIEHS Nano GO Consortium. Environ Health Perspect. 2013;121:683–90.
- Poma A, Ragnelli AM, de Lapuente J, Ramos D, Borras M, Aimola P, et al. In vivo inflammatory effects of ceria nanoparticles on CD-1 mouse: evaluation by hematological, histological, and TEM analysis. J Immunol Res. 2014;doi:10.1155/2014/361419.
- Zhang Q, Xu L, Wang J, Sabbioni E, Piao L, Di Gioacchino M, et al. Lysosomes involved in the cellular toxicity of nano-alumina: combined effects of particle size and chemical composition. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2013;27:365–75.
- Petrarca C, Clemente E, Di Giampaolo L, Mariani-Costantini R, Leopold K, Schindl R, et al. Palladium nanoparticles induce disturbances in cell cycle entry and progression of peripheral blood mononuclear cells: paramount role of ions. J Immunol Res. 2014;2014:doi:10.1155/2014/ 295092.
- Gornati R, Papis E, Di Gioacchino M, Sabbioni E, Dalle-Donne I, Milzani A, et al. In vivo and in vitro models for nanotoxicology testing (pages 279–302) in nanotoxicity. In: Sahu SC, Casciano DA, editors. From in vivo and in models to health risks. NY: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2009.
- Benetti F, Bregoli L, Olivato I, Sabbioni E. Effects of metal (loid) nanomaterials on essential element homeostasis: the central role of nanometallomics for nanotoxicology. Metallomics. 2014;6:729–47.
- Nordberg Gunnar MF, Nordberg BA, Fowler LF. Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals. 3rd ed. Walthman, MA, USA: Academic Press; 2011.
- Boscolo P, Bellante V, Leopold K, Maier M, Di Giampaolo L, Antonucci A, et al. Effects of palladium nanoparticles on the cytokine release from peripheral blood mononuclear cells of non-atopic women. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2010;24:207–14.
- 25. Lester SN, Li K. Toll-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity. J Mol Biol. 2014;426:1246–64.
- Potnis P, Dutta DK, Wood SC. Toll-like receptor 4 signaling pathway mediates proinflammatory immune response to cobalt-alloy particles. Cell Immunol. 2013;282:53–65.
- Monteiller C, Tran L, MacNee W, Faux S, Jones A, Miller B, et al. The proinflammatory effects of low-toxicity low-solubility particles, nanoparticles and fine particles, on epithelial cells in vitro: the role of surface area. Occup Environ Med. 2007;64:609–15.
- Peters K, Unger RE, Gatti AM, Sabbioni E, Tsaryk R, Kirkpatrick CJ. Metallic nanoparticles exhibit paradoxical effects on oxidative stress and proinflammatory response in endothelial cells in vitro. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2007;20:685–95.
- Horev-Azaria L, Kirkpatrick CJ, Korenstein R, Marche PN, Maimon O, Ponti J, et al. Predictive toxicology of cobalt nanoparticles and ions: comparative in vitro study of different cellular models using methods of knowledge discovery from data. Toxicol Sci. 2011;122:489–501.
- Andersson-Willman B, Gehrmann U, Cansu Z, Buerki-Thurnherr T, Krug HF, Gabrielsson S, et al. Effects of subtoxic concentrations of TiO2 and ZnO nanoparticles on human lymphocytes, dendritic cells and exosome production. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2012;264:94–103.
- Moon JJ, Huang B, Irvine DJ. Engineering nano- and microparticles to tune immunity. Adv Mater. 2012;24:3724–46.
- Kennedy LC, Bear AS, Young JK, Lewinski NA, Kim J, Foster AE, et al. T cells enhance gold nanoparticle delivery to tumors in vivo. Nanoscale Res Lett. 2011;doi:10.1186/1556-276X-6-283.
- Wilhelmi V, Fischer U, Weighardt H, Schulze-Osthoff K, Nickel C, Stahlmecke B, et al. Zinc oxide nanoparticles induce necrosis and apoptosis in macrophages in a p47phox- and Nrf2-independent manner. PLoS One. 2013;8, e65704.
- Buerki-Thurnherr T, Xiao L, Diener L, Arslan O, Hirsch C, Maeder-Althaus X, et al. In vitro mechanistic study towards a better understanding of ZnO nanoparticle toxicity. Nanotoxicology. 2013;7:402–16.
- Hussain S, Garantziotis S. Interplay between apoptotic and autophagy pathways after exposure to cerium dioxide nanoparticles in human monocytes. Autophagy. 2013;9:101–3.

- Vandebriel RJ, De JWH. A review of mammalian toxicity of ZnO nanoparticles. Nanotechnol Sci Appl. 2012;5:61–71.
- Shavandi Z, Ghazanfari T, Moghaddam KN. In vitro toxicity of silver nanoparticles on murine peritoneal macrophages. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol. 2011;33:135–40.
- Lim DH, Jang J, Kim S, Kang T, Lee K, Choi IH. The effects of sub-lethal concentrations of silver nanoparticles on inflammatory and stress genes in human macrophages using cDNA microarray analysis. Biomaterials. 2012;33:4690–9.
- Yang AJ, Kim S, Kim JS, Choi IH. Inflammasome formation and IL-1β release by human blood monocytes in response to silver nanoparticles. Biomaterials. 2012;33:6858–67.
- Feltis BN, OKeefe SJ, Harford AJ, Piva TJ, Turney TW, Wright PFA. Independent cytotoxic and inflammatory responses to zinc oxide nanoparticles in human monocytes and macrophages. Nanotoxicology. 2012;6:757–65.
- Petrarca C, Perrone A, Verna N, Verginelli F, Ponti J, Sabbioni E, et al. Cobalt nano-particles modulate cytokine in vitro release by human mononuclear cells mimicking autoimmune disease. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2006;19(Suppl):11–4.
- Karlsson HL, Cronholm P, Gustafsson J, Möller L. Copper oxide nanoparticles are highly toxic: a comparison between metal oxide nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes. Chem Res Toxicol. 2008;21:1726–32.
- Kim JS, Adamcakova-Dodd A, O'Shaughnessy PT, Grassian VH, Thorne PS. Effects of copper nanoparticle exposure on host defense in a murine pulmonary infection model. Part Fibre Toxicol. 2011;doi:10.1186/1743-8977-8-29.
- Shvedova AA, Fabisiak JP, Kisin ER, Murray AR, Roberts JR, Tyurina YY, et al. Sequential exposure to carbon nanotubes and bacteria enhances pulmonary inflammation and infectivity. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2008;38:579–90.
- Li Y, Karlin A, Loike JD, Silverstein SC. A critical concentration of neutrophils is required for effective bacterial killing in suspension. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99:8289–94.
- Craig A, Mai J, Cai S, Jeyaseelan S. Neutrophil recruitment to the lungs during bacterial pneumonia. Infect Immun. 2009;77:568–75.
- Ban M, Langonné I, Huguet N, Goutet M. Effect of submicron and nano-iron oxide particles on pulmonary immunity in mice. Toxicol Lett. 2012;210:267–75.
- Tuomela S, Autio R, Buerki-Thurnherr T, Arslan O, Kunzmann A, Andersson-Willman B, et al. Gene expression profiling of immune-competent human cells exposed to engineered zinc oxide or titanium dioxide nanoparticles. PLoS One. 2013;8, e68415.
- 49. Chatterjee S, Sarkar S, Bhattacharya S. Toxic metals and autophagy. Chem Res Toxicol. 2014;27:1887–900.
- Di Gioacchino M, Petrarca C, Perrone A, Farina M, Sabbioni E, Hartung T, et al. Autophagy as an ultrastructural marker of heavy metal toxicity in human cord blood hematopoietic stem cells. Sci Total Environ. 2008;392:50–8.
- Roy R, Singh SK, Chauhan LK, Das M, Tripathi A, Dwivedi PD. Zinc oxide nanoparticles induce apoptosis by enhancement of autophagy via PI3K/Akt/ mTOR inhibition. Toxicol Lett. 2014;227:29–40.
- Muthu MS, Leong DT, Mei L, Feng SS. Nanotheranostics application and further development of nanomedicine strategies for advanced theranostics. Theranostics. 2014;4:660–77.
- Mariño G, Niso-Santano M, Baehrecke EH, Kroemer G. Self-consumption: the interplay of autophagy and apoptosis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014;15:81–94.
- Di Gioacchino M, Petrarca C, Perrone A, Martino S, Esposito DL, Lotti LV, et al. Autophagy in hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells exposed to heavy metals: Biological implications and toxicological relevance. Autophagy. 2008;4:537–9.
- Bhattacharya A, Prakash YS, Eissa NT. Secretory function of autophagy in innate immune cells. Cell Microbiol. 2014;16:1637–45.
- Deretic V, Saitoh T, Akira S. Autophagy in infection, inflammation and immunity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013;13:722–37.
- Di Gioacchino M, Clemente E, Mangifesta R, Sabbioni E, Petrarca C. Current evidences and uncertainties of selected, less toxicologically-studied nanoparticles. Mestre, Italy: Proceedings of Nanotechitaly conference; 2013.
- Sabbioni E, Fortaner S, Farina M, Del Torchio R, Olivato I, Petrarca C, et al. Cytotoxicity and morphological transforming potential of cobalt nanoparticles, microparticles and ions in Balb/3 T3 mouse fibroblasts: an in vitro model. Nanotoxicology. 2014;8:455–64.

- Sabbioni E, Fortaner S, Farina M, Del Torchio R, Petrarca C, Bernardini G, et al. Interaction with culture medium components, cellular uptake and intracellular distribution of cobalt nanoparticles, microparticles and ions in Balb/3 T3 mouse fibroblasts. Nanotoxicology. 2014;8:88–99.
- Perconti S, Aceto GM, Verginelli F, Napolitano F, Petrarca C, Bernardini G, et al. Distinctive gene expression profiles in Balb/3T3 cells exposed to low dose cobalt nanoparticles, microparticles and ions: potential nanotoxicological relevance. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2013;27(2):443–54.
- Aggarwal P, Hall JB, McLeland CB, Dobrovolskaia MA, McNeil SE. Nanoparticle interaction with plasma proteins as it relates to particle biodistribution, biocompatibility and therapeutic efficacy. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2009;61:428–37.
- Dobrovolskaia MA, Aggarwal P, Hall JB, McNeil SE. Preclinical studies to understand nanoparticle interaction with the immune system and its potential effects on nanoparticle biodistribution. Mol Pharm. 2008;5:487–95.
- 63. Dobrovolskaia MA, McNeil SE. Immunological properties of engineered nanomaterials. Nat Nanotechnol. 2007;2:469–78.
- 64. Gamucci O, Gagliardi A, Bertero M, Bardi G. Biomedical nanoparticles: overview of their surface immune-compatibility. Coatings. 2014;1:139–59.
- Moghimi SM. Chemical camouflage of nanospheres with a poorly reactive surface: towards development of stealth and target-specific nanocarriers. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2002;1590:131–9.
- Ishida T, Wang X, Shimizu T, Nawata K, Kiwada H. PEGylated liposomes elicit an anti-PEG IgM response in a T cell-independent manner. J Control Release. 2007;122:349–55.
- 67. Wang X, Ishida T, Kiwada H. Anti-PEG IgM elicited by injection of liposomes is involved in the enhanced blood clearance of a subsequent dose of PEGylated liposomes. J Control Release. 2007;119:236–44.
- Ishida T, Kiwada H. Accelerated blood clearance (ABC) phenomenon upon repeated injection of PEGylated liposomes. Int J Pharm. 2008;354:56–62.
- Kunzmann A, Andersson B, Vogt C, Feliu N, Ye F, Gabrielsson S, et al. Efficient internalization of silica-coated iron oxide nanoparticles of different sizes by primary human macrophages and dendritic cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2011;253:81–93.
- Blank F, Gerber P, Rothen-Rutishauser B, Sakulkhu U, Salaklang J, De Peyer K, et al. C. Biomedical nanoparticles modulate specific CD4+ T cell stimulation by inhibition of antigen processing in dendritic cells. Nanotoxicology. 2011;5:606–21.
- Braden BC, Goldbaum FA, Chen BX, Kirschner AN, Wilson SR, Erlanger BF. X-ray crystal structure of an anti-Buckminster- fullerene antibody fab fragment: biomolecular recognition of C(60). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97:12193–7.
- Chen BX, Wilson SR, Das M, Coughlin DJ, Erlanger BF. Antigenicity of fullerenes: antibodies specific for fullerenes and their characteristics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95:10809–13.
- Hendickson O, Fedyunina N, Zherdev A, Solopova O. Svechnikov p, Dzantiev P. Production of monoclonal antibodies against fullerenes C60 and development of a fullerene enzyme assay. Analyst. 2012;137:98–105.
- Agashe HB, Dutta T, Garg M, Jain NK. Investigations on the toxicological profile of functionalized fifth-generation poly (pro- pylene imine) dendrimer. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2006;58:1491–8.
- Andreev SM, Babakhin AA, Petrukhina AO, Romanova VS, Parnes ZN, Petrov RV. Immunogenic and allergenic properties of fullerene conjugates with amino acids and proteins. Dokl Biochem. 2000;370:4–7.
- 76. Tomii A, Masugi F. Production of anti-platelet-activating factor antibodies by the use of colloidal gold as carrier. Jpn J Med Sci Biol. 1991;44:75–80.
- Larsen ST, Roursgaard M, Jensen KA, Nielsen GD. Nano titanium dioxide particles promote allergic sensitization and lung inflammation in mice. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2010;106:114–7.
- Gustafsson A, Lindstedt E, Elfsmark LS, Bucht A. Lung exposure of titanium dioxide nanoparticles induces innate immune activation and long-lasting lymphocyte response in the dark agouti rat. J Immunotoxicol. 2011;8:111–21.
- Chang X, Fu Y, Zhang Y, Tang M, Wang B. Effects of Th1 and Th2 cells balance in pulmonary injury induced by nano titanium dioxide. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2013;37:275–83.
- Chuang HC, Hsiao TC, Wu CK, Chang HH, Lee CH, Chang CC, et al. Allergenicity and toxicology of inhaled silver nanoparticles in allergenprovocation mice models. Int J Nanomedicine. 2013;8:4495–506.
- Roy R, Kumar S, Verma AK, Sharma A, Chaudhari BP, Tripathi A, et al. Zinc oxide nanoparticles provide an adjuvant effect to ovalbumin via a Th2 response in Balb/c mice. Int Immunol. 2014;26:159–72.

- Zhu M, Tian X, Song X, Li Y, Tian Y, Zhao Y, et al. Nanoparticle-induced exosomes target antigen-presenting cells to initiate Th1-type immune activation. Small. 2012;8:2841–8.
- Cho WS, Duffin R, Bradley M, Megson IL, Macnee W, Howie SE, et al. NiO and Co3O4 nanoparticles induce lung DTH-like responses and alveolar lipoproteinosis. Eur Respir J. 2012;39:546–57.
- Reale M, Vianale G, Lotti LV, Mariani-Costantini R, Perconti S, Cristaudo A, et al. Effects of palladium nanoparticles on the cytokine release from peripheral blood mononuclear cells of palladium-sensitized women. J Occup Environ Med. 2011;53:1054–60.
- Di Gioacchino M, Petrarca C, Lazzarin F, Di Giampaolo L, Sabbioni E, Boscolo P, et al. Immunotoxicity of nanoparticles. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2011;24(1supll):65–71.
- Hardy CL, Lemasurier JS, Mohamud R, Yao J, Xiang SD, Rolland JM, et al. Differential uptake of nanoparticles and microparticles by pulmonary APC subsets induces discrete immunological imprints. J Immunol. 2013;191:5278–90.
- Park HS, Kim KH, Jang S, Park JW, Cha HR, Lee JE, et al. Attenuation of allergic airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness in a murine model of asthma by silver nanoparticles. Int J Nanomedicine. 2010;5:505–15.
- Ryan JJ, Bateman HR, Stover A, Gomez G, Norton SK, Zhao W, et al. Fullerene nanomaterials inhibit the allergic response. J Immunol. 2007;179:665–72.
- Marquis BJ, Liu Z, Braun KL, Haynes CL. Investigation of noble metal nanoparticle ζ-potential effects on single-cell exocytosis function in vitro with carbon-fiber microelectrode amperometry. Analyst. 2011;136:3478–86.
- Wang YT, Lu XM, Zhu F, Huang P, Yu Y, Zeng L, et al. The use of a gold nanoparticle-based adjuvant to improve the therapeutic efficacy of hNgR-Fc protein immunization in spinal cord-injured rats. Biomaterials. 2011;32:7988–98.
- Banchereau J, Briere F, Caux C, Davoust J, Lebecque S, Liu YT, et al. Immunobiology of Dendritic Cells. Annu Rev Immunol. 2000;18:767–811.
- Sun B, Ji Z, Liao YP, Wang M, Wang X, Dong J, et al. Engineering an effective immune adjuvant by designed control of shape and crystallinity of aluminum oxyhydroxide nanoparticles. ACS Nano. 2013;7:10834–49.
- Cruz LJ, Tacken PJ, Bonetto F, Buschow SJ, Croes HJ, Wijers M, et al. Multimodal imaging of nanovaccine carriers targeted to human dendritic cells. Mol Pharm. 2011;8:520–31.
- 94. Wanga Y, Matsukurab S, Watanabea S, Adachib M, Suzakia H. Involvement of Toll-like receptors in the immune response of nasal polyp epithelial cells. Clin Immunol. 2007;124:345–52.
- Yeh CY, Yeh TH, Jung CJ, Chen PL, Lien HT. Activated human nasal epithelial cells modulate specific antibody response against bacterial or viral antigens. PLoS One. 2013;8, e55472.
- 96. Sung JH, Ji JH, Park JD, Yoon JU, Kim DS, Jeon KS, et al. Subchronic inhalation toxicity of silver nanoparticles. Toxicol Sci. 2009;108:452–61.
- Sung JH, Ji JH, Yoon JU, Kim DS, Song MY, Jeong J, et al. Lung function changes in Sprague–Dawley rats after prolonged inhalation exposure to silver nanoparticles. Inhal Toxicol. 2008;20:567–74.
- Li N, Xia T, Nel AE. The role of oxidative stress in ambient particulate matterinduced lung diseases and its implications in the toxicity of engineered nanoparticles. Free Radic Biol Med. 2008;44:1689–99.
- 99. Eom H, Choi J. Oxidative stress of silica nanoparti- cles in human bronchial epithelial cell, Beas-2B. Toxicol In Vitro. 2009;23:1326–32.
- Huang CC, Aronstam RS, Chen D, Huang YW. Oxidative stress, calcium homeostasis, and altered gene expression in human lung epithelial cells exposed to ZnO nanoparticles. Toxicol In Vitro. 2010;24:45–55.
- Kim S, Choi JE, Choi J, Chung KH, Park K, Yi J, et al. Oxidative stress-dependent toxicity of silver nano- particles in human hepatoma cells. Toxicol In Vitro. 2009;23:1076–84.
- Park E, Choi J, Park Y, Park K. Oxidative stress induced by cerium oxide nanoparticles in cultured BEAS-2B cells. Toxicology. 2008;245:90–100.
- Park E, Yi J, Chung K, Ryu D, Choi J, Park K. Oxidative stress and apoptosis induced by titanium dioxide nanoparticles in cultured BEAS-2B cells. Toxicol Lett. 2008;180:222–9.
- 104. Urner M, Schlicker A, Z'graggen BR, Stepuk A, Booy C, Buehler KP, et al. Inflammatory response of lung macrophages and epithelial cells after exposure to redox active nanoparticles: effect of solubility and antioxidant treatment. Environ Sci Technol. 2014;48:13960–8.
- 105. Mesárošová M, Kozics K, Bábelová A, Regendová E, Pastorek M, Vnuková D, et al. The role of reactive oxygen species in the genotoxicity of surface-modified magnetite nanoparticles. Toxicol Lett. 2014;226:303–13.

- Risom L, Møller P, Loft S. Oxidative stress-induced DNA damage by particulate air pollution. Mutat Res. 2005;592:119–37.
- Johnson TM, Yu ZX, Ferrans VJ, Lowenstein RA, Finkel T. Reactive oxygen species are downstream mediators of p53-dependent apoptosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1996;93:11848–52.
- Pandya NM, Jain SM, Santani DD. Apoptosis: a friend or foe. Internet J Pharmacol. 2006;4:1–21.
- 109. Verstraelen S, Remy S, Casals E, De Boever P, Witters H, Gatti A, et al. Gene expression profiles reveal distinct immunological responses of cobalt and cerium dioxide nanoparticles in two in vitro lung epithelial cell models. Toxicol Lett. 2014;228:157–69.
- Capasso L, Camatini M, Gualtieri M. Nickel oxide nanoparticles induce inflammation and genotoxic effect in lung epithelial cells. Toxicol Lett. 2014;226:28–34.
- 111. Yan Z, Xu L, Han J, Wu YJ, Wang W, Yao W, et al. Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation and endocytosis were involved in zinc oxide nanoparticle-induced interleukin-8 overexpression in human bronchial epithelial cells. Cell Biol Toxico. 2014;30:79–88.
- 112. Bacchetta R, Moschini E, Santo N, Fascio U, Del Giacco L, Freddi S, et al. Evidence and uptake routes for Zinc oxide nanoparticles through the gastrointestinal barrier in Xenopus laevis. Nanotoxicology. 2014;8:728–44.
- Abbott Chalew TE, Schwab KJ. Toxicity of commercially available engineered nanoparticles to Caco-2 and SW480 human intestinal epithelial cells. Cell Biol Toxicol. 2013;29:101–16.
- Avdeef A, Testa B. Physicochemical profiling in drug research: a brief survey of the state-of-the-art of experimental techniques. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2002;59:1681–9.
- 115. Chen EY, Garnica M, Wang YC, Chen CS, Chin WC. Mucin secretion induced by titanium dioxide nanoparticles. PLoS One. 2011;6, e16198.
- Jeong GN, Jo UB, Ryu HY, Kim YS, Song KS, Yu IJ. Histochemical study of intestinal mucins after administration of silver nanoparticles in Sprague– Dawley rats. Arch Toxicol. 2010;84:63–9.
- Shahare B, Yashpal M. Toxic effects of repeated oral exposure of silver nanoparticles on small intestine mucosa of mice. Toxicol Mech Methods. 2013;23:161–7.
- Khan FR, Kennaway GM, Croteau MN, Dybowska A, Smith BD, Nogueira AJ, et al. In vivo retention of ingested Au NPs by Daphnia magna: no evidence for trans-epithelial alimentary uptake. Chemosphere. 2014;100:97–104.
- 119. Al-Jubory AR, Handy RD. Uptake of titanium from TiO₂ nanoparticle exposure in the isolated perfused intestine of rainbow trout: nystatin, vanadate and novel CO₂-sensitive components. Nanotoxicology. 2013;7:1282–301.
- Koeneman BA, Zhang Y, Westerhoff P, Chen Y, Crittenden JC, Capco DG. Toxicity and cellular responses of intestinal cells exposed to titanium dioxide. Cell Biol Toxicol. 2010;26:225–38.
- 121. Geiser M, Rothen-Rutishauser B, Kapp N, Schurch S, Kreyling W, Schulz H, et al. Ultrafine particles cross cellular membranes by nonphagocytic mechanisms in lungs and in cultured cells. Environ Health Perspect. 2005;113:1555–60.
- Greulich C, Diendorf J, Simon T, Eggeler G, Epple M, Koller M. Uptake and intracellular distribution of silver nanoparticles in human mesenchymal stem cells. Acta Biomater. 2011;7:347–54.
- 123. Singh S, Shi T, Duffin R, Albrecht C, van Berlo D, Hohr D, et al. Endocytosis, oxidative stress and IL-8 expression in human lung epithelial cells upon treatment with fine and ultrafine TiO2: role of the specific surface area and of surface methylation of the particles. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2007;222:141–51.
- 124. Sun W, Fang N, Trewyn BG, Tsunoda M, Slowing II, Lin VS, et al. Endocytosis of a single mesoporous silica nanoparticle into a human lung cancer cell observed by differential interference contrast microscopy. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2008;391:2119–25.
- 125. Jang MH, Kweon MN, Iwatani K, Yamamoto M, Terahara C, Sasakawa C, et al. Intestinale villous M cells: an antigen entry site in the mucosal epithelium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101:6110–5.
- 126. Ragnarsson EG, Schoultz I, Gullberg E, Carlsson AH, Tafazoli F, Lerm M, et al. Yersinia pseudotuberculosis induces transcytosis of nanoparticles across human intestinal villus epithelium via invasin-dependent macropinocytosis. Lab Invest. 2008;88:1215–26.
- Leonhard F, Collnot EM, Lehr CM. A three-dimensional coculture of enterocytes, monocytes and dendritic cells to model inflamed intestinal mucosa in-vitro. Mol Pharm. 2010;7:2103–19.

- 128. Fröhlicha E, Robleggc E. Models for oral uptake of nanoparticles in consumer products. Toxicology. 2012;291:10–7.
- Kosuda LL, Bigazzi EP. Chemical-induced autoimmunity. pag 419–468.
 In: Smialowicz RR, Holsapple MP, editors. Experimental immunotoxicology. Boca Raton, Fl, USA: CRC Press; 1996.
- 130. Casati S, Aeby P, Basketter DA, Cavani A, Gennari A, Gerberick GF, et al. Report and recommendations of ECVAM workshop 51. Dendritic cells as a tool for the predictive identification of skin sensiti- sation hazard. Altern Lab Anim. 2005;33:47–62.
- Luster MI, Portier C, Pait DG, White Jr KL, Gennings C, Munson AE, et al. Risk assessment in immunotoxicology. I. Sensitivity and predictability of immune tests. Fund Appl Toxicol. 1992;18:200–10.
- 132. Descotes J. Methods of evaluating immunotoxicity. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2006;2:249–59.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central