Michela Cennamo*, Thórhallur Eythórsson and Jóhanna Barðdal

Semantic and (morpho)syntactic constraints on anticausativization: Evidence from Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic

DOI 10.1515/ling-2015-0015

Abstract: The diachrony of valency patterns is generally an understudied phenomenon. The present article investigates anticausativization from a diachronic perspective, highlighting the parameters determining the morphosyntactic encoding of this type of intransitivization in two early Western Indo-European languages, Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic. It is shown that the structural and lexical aspects of a verb's meaning and their interplay with the inherent and relational characteristics of verbal arguments affect the synchronic distribution and the diachronic development of the anticausativation strategies in the languages investigated. These features interact, in the course of time, with changes in the encoding of voice and grammatical relations, such as the demise of the synthetic mediopassive and the recasting of the case system.

Keywords: Latin, Old Norse-Icelandic, anticausativization, middle, mediopassive, reflexive, active intransitive, oblique intransitive, aspect, control, case marking

1 Introduction

In this article we discuss the synchronic encoding and diachronic development of anticausativization in two early Western Indo-European languages, Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic. Our focus is on the interplay between the aspectual template of verbs, the verb's inherent meaning, i.e., the lexical root, and the nature of the (P-)subject, animacy and control, in determining the different

^{*}Corresponding author: Michela Cennamo, Department of Arts & Humanities, University of Naples Federico II, Via Porta di Massa 1, I-80133 Napoli, Italy, E-mail: michela.cennamo@unina.it Thórhallur Eythórsson, Faculty of Foreign Languages, Literature and Linguistics, School of Humanities, University of Iceland, Sæmundargötu 2, IS-101 Reykjavík, Iceland, E-mail: tolli@hi.is Jóhanna Barðdal, Department of Linguistics, Ghent University, Blandijnberg 2, BE-9000 Ghent, Belgium; Department of Linguistic, Literary and Aesthetic Studies, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway, E-mail: Johanna.Barddal@ugent.be

morphosyntactic realizations of this type of intransitivization. With the label P we refer to the Patient-like participant of a transitive verb, following the wellestablished terminology of S, A, P for marking the core arguments of a clause (Comrie 1989; Mithun and Chafe 1999; Haspelmath 2011, among others). A comparison of Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic reveals similarities and differences in the development of the anticausative alternation. In Early and Classical Latin three strategies alternate in order to mark anticausativization: the synthetic mediopassive -r form (occurring only in the tenses of the *infectum*, present, imperfect and future), the reflexive morpheme with the verb in the active voice, and the active intransitive (i.e., lability). In Old Norse-Icelandic there occur three main strategies: first, the reflexive morpheme with so-called 'middle' -sk verbs, and second, the active intransitive, most typically found with the verbal argument, S, in an oblique case (retaining the oblique case of the original object/P argument of the transitive pattern). The third strategy, P-lability proper, i.e., patient-preserving lability, with identity of the derived intransitive verb form with the corresponding transitive one, instead, is only marginally attested.

In Early and Classical Latin the PIE middle/mediopassive form, realized by the -r ending in imperfective tenses, occurs as a general anticausativization device, attested with all verb classes allowing the alternation (Feltenius 1977, among others). In the earliest attestations of both North and West Germanic, instead, this form – realized by the ending -a (historically -ai) and its variants – was already on the verge of disappearing. In Gothic it was confined to passive forms of the present indicative and optative, while it is unattested in Old Norse-Icelandic (Braune and Heidermanns 2004: 141, 148, 156-157), where other strategies occur in anticausative function.

- (1) Imperfective (Latin) amatur love.mpass.prs.ind.3sg 'He is loved'
- (2) Passive (Gothic) nimada take.pass.prs.ind.3sg 'He is taken'

In both Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic the Reflexive gains ground as an anticausativization strategy, although with a different chronology, reflecting the different time span of the written records in the two languages. The earliest Latin documentation is from the sixth century BC (albeit the first literary texts date back to the third century BC), while the earliest Old Norse vernacular manuscripts are dated ca. 1150, with earlier records from runic inscriptions (second century AD) (Barnes 2008: 2, among others). In the course of time, the Reflexive gradually ousts the -r ending in Latin (also in its passive domain), interacting with more general changes in the encoding of voice and grammatical relations.

The discussion is organized as follows: Section 2 gives the definition of the notions of anticausativization and P-lability assumed in this work, with an overview of their semantic constraints across languages. Sections 3 and 4, respectively, describe anticausativization in Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic, the strategies encoding it, their synchronic distribution, and their diachronic paths of evolution. Section 5 discusses the similarities and differences in the anticausativization constraints in the two languages. Finally, Section 6 summarizes the main arguments and provides our conclusions.

2 The anticausative alternation and lability

The term anticausativization refers to the intransitive use of a transitive verb where the original inanimate object/P argument, the Undergoer, occurs as a subject. Languages may vary in the morphological devices used to encode this pattern. They may show no change in the verbal form of the intransitive member of the alternation, as in English (3a), or they may exhibit a dedicated morpheme on the verb, the non-active morphology in Greek (3b) (Alexiadou and Anagnostopolou 2004: 116–117) or the reflexive morpheme with some verb classes in Italian (3c) (Folli 2002; Schäfer 2008; Cennamo and Jezek 2011, among others):

```
(3)
     a. The vase broke < Mark broke the vase
     b. I
            supa
                       kegete <
        the soup.nom burn.nact.3sg
        'The soup is burning'
            Janis
                      ekapse
                                       ti
                                           supa
        the John.nom burn.pst.act.3sg the soup.acc
        'John burnt the soup'
     c. Il
            vaso si
                       ruppe
                                    < Mario ruppe
                                                            il
                                                                 vaso
        the vase REFL break.PST.3SG
                                        Mario break.pst.3sg the vase
        'The vase broke'
                                        'Mario broke the vase'
```

When there is formal identity between the transitive-intransitive use of a verbal form, the structure is referred to as lability, P-lability in the case of identity between the transitive and intransitive use of a verb, with the original object/P

argument of the transitive pattern occurring as subject of the corresponding derived intransitive pattern (Dixon 1994; Kulikov 2001; Kulikov 2003 and further references therein). Therefore the English example in (1a), the vase broke, instantiates the labile strategy used for anticausativization. In some languages, like Italian, both (P-)lability and a dedicated form, i.e., the reflexive morpheme, are employed (see further discussion in Haspelmath 1987; Kulikov 2003; Schäfer 2008; Koontz-Garboden 2009).

In this article we do not discuss intransitive forms with a derived animate P subject. so-caned endoreflexives/agentive anticausatives (Haspelmath 1987: 27–29) or autocausatives (Geniušiene 1987: 86-101), which are very frequent with motion verbs, e. g., move, turn. In some languages, like Latin, Italian and other Romance languages, as well as German, Turkish, Lithuanian and Latvian, these show the same marker as anticausatives. since they involve different parameters and transitivity domains.

Two general semantic constraints on anticausativization have been recognized in the literature:

- (i) The spontaneous manifestation of an eventuality (Siewierska 1984: 77)
- (ii) The absence of agent-oriented meaning components or other 'highly specific meaning components' that debar the spontaneous interpretation of the verbal process (Haspelmath 1987; Haspelmath 1993; Kulikov 1998)

Thus only transitive causative verbs denoting events that may come about spontaneously, without the intervention of a willful animate external causer, may occur in the anticausative alternation (Siewierska 1984; Haspelmath 1987; Levin and Rappaport Hovav 1995; Kulikov 2001; Lazzeroni 2004).

In addition, verbs occurring in this pattern must denote a nonspecific change of state (cf. Haspelmath 1987; Koontz-Garboden 2009: 84 and references therein). Haspelmath (1993: 39) points out that actions implying specific instruments or methods are excluded, as, for instance, bite, cut, dig, paint, etc. in English. Therefore, verbs that lexicalize a manner component seem to be excluded from the anticausative alternation (Rappaport Hovav and Levin 2010).

The lack of specification of the manner in which a change takes place has also been related to possible restrictions on the subject of change of state verbs. For instance, the subject of an achievement verb like break – consisting of two subevents, a causing eventuality and a resulting change of state -, can be an agent (4a), an instrument (4b), a natural force (4c), a stative eventuality (4d), as well as an event (4e) (cf., among others, Levin and Rappaport Hovay 1995: 85 and the recent discussion and references in Koontz-Garboden 2009: 84-85, from whom the examples below are adapted):

- (4) a. Mary broke the window
 - b. The hammer broke the window
 - c. The hurricane broke the window
 - d. The weight of the ball broke the window
 - e. The blow broke the window.

We follow the Vendler/Dowty Aktionsart taxonomy comprising states, achievements, accomplishments and activities, as adopted in most current predicate decomposition approaches (Levin and Rappaport Hovay 2005: 68-75, and further references therein). Achievements denote an inherently telic punctual (i.e., instantaneous) situation, whilst accomplishments instantiate dynamic, durative, inherently telic situations. They are aspectually non-homogeneous, and comprise different subtypes, reflecting the type and degree of change lexicalized (Bertinetto and Squartini 1995; Hay et al. 1999; Rappaport Hovay 2008).

Returning to example (4), with nonspecific change of state verbs such as break the participant in the causing subevent is thematically underspecified, i.e., it need not be an agent, bearing instead the role of Effector (Van Valin and Wilkins 1996; Koontz-Garboden 2009: 85). This property differentiates verbs like break from other change of state verbs like assassinate, murder, and kill, which, although characterizable as achievements and accomplishments, respectively, do not allow the anticausative alternation in various languages, including English. This morphosyntactic behavior, in fact, appears to reflect both the relational and the inherent nature of the participants of the event, with the subject of these non-alternating change of state verbs always bearing the thematic role of Agent (Koontz-Garboden 2009: 87), and the object being necessarily animate (\pm human) (cf. Cennamo 1995: 91–92 for Italian).

Recently, it has been suggested that the possibility of some verbs to participate in the anticausative alternation stems also from the semantic components lexicalized in the verb, rather than from its event structure template only (Levin and Rappaport Hovay 2005: 17–18). Verbs which lexicalize a manner component rather than a final/result or target state, i.e., a reversible state (cf. Parsons 1990: 234-235), in fact, do not participate in the anticausative alternation, as illustrated in (3)-(4) for English (from Hale and Keyser 1997: 53, here cited from Levin and Rappaport Hovav 2005: 73):

- (5) a. We splashed mud on the wall b. Mud splashed on the wall.
- a. We smeared mud on the wall (6) b. *Mud smeared on the wall

The non-alternating verb *smear* shares the event structure template with the alternating verb splash, since both instantiate accomplishments. However, smear lexicalizes the means or manner in which the change of state comes about, rather than the result state, unlike splash, whose core meaning refers to the result state only (Levin and Rappaport Hovay 2005: 73, cf. also the discussion in Hale and Keyser 1997: 54).

Some current research on anticausativization and P-lability, therefore, has been focusing on the contribution of the idiosyncratic, i.e., the lexical root, and the structural, i.e., the event structure template, components of the verb meaning in determining the nature of the anticausative alternation (see also Schäfer 2008).

It has also been pointed out that, although most typically anticausativization denotes the spontaneous manifestation of a situation, as in (7a) below, it may also be found in contexts where such an interpretation is not available, as in its uses with activity/continuation of activity verbs and states, illustrated in (7b) and (7c) for Latin and Italian (Cennamo 1995; Cennamo and Jezek 2011), and well attested across languages (Letuchiy 2009):

(7) a. Latin

vulnus clauditur wound.n.sg close.mpass.prs.ind.3sg 'The wound heals'

b. Latin

hıctus continuatur mourning.nom continue.mpass.prs.ind.3sg 'The mourning continues'

c. Italian

una comunità omogenea si hasa community homogeneous REFL base.PRS.IND.3SG anche su una mediocrità di fondo mediocrity of background on a 'A homogeneous community is based also on some sort of mediocrity'

Therefore, the widely accepted constraint of spontaneous manifestation of an eventuality without the willful intervention of an external causer only applies to the uses of the anticausative alternation with verbs denoting change of state and, marginally, change of location in some languages. In fact, these constraints only apply to verbs that lexicalize a final/result or target state, i.e., achievements and accomplishments, which instantiate the core of the anticausative category in several languages (Cennamo and Jezek 2011; see also Koontz-Garboden 2009 for a criticism of the alleged suppression of the causer in the anticausative alternation).

In our discussion in the following sections, we address the issue of which factors determine the different morphosyntactic realization of the anticausative alternation in Latin (Section 3) and Old Norse-Icelandic (Section 4). In particular, we investigate the different contribution of the structural and idiosyncratic aspects of verb meaning in determining the distribution of the various strategies in the two languages investigated, both synchronically and diachronically. We confine our discussion to intransitive patterns derived from originally transitive ones, where the original transitive (inanimate) object occurs as an intransitive subject, this being optionally registered through different verb morphology and/ or the case marking of the derived subject.

3 Anticausatives in Latin

The following three strategies are employed for anticausativization in Latin, each exemplified in (8) below: 1

- The mediopassive -r form in imperfective tenses, so-called *infectum* (i)
- (ii) The Reflexive pattern, i.e., the reflexive morpheme se together with the verb in the active voice
- (iii) The active intransitive (i.e., P-lability)
- (8)a. mediopassive aperitur foris open.mpass.prs.ind.3sg door.nom 'The door opens/is opened'
 - b. reflexive

foris se aperit door.nom refl open.prs.ind.3sg 'The door opens'

¹ The corpus investigated consists of literary and non-literary texts from the 3rd c. BC to the 9th c. AD, listed under Primary Sources. The examples have been collected from Pirson (1906), Svennung (1935), Wistrand (1942), Feltenius (1977), as well as the PHI-5 CD-ROM (the Packard Humanities Institute's collection of digital Latin texts), comprising literary texts from the earliest attestations to 200 AD and selected texts from later antiquity (Justinian's Digest, Servius' Commentaries on Virgil, and Porphyry's Commentary on Horace), for a total amount of over 350 Latin authors. We follow the traditional periodization of Latin, discussed in Feltenius (1977) in relation to intransitivizations: Early/Pre-classical Latin (250-81 BC), Classical Latin (81 BC-14 AD), Post-classical/Imperial Latin (14-180 AD), Late Latin (180-600 AD); see also Gianollo 2014: 949, Note 3).

c. Active intransitive foris anerit door.nom open.prs.ind.3sg 'The door opens' (Plaut. Persa 300)

Observe that the ending -r, whose original impersonal/(medio)passive function is a long-standing matter of contention (Kurzová 1993; Beeks 1995: 240-242 and references therein), covers the (reflexive-) middle/anticausative/passive/impersonal domains, and has primarily a "passive" function in the synchronic grammar of Latin (Flobert 1975; Baldi 1977). It may be regarded as the marker of the 'non-active voice', marking different points along a continuum of detransitivization, instantiated by patterns which depart from the prototypical transitive encoding of a situation whereby a highly agentive, topical subject acts upon a patient participant, determining some change in it (Cennamo 1998: 78-81).

The three patterns exemplified in (8) above are usually regarded as interchangeable in the literature, and differences in their distribution are viewed as reflecting different time spans in the history of Latin with the active intransitive as the uncommon pattern, often used for conciseness. This increased in Late Latin, owing to the gradual falling out of use of the -r ending in the vulgar language and the confusion among voice forms (Feltenius 1977; Gianollo 2014, among others).

The mediopassive -r form is the most commonly used anticausativization device in Early Latin, whilst the Active Intransitive increases at later stages, alongside the Reflexive pattern, to the detriment of the -r form, which is, however, still widely attested in late texts, in alternation with the other two strategies. This is in line with the gradual demise of the -r form, which in turn reflects the more general recasting of the voice system (Cennamo 1998, 2006, 2008 and Section 3.2).

Contrary to current views, we argue that the distribution of the three strategies reflects aspectual and thematic differences, interacting, in turn, with changes in the encoding of voice and argument structure in the transition to Romance (Cennamo 1998, 2001a, 2005, 2006, 2009 and Section 3.2).

3.1 Synchronic aspects

A careful analysis of the morphological devices for marking anticausatives in Latin reveals that the use of the three strategies, the mediopassive -r form, the reflexive pattern, and the active intransitive, is not equivalent. Rather, we have

uncovered a complex interplay between the aspectual template of predicates, the meaning components lexicalized in the verb, and the inherent and relational properties of the subject, such as its degree of individuation (a cluster of properties comprising animacy, concreteness, definiteness and referentiality of the argument's referent) and thematic (under)specification, i.e., control (see Timberlake 1977; Hopper and Thompson 1980: 253).

Our term "control" refers to the semantic spectrum reflecting the degree of primary responsibility of a core argument of the clause in the verbal process, involving various transitivity features such as agency, volitionality, and the aspectual nature of the predicate (Lehmann 1988; Comrie 1989: 61-62; and Cennamo 1998, 2001a, 2001b, 2010 for its relevance for the encoding of (in) transitivity in Latin).

We will now discuss each of the three Latin strategies in turn.

3.1.1 The mediopassive form

The mediopassive -r form is found at all stages in the history of Latin, with all verb classes that allow the anticausative alternation: achievements like frangere 'break' (9a), accomplishments like *mutare* 'change', as in (9b), gradual completion verbs like *minuere* 'decrease', illustrated in (9c), denoting the gradual approximation to a telos that may not be attained (Bertinetto and Squartini 1995) – also referred to as degree achievements (Hay et al. 1999). The mediopassive also occurs with activities, as shown in (9d) for the verb volvere 'roll, flow':

- (9) a. frangitur aestus breaks.mpass.prs.ind.3sg tide.nom 'The rolling tide breaks' (Lucr. De Rer. Nat. 6, 121)
 - b. [humanae resl fluxae quae human.nom.pl affair.nom.pl which.nom.pl unstable.nom.pl. and mobiles semper in advorsa mutantur mobile.NOM.PL always opposite. N.PL change.mpass.prs.ind.3pl '[human affairs,] which, unstable and fluctuating, are always changing to opposite extremes' (Sall. *Iug.* 104, 2)
 - c. memoria minuitur memory.nom decrease.mpass.prs.ind.3sg 'Memory is impaired' (Cic. Sen. 7, 21)

d. Lacrimae volvuntur inanes tear.nom.pi. flow.mpass.prs.ind.3pi. vain.nom.pi. 'Tears gush forth in vain' (lit. tears flow vain) (Verg. A 4, 449)

At times there may be ambiguity between an anticausative and a passive interpretation, as in (10), which is resolved only by the context.

(10) animi [...] corporibus elapsi circum terram soul.nom.pl body.abl.pl slip.from.ptptcp.m.nom.pl around earth.acc ipsam volutantur itself.acc whirl.mpass.prs.ind.3pt. Souls [...] once liberated from the body, whirl/are whirled around this world.' (Cic. Rep. 6, 29)

The same ambiguity obtains with other activity verbs like *quassare* 'shake', as in (11), with which, however, the -r pattern is not attested in Early Latin (Feltenius 1977: 35):

(11) membra quassantur metu limb.n.pl shake.mpass.prs.ind.3pl fear.abl.sg 'Limbs are shaken by fear/shake with fear' (Sen. *Phoen*. 530)

3.1.2 The reflexive pattern

The Reflexive strategy in truly anticausative function is rare in Early and Classical Latin, compared with the -r form, and is found mainly in technical works, where it is attested at all times (e.g., Cato, Varro, Celsus, Plinius, Apicius, Chiron, Oribasius, etc.), unlike in Late Latin, where this pattern is very widespread, in all types of texts (Wistrand 1942: Hofmann and Szantyr 1965: Section 164, among others). It is found mainly with achievements (e.g., scindere 'crack') (12a) and accomplishments (e.g., *mutare* 'change', *aperire* 'open', etc.) (12b)–(12d):

(12) a. lutamenta scindunt se plaster.n.pl crack.prs.ind.3pl refl 'Plaster cracks' (Cat. *Agr*. 128)

- sese sempre cum calore b. commutat que (sc. brassica) change.prs.ind.3sg. and REFL always with heat.abl cabbage.nom 'Cabbage constantly changes its nature with heat' (Cat. Agr. 157, 1)
- Luna quoquam mutat c. negue se neither REFL moon at.all change.prs.inp.3sg 'And the moon does not change at all.' (Pl. Amph. 273)
- d. [motus] in XXIIII aui motion.nom.m.pl which.nom.m.pl in twenty.four horis lunaribus cotidie quater se mutant hour.abl.m.pl. lunar.abl.m.pl daily four-times REFL change.PRS.IND.3PL 'The motions which in twenty-four lunar-hours change themselves four times a day' (Varr. LL 9, 26)

In some texts the Reflexive may alternate with the r-form in the same sentence, with no apparent difference in meaning, as in (13) from the classical age, where the verb form *convertuntur* instantiates the r-form, while se vertit exemplifies the reflexive strategy:

(13) praetereague omnia haec tum intereunt besides.and everything.N.PL this.N.PL then perish.PRS.IND.3PL cum in naturam aliam convertuntur, when in nature.acc.f different.acc.f. change.mpass.prs.ind.3pl quod fit cum terra in aauam what.n.sg happen.prs.ind.3sg when earth.nom in water.acc vertit se REFL change.PRS.IND.3SG 'And besides, all these elements perish when they undergo transmutation, which occurs when earth turns to water' (Cic. ND 3, 31)

However, the Reflexive is not attested with verbs of variable/reduced telicity, such as gradual completion verbs, as shown in (14). Such examples, in fact, are only found from the first century AD onwards (Ronconi 1968; Feltenius 1977: 62, also Section 3.2):

(14) *memoria se minuit memory.nom refl decrease.prs.ind.3sg Intended meaning: 'Memory decreases.' Examples of this type are only seldom found, depending on period, authors, and verbs, also with activity/continuation of activity verbs. Thus, whereas in Early and Classical Latin the Reflexive in anticausative function does not occur with verbs like volutare 'roll, flow' (15a), quassare 'shake violently' (15b) or continuare 'continue' (15c), it is attested instead with the verb volvere 'flow, roll' in Lucretius (Classical age), albeit in a seemingly isolated example (see (16) Francesco Royai p.c.). However, this could be accounted for as being due to personification:

- (15) a. *saxa volutant se stone.n.pl refl roll.prs.ind.3pl Intended meaning: 'Stones roll'
 - b. *caput quassat se head.n.sg refl shake.prs.ind.3sg Intended meaning: 'The head shakes'
 - c. *sopor se continuat slumber.m.sg refl continue.prs.ind.3sg Intended meaning: 'Slumber continues'
- (16) Res multas unde esse necessest thing.acc.f.pl many.acc.f.pl be.inf necessary.be.prs.ind.3sg whence fluens volvat varius se fluctus flow.prptcp roll.prs.sbiv.3sg varied.nom refl. flow.nom odorum odor.gen.pl.

'It is needful there be many things from whence the streaming flow of varied odors may roll along' (Lucr. RN 4, 674/5)

With these verb classes, in fact, only the mediopassive -r form and the Active Intransitive occur (see also Cennamo 1998, 2001a and discussion in 3.2). The Reflexive pattern is unattested, instead, with states (17a). With activities, the use of the Reflexive with inanimate subjects is generally due to personifications, as in (17b), thereby instantiating a truly reflexive pattern, with the reflexive marking coreference with an agentive subject:

(17) a. **campus se* extendit field.nom REFL extend.PRS.IND.3SG Intended meaning: 'The field extends itself, spreads out.' b. patria vobis se commendat
mother.country.nom you.dat.pl refl entrust.prs.ind.3sg
'Your mother country entrusts you (lit. recommends itself to you)
(Cic. Catil. 4, 18)

A provisional generalization that seems to emerge is that in Early and Classical Latin the Reflexive morpheme occurs as an anticausativization strategy with inherently telic predicates, i.e., with verbs lexically encoding a final/result or target state, such as achievements and different types of accomplishments, as further exemplified in (18a)–(18b) (= (12b)):

(18) a. valvae se ipsae aperuerunt
doors.nom.pl refl themselves.nom.pl open.prf.ind.3pl
'The doors suddenly opened of their own accord'
(Cic. Div. 1,34,74)
b. commutatque sese semper cum calore (sc. brassica)
change.prs.ind.3sg.and refl always with heat.abl cabbage.nom
'Cabbage constantly changes its nature with heat'
(Cat, Agr. 157, 1)

As mentioned above, the Reflexive also seems to be preferred over the mediopassive -r form when the subject, although inanimate, is personified, therefore showing some degree of control, as in (18a), which can be contrasted with (18b), where no personification is involved and the presence of se simply marks the intransitive (anticausative) variant (see further discussion in Wistrand 1942; Ronconi 1968; Hofmann and Szantyr 1965; Adams 2013: 690). In the literature the anticausative function of the Reflexive is regarded as characteristic of the technical language, occurring at all stages of the language, while in early and classical prose and poetry it was apparently confined to personified contexts, occurring mainly with abstract nouns (Hatcher 1942; Wistrand 1942; Hofmann and Szantyr 1965: 293 and further discussion in Reichenkron 1933; Ronconi 1968: 21-22; see also Cennamo 1998; Adams 2013: 686-692 and Section 3.2). Personification indeed accounts for the use of the reflexive with inanimate subjects with activity verbs (16), that are otherwise excluded from this pattern in Early and Classical Latin, but are well attested during the Imperial age and become very widespread in Late Latin (Pirson 1906; Reichenkron 1933; Svennung 1935; Norberg 1943; Flobert 1975: 388-389, and Section 3.2).

3.1.3 The active intransitive

In Early and Classical Latin the Active Intransitive, i.e., P-lability, mainly occurs with gradual completion verbs (e.g., lenire, 'soothe', ampliare 'enlarge', sedare 'calm', augere 'increase'), as shown in (19a)-(19b). The Active Intransitive also occurs with indefinite change verbs, i.e., verbs which do not lexically encode a final point/state, like *mutare* change' (19c), and activities, like *quassare* 'shake' and, volutare roll', as illustrated in (19d)–(19e) (see also Bennett 1910; Feltenius 1977):

(19) a. irae leniunt anger.nom.pl soothe.prs.ind.3pl 'Anger soothes' (Plaut. Mil. 583)

b. postquam tempestas sedavit after storm.nom calm.down.prf.ind.3sg 'Once the storm quieted' (Gell. *NA*18.12.6)

c. *In priore* verbo prosodiae, graves in former.abl word.n.abl grave.pl.nom accent.pl.nom quae fuerunt, manent, which.nom.pl be.pst.3pl remain.prs.ind.3pl religuae mutant

the.remaining.pl.nom change.pre.ind.3pl

'In the former word the accents that were grave remain so, the others change'

(Varro ex Gell. NA 18,12,8)

d. cassanti incedit capite shake.prptcp.abl.n head.abl.n march.prs.ind.3sg 'He marches along shaking his head (lit. his head shaking)' (Plaut. Bacch. 304)

e. confusaque verba volutant confused.n.pi. word.n.pi. roll.prs.ind.3pi. 'And confused reports flit about' (Ovid. Met. 12,54/55)

This form does not occur in anticausative function with verbs lexically encoding a final/result state, i.e., achievements such as rumpere 'break' and scindere 'crack' (20a)-(20d), which may be regarded as the core of the category in Latin and in other languages which show this type of transitive-intransitive alternation (see also Section 2).

An exception to this tendency of the Active Intransitive not occurring with verbs encoding final/result state is instantiated by rare examples of verbs like aperire 'open' in Early Latin, e.g., Plautus, shown in (21), while its opposite claudere 'close' behaves as expected. The verb aperire 'open', however, denotes a reversible change of state, i.e., a target state, unlike scindere and rumpere, which denote a non-reversible change, i.e., a result state, and which therefore lexicalize a higher degree of telicity.

```
(20) a. *foris
                  rumpit
        door.nom break.prs.inp.3sg
        Intended meaning: 'The door breaks'
     b. *vulnus
                     claudit
        wound.n.sg close.prs.ind.3sg
        Intended meaning: 'The wound heals'
     c. *lutamenta scindunt
                                     (scindunt
                                                       se)
        plaster.n.pl crack.prs.ind.3pl (crack.prs.ind.3pl refl)
        Intended meaning: 'Plaster cracks'
        (Cat. Agr. 128)
     d. *corrumpit
                         iam
                                              (corrumpitur...)
                                 cena
        spoil.prs.ind.3sg already dinner.nom (spoil.mpass.prs.ind.3sg)
        Intended meaning: 'Dinner is spoiling already'
        (Plaut. Pseud. 890)
```

(21) *foris* aperit door.nom open.prs.ind.3sg 'The door opens' (Plaut. Persa 300)

The Active Intransitive in an anticausative function really thrives in Late Latin, especially in fourth century technical works, such as veterinary texts like the Mulomedichina Chironis of the second half of the fourth century AD. By this age, accomplishments and achievements alternate freely between the three strategies available in Latin to mark anticausativization, as illustrated in (22) for the verb rumpere 'break' (Pirson 1906; Feltenius 1977: 121 and further discussion in Section 3.2).

(22) a. Active Intransitive postea rumpunt dentes afterwards break.prs.ind.3pl tooth.nom.pl 'Afterwards teeth break' (*Chiron* 775)

b. Reflexive

lenibus vitium... ambulationibus fault.n ambulation.dat.pl. smooth.dat.pl. cum etiam ruperit se when also break.sbjv.prf.3sg refl 'When also a difficulty in the ease of ambulation impairment arises' (lit. difficulty to smooth ambulations broke itself) (Chiron 384)

c. Mediopassive

ergo in matrice *quotiens* every.time.that then in womb.ABL rumpitur (sc. collectio) break.mpass.prs.ind.3sg abscess 'Then every time an abscess bursts/breaks' (Soran. 99.13)

We believe that, initially, the Active Intransitive must have been stylistically marked, as shown by the following passage by Aulus Gellius, a second century AD author, who views the active pattern *mutant* '(they) change' as more elegant than the corresponding mediopassive form *mutantur*:²

(23) Mutant inquit elegantissime pro change.prs.ind.3pl say.prs.ind.3sg very.elegantly for mutantur change.mpass.prs.ind.3pl "Mutant, "change", is a very elegant expression for mutantur "are changed" (Gell. N.A. 18, 12, 8)

3.1.4 Interim summary

A closer inspection of the distribution of the three anticausative strategies in Latin reveals that the alternation among the different voice forms realizing them reflects both the idiosyncratic (i.e., the lexical root, for instance the type of change lexically encoded) and the structural aspect (i.e., the event structure template) of a verb meaning.

² We thank Francesco Rovai for bringing this example to our attention.

The Reflexive pattern, in fact, mainly occurs with telic verbs of different types, achievements like scindere 'crack' and rumpere 'break', accomplishments like claudere 'close', aperire 'open' and mutare 'change', and gradual completion verbs/degree achievements like *minuere* 'decrease', among others.

The Active Intransitive, instead, most typically occurs in anticausative function with verbs that do not lexicalize the attainment of a final state, i.e., the endpoint of the process, as well as with atelic verbs, not encoding the notion of change. The Active Intransitive is found, in fact (in alternation with the -r form), with indefinite change verbs such as *mutare* 'change', gradual completion verbs/ degree achievements like lenire 'soothe', minuere 'decrease' and sedare 'calm down', and activities like *quassare* 'shake' and *volutare* 'roll', with the -r form ambiguity may arise between an intransitive active (anticausative) and passive reading, resolved by the context. The Active Intransitive is also marginally attested with accomplishment verbs denoting a target state such as aperire 'open'. These lexical aspectual factors together with the inherent (e.g., animacy) and relational properties of arguments (e.g., control), as testified by so-called personified uses of the reflexive pattern, interact, in the course of time, with changes in the voice system and the encoding of argument structure, partially illustrated in Section 3.2. (see Cennamo 1998, 2009, and references therein)

3.2 Anticausatives, P-lability and transitivity in late Latin

In Late Latin the distribution of the anticausative strategies changes: the -r form, in fact, although still widely attested, often co-occurs with the Reflexive and the Active Intransitive patterns with the same verb. These strategies, in turn, come to be used with different aspectual classes of verbs, with which they are not found in Early and Classical Latin. Therefore, the morphological realization of anticausatives and P-lability is no longer determined by the semantics of the predicate and the inherent and relational nature of the subject.

In particular, the Reflexive occurs also with verbs of reduced telicity, comprising gradual completion verbs like minuere 'decrease', as in (24a) vs (24b), and other verbs of different types and degrees of telicity. The Reflexive is often used in technical terms in technical works, like with coquere 'cook', spissare 'thicken' (Apicius, fourth century AD), assare 'roast', elixare 'boil, stew' (Anthimus, sixth century AD), cicatricare 'heal' (24c) (Oribasius, sixth century AD), claudere 'close' and glutinare 'close up, join' (Chiron, second half of fourth century AD). At times the Reflexive alternates with the -r form in the same text, as in (24c)-(24d), from the sixth century AD (Pirson 1906; Feltenius 1977: 20).

- (24) a. minuente morbo se decreasing.pr.ptcp.abi. REFL disease.abi. 'When the disease is on the decline' (Plin. Nat. 23, 25) (Postclassical Latin)
 - b. *memoria* minuitur memory.nom decrease.mpass.prs.ind.3sg 'Memory is impaired' (Cic. Sen. 7, 21) (Classical Latin)
 - cicatricaverint c. vulnera cum se wound N.P. when REF. heal FIT PRE 3P. 'When the wounds will have healed' (Orib. *Syn.* 7,10 Aa)
 - d. vulnera cicatricantur wound.n.pi. heal.mpass.prs.ind.3pi. 'The wounds heal' (Orib. Syn. 7, 3)

The use of the -r form in anticausative function in Late Latin, illustrated in (24d) (from a sixth century text), however, might also reflect so-called Deponentization (Flobert 1975; Gianollo 2014), the widespread use of the passive morphology in active function with all verbs (Cennamo 1998, 2009, and further references therein), both in the tenses of the *infectum* (25a)–(25b) and of the *perfectum*, instantiated by a form of esse 'be' together with a past participle (25c). This appears to be a part of the reorganization of voice distinctions and the consequent functional opacity of the voice morphology conveying them (Cennamo 1998, 2005, 2006; Herman 2002). In fact the -r form and the sequence esse plus past participle may occur in active function, replacing the active morphology, with both intransitive and transitive verbs. This occurs already in fourth century texts, as exemplified in (25a) and (25c), from the sixth century AD, and even more so at later stages, as in (25b), from the ninth century AD (see also Gianollo 2014):

(25) a. et sabbato non ieiunantur and Saturday.ABL not fast.PRS.IND.MPASS.3PL 'And they do not fast on Saturdays' (*Peregr. Aeth.* 27, 1) b. cum illo. aui eam ... dugatur with he.ABL who.NOM she.ACC take.MPASS.PRS.SUBJ.3SG uxorem spouse.acc 'With that who will marry her' (lit. will take her as his spouse) (Cod. Verc. cap. 192; Löfstedt 1977: 275)

debellata c. prouinciam lues est province.acc plague.nom conquer.ptptcp.nom.f.sg be.prs.ind.3sg 'The plague has spread throughout the province (lit. conquered)' (Greg. Tur. h.F. 8, 39; Bonnet 1890: 411)

Towards the end of the Imperial age, with attestations from the second half of the fourth century AD, as in the Mulomedichina Chironis, and even more so in later texts, the Reflexive pattern also occurs with non-inherently telic verbs (e.g., gradual completion verbs), as well as with activities (Cennamo 2001a, 2006: 333, fn. 8). This pattern, therefore, is also found with accomplishments like citare, provocare 'cause', denoting the coming into being of an entity, and activities like vexare 'oppress, injure', servare 'keep', and excusare 'justify/excuse' (Cennamo 1998, 2001b: 238). The reflexive pattern at times is ambiguous between an anticausative and a passive interpretation, i.e., between a spontaneous vs. an induced process reading, as illustrated in (26a) (Cennamo 1998, 2001b, 2006):

```
(26) a mala...
                   toto
                              anno
                                        servare se
                                                      possunt
        apple.n.pl whole.abl vear.abl keep.inf refl can.prs.ind.3pl
        'Apples ... can keep/be kept for the whole year'
        (Pall. de agr. 3,25,18; Ronconi 1968: 24)
     b stercora
                        si se... provocaverint
        excrement.n.pl if REFL caus.fut.prf.3pl
        'Excrement, if ... it is induced'
        (Chiron 230)
```

The verb *provocare* 'call forth, cause, provoke' in the Reflexive pattern, instead, can only denote an induced process, i.e., the so-called passive reading, as in (26b).

With activity verbs, the reflexive with an active verb can only have a passive interpretation as in (27):

```
(27) qui
                                      padule
                  se
                       vocat
     that.nom.sg refl call.prs.ind.3sg marsh.acc.sg
     '(A place) that is called marsh'
     (Cod. Dipl. Bar. 5.9; Bassols de Climent 1948: 67)
```

In addition, the Active Intransitive increasingly occurs in anticausative function, alternating with the reflexive, as in (28a)-(28b), from the second half of the fourth century veterinary text Mulomedichina Chironis (Feltenius 1977; Cennamo 2006: 317):

```
(28) a. ut confirmet (sc. vulnus)
in-order-to heal.sbjv.prs.3sg wound
'So as it (sc. the wound) heals'
(Chiron 670)
b. donec cicatrix oculo se confirmet
till scar.nom eye.dat refl heal.sbjv.prs.3sg
'Until the scar in its eye heals'
(Chiron 76)
```

In the same texts, illustrating the expansion of the Reflexive and the Active Intransitive patterns to include verbs with different aspectual templates, oblique anticausatives are also found, as in (29a)–(29b). In these structures the subject of the anticausative pattern, i.e., the S-argument *maturum* 'soft', occurs in the accusative, rather than the canonical nominative case (cf. Cennamo 2009 and references therein):

```
(29) a. cataplasmabis eum (sc. tumorem) donec maturum smear.prs.fut.2sg it.acc swelling.acc until soft.acc faciat make.prs.sbjv.3sg 'Smear it (sc. the swelling) until it becomes soft' (Chiron 91)
b. multos languores sanantur many.acc illness.acc.pl heal.mpass.prs.ind.3pl 'Many illnesses heal' (Anton. Plac. Itin. 9; Corp. Christ. 165, 16)
```

This non-canonical encoding of the subject reflects more general changes in the encoding of the argument structure of the clause in Late Latin, leading to the use of the accusative in subject function, as a part of the loss of the case system and of the general rise of head-marked patterns of active syntax in the transition to Romance (see full discussion of this issue in Cennamo 2009 and Section 4.1.2 below on oblique subject patterns in other early Indo-European languages).³

³ The accusative for the derived S argument in Late Latin realizes a "structural" case. The oblique argument instead instantiates an "inherent" case in an oblique argument constructions where the original object of the transitive verb retains its object case, e.g., the dative for the verb *nocere* 'harm' in (i) below, and the verb reverts to the default 3rd singular impersonal (passive) form, with the Agent being optionally expressed as a prepositional phrase. This pattern is fairly common in Latin with activities and states taking dative, genitive and ablative objects. (See

In Late Latin, therefore, the Reflexive strategy spreads from inherently telic verbs denoting change of state and location, i.e., situations that can be brought about spontaneously, instantiated by achievements and accomplishments like scindere 'crack', frangere 'break', and mutare 'change', to non-inherently telic and atelic verbs such as citare, provocare 'cause', minuere 'decrease', servare 'keep' and vocare 'call', i.e., accomplishments of variable/reduced telicity and activities (Cennamo 2001a).

With these aspectual classes either only the mediopassive -r form occurred in passive function, as shown in (30a) – which is to be contrasted with (30b), the corresponding ungrammatical anticausative reflexive form in early and Classical Latin – or the active intransitive/the -r form, in anticausative function, as in (31a)–(31b). If, on the other hand, the pattern clearly marked an induced process (passive interpretation) only the -r form occurred, as shown in (30a)–(30b) (see further discussion in Cennamo 1998, 2006):

- (30) a. stercora provocantur excrement.N.PL cause.MPASS.PRS.IND.3PL 'Excrement is induced'
 - h. *stercora se provocant excrement.n.pl REFL cause.prs.ind.3pl Intended meaning: 'Excrement causes itself'
- (31) a. memoria minuitur /minuit memory.nom decrease.mpass.prs.ind.3sg /decrease.prs.ind.3sg 'Memory is impaired' (lit.memory decreases)
 - b. mala servantur apple.n.pl keep.mpass.prs.ind.3pl 'Apples are kept'

Following Haspelmath (1993: 93), verbs such as facere 'do/make', vexare 'oppress', provocare 'cause, provoke' might be defined as having an Agentoriented meaning component, since they denote situations that most typically

further discussion of this issue in Michaelis (1993: 322) for Latin and Barðdal (2011a) for Icelandic, where a criticism of the traditional generative dichotomy between inherent and structural case is found:

(i) (a Marco) < Marcus tibi nocet you.dat harm.mpass.impers by Mark.abl Mark.nom you.DAT harm.prs.ind.3sg.act 'You are harmed' 'Mark harms you'

involve a human causer, a feature that, in turn, makes the spontaneous interpretation highly unlikely (Cennamo 1998: 96). We believe that Haspelmath's intuition can be made more precise if we restate it in aspectual terms, i.e., in terms of the aspectual template of verbs, as proposed above.

Interestingly, in late texts, the reflexive pronoun and the -r form may co-occur in anticausative function, at times with ambiguity between an anticausative and a passive interpretation (as shown in (32)), depending on the verb and on the syntactic context (see further discussion in Cennamo 1998, with examples of the early co-occurrence of the two forms in other domains).

(32) si autem minutetur medicamen se if then pulverize.mpass.prs.sbjv.3sg refl drug.nom.sg 'If then the drug pulverizes/gets pulverized' (Orib. Eup. 4, 63; Svennung 1935: 463, n. 2) (VI AD)

The co-occurrence of the two morphological devices, the -r form and the reflexive pronoun, suggests a total functional equivalence of the two constructions, and is a clear sign of the restructuring of the voice system taking place in Late Latin, with the mediopassive -r form gradually demising in the spoken language and being replaced by the Reflexive, that spreads to all verb classes in Late Latin, with both animate and inanimate subjects.

In particular, the Reflexive pattern is no longer confined to the three functional domains in which it occurred in early and Classical Latin, namely (i) reflexives, marking coreference between the A and P arguments as in (33a), (ii) endoreflexives/autocausatives, a pattern that is very frequent with body motion verbs, as illustrated in (33b), and (iii) core anticausatives, i.e., verbs of telic change, as in (33c) (Cennamo 1998, 2005, 2006):

(33) a. pueri se unctitant boy.nom.pl refl smear.prs.ind.3pl 'The boys smear themselves' b. quo me vortam nescio where REFL turn.PRS.SBIV.1SG know.not.PRS.IND.1SG 'I don't know where to turn (myself).' (Plaut. *Curc.* 69) dum calor se frangat heat.nom refl break.prs.sbjv.3sg 'When the rolling tide breaks' (Cic. de orat. 1, 265)

The distinction between these three categories, however, is to be viewed as a gradient, with overlapping boundaries (Cennamo 1993, 1998).

With endoreflexives/autocausatives, i.e., with derived intransitive patterns with an original animate P argument occurring as subject, as in (33b), in Early and Classical Latin there often occur fluctuations among voice forms, reflecting the degree of control of the subject over the verbal process, as illustrated in (34) (cf. Ronconi 1968; Cennamo 1998; 86):

```
(34) a. quo
                applicem?
        where lean.prs.sbiv.1sg
        'Where shall I go?'
        (Enn. Trag. 77)
     b. + Control
        ad eas
                         (sc. arbores) se
                                            applicant
        to they.acc.f.pl. tree.acc.f.pl REFL lean.prs.ind.3pl
        'They lean themselves against them (sc. the trees)
        (Caes. B.G. 6, 27; Hatcher 1942: 62)
     c. – Control
        adplicor
                                               (sc. terris)
                              ignotis
        lean.mpass.prs.ind.1sg unknown.abl.pl land.abl.pl.
        'I land on unknown shores' (lit. 'I happen to land')
        (Ov.Met. 3,598)
```

The -r form in (34c) marks the affectedness/inactive nature of the subject, whilst in (34b) the use of the reflexive signals volitionality of the subject. The active intransitive in (34a) instead, is neutral as to the realization of this parameter.

Thus, although these alternations are usually explained in the literature as due to stylistic reasons or personal choices on the part of the author, in many cases they convey a difference in control, as also illustrated in (35):

```
(35) a. – Control
             enim
                     si delectamur
                                               cum scribimus
        et
        and in.fact if enjoy.mpass.prs.ind.1pl when write.prs.ind.1pl
        'Indeed, if we like writing (lit. we find enjoyment in writing)
        (Cic. fin. I, 3)
     b. + Control
        interea...
                    nos delectabimus
        meanwhile REFL enjoy.FUT.1PL
        'Meantime we shall organize our own pleasure'
        (Cic. Att. II, 4.2)
```

Control indeed plays an important role in the marking of transitivity in Latin, determining voice fluctuations with animate subjects (Cennamo 1998 and discussion above) and the "impersonal" encoding of situations, as shown in (36), attested with activities, like *delectare* 'please', and states, like *poenitere* 'repent' and libere 'like', etc. Forms such as me fallit 'I am wrong', me delectat 'I am delighted', and me libet 'I am pleased', labeled "impersonal" in traditional Latin grammars, may be regarded instead as the crystallization of a usage that must have been very common in earlier stages of the language: An oblique subject and an impersonal verb form were used to denote lack of control of the subject over the verbal process, as illustrated in (36d) for the verb fallere 'deceive' (see Cennamo 2010; Cennamo and Fabrizio forthc.; Fedriani 2014: 121-128). Interestingly, (quod) me non fefellit is morphosyntactically identical with analogous Old Norse-Icelandic oblique subject patterns discussed in Section 4.1.5 below):

(36) a. fallo:

Active transitive use: 'I deceive (somebody)' memoria me fallit if.not memory.nom I.acc deceive.prs.ind.3sg 'If memory does not deceive me'

b. Reflexive: me fallo:

fallo nisi me forte if.not I.acc strongly deceive/be.in.error.prs.ind.1sg 'If I am not completely wrong'

c. Mediopassive-r form: fallor ('I am deceived' (passive) or 'I am wrong' (middle))

nisi fallor

if.not deceive/be.in.error.mpass.prs.ind.1sg

'If I am not wrong'

d. Impersonal: me fallit 'I am wrong (I happen to be wrong)' (lit. me deceives)

auod me non fefellit as.far.as.this I.acc not deceive/be.in.error.prf.3sg 'I was not (I did not happen to be) wrong as far as this is concerned' (Cic. Ver. 2,1,19)

In Late Latin, however, the concepts of control and aspect no longer play a role in determining voice realizations and alternations in the reflexive-middle continuum and anticausatives, respectively. Thus, uncertainties and fluctuations in the use of voice forms come to affect all areas of transitivity, being no longer confined, as in early and Classical Latin, to peripheral, low transitivity domains and informal registers (Cennamo 1998, 2001b). Thus one also finds, albeit rarely, the active in passive function, initially with inanimate (37a) and subsequently with animate subjects (37b), sometimes with overt expression of the cause, as in (37a) (Cennamo 1998, 2006; Gianollo 2014: 982 for the anticausative interpretation of the pattern):

```
(37) a. si a rota
                             vexaverit
                                                   (sc.
                                                           equus)
        if by wheel.ABL.SG injure.FUTPRF.IND.3SG
                                                           horse.nom
        'If it (sc. the horse) has been injured by a wheel'
        (Pelag. 233; Feltenius 1977: 137)
                        ut ...
                                    liberaret (active) (= liberaretur-passive)
     b. petens
        ask.prptcp.nom in.order.to set.free.imp.sbiv.3sg
        'asking to be set free...'
        (Fredeg. Chron. IVc 183, 17: Haag 1898: 57)
```

In addition, the Reflexive pattern is attested in syntactic domains where only the -r form would have occurred in early and Classical Latin, such as the noncanonical middle function, where the subject, [+animate], is inactive, as in (38a) vs. (38b), at times alternating with the mediopassive -r form in the same sentence, as in (38c) (Cennamo 1998: 88):

```
(38) a. si ... iumentum
                                caudam parietibus fricat
        if
             beast.of.burden.n tail.acc
                                          wall.abl.pl. rub.prs.ind.3sg
             exculcerat
                                se.
                                      sic
                                            curato
        et
        and ulcerate.prs.ind.3sg refl thus cure.imper
        'If some beast of burden rubs its tail against the walls, and ulcerates,
        then cure it'
        (Chiron 717)
                                  procubuerit (sc. iumentum),
     b. quacumque
                       parte
        whichever.abl place.abl turn.fut.3sg beast.of.burden
        exulceratur
        ulcerate.mpass.prs.ind.3sg
        'Wherever it turns, it ulcerates'
        (Chiron 344)
```

c. ustulant se foco in stomacho quomodo burn.prs.ind.3pt. reft. fire.abt. in stomach.abt. like caballi furiosi ustulantur horse.nom.pl mad.nom.pl burn.mpass.prs.ind.3pl 'When they fall ill, they burn with fire in their stomach like mad horses' (Anthim. 3, 6-8)

Whilst the active in passive function is just a reflex of the loss of the grammatical dimension of voice, and of the fact that voice morphology had become functionally opaque, the use of the Reflexive pattern in passive function is a true development, anticipating the Romance reflexive passives (Cennamo 1993, 1998, 2001a).

By the end of the fourth century AD, therefore, and even more so in later centuries, the whole coding of transitivity gets restructured, ultimately leading, in some late texts, to the replacement of the -r form (i.e., the synthetic passive and deponents) with the active, sometimes with overt expression of the agent as in (39a), also when it is lacking in the original passage reproduced in a text, as shown in (39b), both from the L(iber) H(istoria) F(rancorum) (an anonymous Merovingian Latin chronicle dating back to the end of the 7th, beginning of the eighth century AD, finished in 727). In this text in fact, the author at times inserts snippets from an earlier text by Gregory of Tours (6th c. AD). The elimination of the synthetic passive in favor of the active clearly reflects the author's awareness of the non-intelligibility of the -r form by the end of the 7th c. AD (Herman 2002; Cennamo 2006: 319; recently Adams 2013: 718, Note 6 for a critical discussion of the issue).

```
(39) a. dum
              missarum
                           sacrificia ... celebraret
        while Mass.gen.pl sacrifice.n.pl celebrate.impf.sbiv.3sg
        (sc.
              sanctus
                           Mamertus)
              saint.nom.sg Mamertus
        'As he (saint Mamertus) celebrated the sacrifices of the Masses'
        (LHF 16 (266, 20), Herman 2002: 34)
     b. dum missarum
                           celebrantur
                                                     solemnia
        while Mass.gen.pl celebrate.mpass.pres.ind.3pl ceremony.n pl
        'While Masses were celebrated'
        (Greg. II, 34 (98, 2), Herman 2002: 34)
```

Changes in the distribution of the strategies for anticausatives, therefore, are just a reflex of deep and wide-ranging changes taking place in the domains of voice and grammatical relations, partially illustrated above (see Cennamo 1998,

2001b, 2006, 2009). Only occasionally, however, the 'new' tools that had become available, such as the reflexive passive, and various passive verbal periphrases, like e.g., *fieri* 'become' together with a past participle, *venire* 'come' together with a past participle, are employed, even at a very late stage, since they are not organized yet in coherent paradigms (Herman 2002; Cennamo 2005, 2006).

3.3 Summary

In Late Latin the Reflexive pattern and the Active Intransitive come to occur in anticausative function with different aspectual classes. The reflexive expands to verbs of variable/reduced telicity, as well as activities, whilst the Active Intransitive spreads to accomplishments and achievements. In late texts, therefore, all verbs may freely alternate the three voice forms, regardless of their structural and inherent features.

This development is part and parcel of wider and pervasive changes in the encoding of argument structure in the passage to Romance, a result of which is also the emergence of oblique anticausatives, where the subject is in the accusative case rather than in the canonical nominative case (so-called Extended Accusative).

Both the event structure template of verbs and the meaning components lexicalized in the verb, in particular the type of change encoded as well as the degree of individuation and control of the P-subject, appear to affect the choice of construction, interacting, in the course of time, with changes in the encoding of transitivity.

Although the progression of the change seems to be mainly aspectually driven, further investigation is needed on a larger corpus, in order to give a more fine-grained description of the path of development and to detect, for instance, whether the Anticausative Reflexive occurs earlier with gradual completion verbs (as seems to be the case with verbs such as *minuere* 'decrease'), than with other types of non-inherently telic predicates (e.g., accomplishments like confirmare 'heal), and with atelic verbs (e.g., activities such as vocare 'to call'). It also remains to be further explored the interplay of the inherent lexical properties of the verb with the nature of the verbal argument, i.e., its animacy and control, in determining the spontaneous interpretation of the construction, i.e., its anticausative use, in cases where the pattern is ambiguous between a spontaneous (anticausative) and induced process (passive) reading.

We can conclude, however, that in Late Latin the Anticausative Reflexive is no longer confined to verbs of inherent telicity, i.e., verbs lexically encoding a final/result or target state. Conversely, the lack of a final/result or target state and/or the presence of a manner/processual component - so-called "specific change" in Haspelmath's terms – in the verb's inherent meaning, no longer appear to be a requirement for the occurrence of the Active Intransitive in anticausative function, unlike in Early and Classical Latin.

4 Anticausatives in old Norse-Icelandic

Because of its status as the best-attested representative of North Germanic, we now investigate the forms that are available in Old Norse-Icelandic for expressing anticausatives, their relationship with their transitive counterpart, and the interplay between the structural and lexical aspects of verb meaning in determining the selection of a particular construction. The main anticausativization strategies are the following (cf. Ottósson 2013):⁴

- The Reflexive suffix -sk (as in so-called 'middle' sk-verbs), originating from a cliticized reflexive pronoun
- (ii) The Oblique Active Intransitive
- (iii) The Nominative Active Intransitive

Ottósson (2013) also includes the derivation of na-verbs as an anticausative device. However, -na verbs are not derived from transitives, but from adjectives. participles and intransitives (West 1980; Suzuki 1989; Schwerdt 2001; Ringe 2006; Lazzeroni 2009) and are more rightfully regarded as inchoative. We will therefore not include the -na derivation in our presentation below, where we discuss the three anticausativization strategies found in Old Norse-Icelandic.

4.1 Synchronic aspects

4.1.1 The reflexive

The Old Norse-Icelandic Reflexive with -sk verbs originates in cliticization of the reflexive pronoun to the verb (Ottósson 1992, 2008). The reflexive clitic pronoun

⁴ The corpus of Old Norse-Icelandic investigated comprises texts from the 12th-14th centuries, listed under Primary Sources. These are generally transcripts of orginals that are approximately one century older. The verb forms are listed in the standard dictionaries of Fritzner (1883–1896) and Cleasby and Vigfússon (1874), both of which are available online. We have also greatly benefited from the relevant material collected by Ottósson (1992), Ottósson (2008, 2013) and Sandal (2011).

became an affix, which is realized as -sk in all persons except for the first person singular, where it is -mk. In East Scandinavian it is realized as -s in all persons, while in Modern Icelandic, Modern Faroese and New Norwegian it is realized as -st (Ottósson 2008: 185).

The -sk form comprises a wide range of functions, notably reflexive, reciprocal, middle, anticausative, and in rare cases in Old Norse-Icelandic, passive (Ottósson 2013), a function that becomes dominant in Mainland Scandinavian by the twelfth century (cf. Barðdal and Molnár 2003). This development is very similar to the one displayed by the Latin se, which is cognate with the reflexive pronoun *sik* 'self', and hence also the -*sk* suffix.

The reflexive and reciprocal functions of the -sk construction are shown in (40) below (cf. also Anderson 1990 and Barðdal and Molnar 2003: 253-254 for Modern Icelandic):

(40) a. en bó lagðisk niður ok hann but vet laid.refl.pst.3sg he.nom down and sofnaði fell.asleep.psr.3sg 'But yet, he laid down and fell asleep' (Grænlendinga þáttur, Ch. 6) b. *Dags* friendr of drepask kváðu Dag.gen relatives.acc about kill.refl.inf said.pst.3pl '(They) spoke of Dag's relatives killing each other' (Ynglingatal, Ch. 11)

The anticausative function of the reflexive is shown in (41) below. Verbs occurring in this construction are generally achievements (41a), like evõa 'destroy', and accomplishments (41b)–(41c) like opna 'open' and skera 'cut, although some activities, like hrista 'shake', bifa 'move', mala 'grind' and bvá 'wash' are also found, as in (41d)–(41g):

(41) a. *evðisk* land ok láð? destroys.refl.prs.3sg earth.nom and land.nom 'Land and people perish' (Saga Hákonar góða, Ch. 32) b. opnask haugrinn opens.refl.prs.3sg mound.the.nom 'The mound opens' (Þorleifs þáttur jarlaskálds, Ch. 8)

- c. hann gnýr bar við bakinu. he.nom nudges.prs.3sg there with back.the.acc til er bogastrengrinn skarsk there to when bowstring.the.nom cut.refl.pst.3sg 'He nudges his back at it, until the bow-string tore' (Örvar Odds Saga, Ch. 29)
- d. svá at hevrði vfir til Skarfsstaða ... so that heard.pst.3sg over to Skarfstaðir.gen.pl. ef gengit var um brúna: if walk.ptcp was.pst.3sg on bridge.the.acc svá hristusk hringarnir such shook.refl.pst.3pl rings.the.nom 'When people walked on the bridge the noise could be heard all the way to Skarfsstaðir: the rings shook so hard' (Grettissaga, Ch. 53)
- e. jorð bifask. en allir fvrir earth.nom shakes.reft.prs.3sg but all.nom around garðar Gvmis tremble.prs.3pl gardens.nom.pl Gymir.gen.sg 'The earth shakes, and the whole house of Gymir trembles' (Skírnismál 14)
- f. en SÚ náttúra fylgði kvernunum. and that.nom nature.nom accompanied.pst.3sg handmills.the.dat mólsk á kverninni at bat er that it.nom ground.refl.pst.3sg on handmill.the.dat when mælti fvrir er mól the.one.nom ordered.pst.3sg for who.nom ground.pst.3sg 'And the handmills were of such a nature that they ground what the grinder ordered' (Skáldskaparmál 52)
- g. bá brátt af þvásk mun oll then will.prs.3sg soon off wash.refl.inf all.nom sú sámð that.nom honor.nom 'Then all the honor will soon get washed off' (Fornmannasögur, Ch. 9)

Finally, the -sk construction is frequent with psychological verbs, usually states, as in (42) below (cf. Barðdal 2001a, 2004; Barðdal and Eythórsson 2009).

(42) hefi ek nökkut minnsk bín have.prs.1sg I.nom at.all remembered.refl.ptcp vou.gen 'Have I remembered you at all' (Fornmannasögur, Ch. 6)

The main property of the anticausative Reflexive seems to be to signal lack of external agency. It is found with verbs denoting achievements, accomplishments, and activity verbs. It is also worth noticing that -sk verbs in Old Norse-Icelandic are to a high degree lexicalized. This means that the causative and its anticausative variant are not necessarily examples of the 'same' verb, but have lexicalized in different ways.

4.1.2 The oblique active intransitive

hann

(Fornmannasögur, Ch. 4)

blása

A widely used strategy in Old Norse-Icelandic involves verbs taking oblique subjects ("impersonal detransitives" in Ottósson's terminology). The nominative agentive subject of the transitive construction is "suppressed" in this anticausative variant, and the original object appears as a subject, preserving its original object case, accusative, dative, or more rarely genitive. With this pattern the verb reverts to the impersonal active form, i.e., the default third singular. The predicates occurring in this pattern most typically denote a telic change of state, i.e., they comprise achievements and accomplishments, as illustrated in (43)–(46), where the a-examples give the transitive pattern and the b-examples the intransitive one (see further discussion in Sandal 2011; Ottósson 2013; Barðdal 2014).

(43) a. lét let.pst.3sg he.nom blow.inf the.acc great.acc merki sitt fyrir vindinum banner.acc his.acc for wind.the.dat 'Then he let his banner blow in the wind' (Karlamagnús saga, Ch. 335) b. ok á hvassviðri mikit var bá og and was.pst.3sg then on storm.nom much.nom and blásit lá hafði hauginn ok had.pst.3sg blown.ptct mound.the.acc and lav.pst.3sg silfrið bert openly silver.nom 'And there was a great storm, the mound had eroded and the silver was lying in the open'

hið

mikla

b. gerði

bá

(44) a. nú kominn er sá sem ykkur now is.pst.3sg the.one.nom come.pctc who you.acc mun kvrra will.prs.3sg still.ing 'Now the one has arrived who will calm you' (Fornmannasögur, Ch. 108)

b. samdægris ... rýfr bokuna og kvrrir clears.PRS.3SG fog.the.ACC and stills.PRS.3SG same.day siáinn sea.the.acc

'The same day the fog clears and the sea becomes calm' (Örvar Odds saga, Ch. 20)

(45) a. beir brutu skip sitt síð dags they.nom broke.pst.3pl ship.acc their.acc late day.gen undir Grænlandsiöklum under Greenlands.glaciers.dat.pl 'They broke their ship late in the day at the glaciers of Greenland' (Flóamanna saga, Ch 22)

svá diúpt at

did.pst.3sg then so deeply that current.the.acc braut á oxlinni broke.pst.3sg on shoulder.the.dat.sg 'It then became so deep that the stream broke against his shoulder' (Grettis saga, Ch. 64)

strauminn

(46) a. hóf ferðina hann um haustit or started.pst.3sg he.nom trip.the.acc of fall.the.acc from Borg Borg. DAT He started the trip from Borg in the fall' (Saga af Ólafi hinom helga, Ch. 73) b. hér hefr kristni sögu here begins.PRS.3SG Christianity history.ACC

'Here begins the history of Christianity'

(Biskupasögur, Ch. 1)

The nature of the subject is relevant as well: as with other verbs taking oblique subjects, it is never an agent (cf. Barðdal 2001a, 2001b, 2004).

Ottósson (2013) regards the Oblique Active Intransitive as a special Old Norse-Icelandic development. However, we have come across equivalent examples in Bavarian German, Modern Russian, and Lithuanian, as illustrated in (47)–(49):

(47) Bayarian

Es trieh den Kahn an den Strand. it.expl drove.pst.3sg the.acc boat to the.acc beach 'The boat drifted to the beach.' (Kainhofer 2002)

(48) Lithuanian

sniego. Soda prinešė garden.acc brought.pst.3sg snow.gen 'The garden was filled with snow.'

(49) Modern Russian

Lodku uneslo vniž po tečeniju.⁵ boat.acc drifted.away.pst.3sg down on stream.dat 'The boat drifted down the stream.'

(i) Lodka byla unesena. boat.NOM was.PST.3SG drifted 'The boat was drifted'

In Modern Icelandic similar examples exist, in which the verb reka 'drive, drift', is found in the Oblique Active construction, where the oblique behaves syntactically like a subject (ii). Passives may also be formed of this verb, as shown in (iii):

- (ii) Rátinn að landi. rak boat.the.ACC drove.PST.3SG to land.DAT 'The boat drifted ashore.'
- (iii) Báturinn rekinn að landi. var boat.the.NOM was.PST.3SG driven to land.DAT 'The boat was drifted ashore.'

Notice that the Icelandic passive in (iii) is not derived from the anticausative in (ii), but from a corresponding active construction with a nominative subject and an accusative object. In the same way, the Russian passive in (i) above is presumably not formed to the anticausative in (40) but to a corresponding active sentence.

⁵ An anonymous reviewer argues that in this Russian example the accusative *lodku* 'boat' cannot be a subject because it can be passivized, as shown in (i) below, and hence it is an object.

In the German example in (47), which is discussed in the literature as being specific for Bayarian, there is an expletive es 'it' in initial position. This es is a secondary development in German; an expletive es has been gradually inserted into all argument structures without a nominative as well as into existential clauses where the subject is right dislocated. The development started with weather verbs already in Old High German and gradually extended to other verb classes and clause types (Lenerz 1977; Abraham 1993). This means that the inherited structure of verbs like treiben 'drive, drift' in (47) is with only one argument, i.e., a subject-like accusative.

Clearly there is a need to explore the relationship between the anticausative patterns illustrated in (43)–(46) in Old Norse-Icelandic and in (47)–(49) for some modern Indo-European languages, and other constructions with oblique subjectlike arguments (and the verb in the default third person singular), denoting lack of control of the S-argument over the verbal process. Observe that within the Dixonian typology, the concept of S-argument is understood as the subject of an intransitive predicate. This concept of S-argument has been extended in the literature also to include the non-canonically case-marked subject of two-place predicates (Onishi 2001; Andrews 2001; Donohue 2008). Examples of this type are attested in Old Norse Icelandic and presented in (50)–(52) below. In all these structures, in fact, the situation expressed by the verb is encoded as affecting the nuclear argument, with no causer involved:

- (50) a. hún fýsti Harald son sinn she.nom urged.pst.3sg Harald.acc son.acc her.acc ferðarinnar trip.gen 'She urged her son, Haraldur, to make the trip' (Jómsvíkinga saga, Ch. 4) b. að bá fýsti einskis halda annars en that they.acc urged.pst.3sg nothing.gen else.gen than move.inf undan away 'That they wanted nothing further than to retreat' (Eiríks saga rauða, Ch. 11)
- (51) a. *Gunnar* gaf kongungi langskip gott Gunnar.nom gave.pst.3sg king.dat long.ship.acc good.acc 'Gunnar give the king a good long ship' (Brennu-Njáls saga, Ch. 31)

- b. *þeim gaf vel byri og komu*they.dat gave.pst.3sg well winds.acc and arrived.pst.3pl
 norður í *Prándheim*north in Trondheim.acc
 'They got fair winds and arrived north in Trondheim'
 (Þorgríms þáttur Hallasonar)
- (52) a. hún hefur minnt mig beirra she.nom has.pst.3sg reminded.pctc me.acc these.gen hluta things.GEN 'She has reminded me of those things' (Fornmannasögur, Ch. 1) b. *bá* minnir mig bessar konu then remember.prs.3sg I.acc this.gen woman.gen 'Then I remember that woman' (Ívars þáttur Ingimundarsonar)

Equivalent examples showing reduction from a more transitive variant to a less transitive one are also found in more early Indo-European languages, like Old Russian:

(53) Old Russian

- a. *Tuto*, *brate*, *staru pomolodětь*, *a*So brother old.dat becomes.young.pst.3sg but *molodomu česti dobytь*young.dat honor.gen creates.3ps.sg
 'So, brother, the old becomes young, but the young acquire honor'
 (-Sl. O Zadon.)
 b. *Jako g'nasta pout' m'nog'*, *ti*
- so chased.pst.3sg paths many thee.dat

 tako pristig'ša

 such overtook.pst.3sg

 'You have followed many paths, so you have been overwhelmed'

 (Nest,Zhit,Theod.3, here cited from Smitherman 2010)

These examples, although partially different, since the verbs in (50)–(52) and (53) are ditransitive, and the S/A argument is animate, are semantically similar to the oblique anticausatives discussed in (45)–(48): they denote an event taking place spontaneously, with no external causer. Syntactically, they are derived from corresponding causatives where the causer is the A-argument. They

only differ from prototypical anticausatives in that they involve an animate subject and are derived from causative ditransitives and not causative transitives.

The existence of Oblique Active Intransitive anticausatives and of other analogous patterns with an oblique subject and the verb in the default third person singular in other Germanic languages, as well as in Baltic and Slavic, suggests an inheritance, although perhaps only a West-Indo-European inheritance (see also discussion of similar lack of control patterns in Latin in Section 3.2 above).

4.1.3 The nominative active intransitive (lability proper)

The Active Intransitive strategy, i.e., P-lability, is quite rare in Old Norse-Icelandic (Ottósson 2013) and appears to be confined to gradual completion verbs and activities, as illustrated in (54) for the verb minnka 'reduce, diminish' and in (55) for the verb *velta* 'roll'. In this pattern the subject occurs in the nominative case, agreeing with the verb, as shown in (54)–(55) below, where (a) contains the intransitive variant and (b) the transitive one:

- (54) a. en hans krantr ok máttr minnkar but his.gen power.nom and might.nom diminishes.prs.3sg пú hversdagslega now every.day
 - 'And his power and might reduced with every day that goes by' (Barlaams ok Josaphats saga, Ch. 34)
 - b. höfum ekki vanist bví hér til аð have.PST.1PL not gotten.used.to.ptct it here for to minnka vorn hlut diminished.inf our.acc share.acc
 - '(We) have not been used to this here to reduce our share' (Grænlendinga báttur, Ch. 5)
- (55) a. *bá* gekk hann heldur en valt then walked.pst.3sg he.nom rather than rolled.pst.3sg 'Then he walked rather than rolled' (Sturlunga saga, Ch. 3)
 - b. ek látið slíka hefi velta sem I.NOM have.pst.1sg roll.inf let.ptct such.acc as ert bú are.pst.2sg you.nom

'I have had people like you thrown over' (Egils saga, Ch. 57)

The pattern is not found with verbs which lexicalize a final/result or target state, such as *brjóta* 'break', *rífa* 'tear' or *opna* 'open', i.e., the core of the anticausative type.

It needs to be further investigated, however, whether the rarity of attestation of this structure reflects a change in progress, the gradual emerging of a new strategy for marking anticausatives and how it relates to so-called Nominative Substitution, i.e., the regularization of subject case marking and a change to the nominative canon (Eythórsson 2000a, 2000b, 2002; Barðdal and Eythórsson 2003; Barðdal 2011b). There is no doubt that there are considerably more predicates in Modern Icelandic than in Old Norse-Icelandic that employ the Nominative Active strategy. The opposite is true for predicates that employ the Oblique Active strategy; there are considerably fewer such anticausatives in Modern Icelandic than in Old Norse-Icelandic (Barðdal 2014). There is no doubt that many of the Oblique Subject anticausatives in Old Norse-Icelandic have changed to nominative in the course of the Icelandic language history, so the question arises whether such a change has been ongoing in the Germanic language area for even longer, from before the historical records. We are inclined to answer such a question in the positive.

4.1.4 Summary

Old Norse-Icelandic presents a varied picture with respect to anticausative constructions. The Reflexive is the general strategy for forming anticausatives. In addition, a common anticausative strategy involves active intransitive verbs taking oblique subjects, exhibiting the same object case as their transitive counterparts, and the lexical verb in the default third person singular. P-lability proper, i.e., the strategy where the same verb form occurs both as a transitive and as an anticausative with a nominative subject, is also documented but seems to be confined to gradual completion verbs.

4.2 Conjecturing the diachronic development of anticausative strategies in North Germanic

To summarize, there are three strategies found to derive intransitive anticausatives from transitive causatives in Old Norse-Icelandic; the Reflexive sk-construction, the Oblique Active intransitive and the Nominative Active intransitive. The Reflexive is a descendent of a Proto-Indo-European reflexive construction, involving a reflexive pronoun, found occurring in anticausative function in several early Indo-European languages, like Old Indo-Iranian, Ancient Greek, Latin, Hittite, Slavic, Baltic, and Germanic (Brugmann 1918; Strunk 1980).

The Ingvaeonic branch of Germanic had already lost its reflexive pronouns in its earliest attestations, developing instead an anticausativization strategy involving formal identity between transitive and intransitive uses of a verb, i.e., P-lability (Kitazume 1996; van Gelderen 2011). It is a theoretical possibility that the Nominative Active Intransitive variant got so robust, not only because of lack of reflexive pronouns, but also through the canonization of subject marking. Or in other words, the Oblique Active Intransitive may have changed into the Nominative Active Intransitive through Nominative Substitution, a process that has been found in languages irrespective of loss of morphological case (von Seefranz-Montag 1983, 1984; Smith 1994, 1996; Barðdal and Eythórsson 2003; Eythórsson and Barðdal 2005). There is, for instance, a sporadic tendency in the history of Icelandic for the oblique subjects of such verbs to be replaced by nominative subjects (Eythórsson 2000a, 2000b, 2002; Barðdal and Eythórsson 2003; Barðdal 2011b), even though the case system of Icelandic has remained intact throughout the Icelandic period, and no loss of case marking is detectable (Barðdal 2001b, 2008, 2009).

The labile "proper" alternation seems to be confined to gradual completion verbs and activity verbs in Old Norse-Icelandic, while an oblique subject intransitive construction is more pervasive. Equivalent structures are also found in Bavarian German, in Old and Modern Russian, and in Lithuanian, and may have been inherited from an earlier stage of the Indo-European languages. Thus, contra Ottósson, we find it quite likely that Oblique Active Intransitives, which are robust in Old Norse-Icelandic, existed at the earliest stage of Germanic, suggesting that they are the result of a systematic earlier development.

Ottósson (2013) speculates that an important factor triggering these developments is the demise of the synthetic mediopassive of Proto-Germanic (in *-ai), which would partly have had an anticausative function, the equivalent of the Proto-Indo-European r-form. It is only in Gothic that this passive category has survived, and only in the present tense. According to Ottósson, the loss of this passive would have contributed to the emergence of new, alternative anticausative formations, like sk-verbs. However, there is no evidence for the demise of the synthetic mediopassive being a possible trigger of the different anticausative strategies and their distribution in early West Germanic in general or Old Norse-Icelandic in particular.

5 Constraints on anticausativization in Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic: similarities and differences

The analysis of the morphosyntactic patterns encoding anticausatives in Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic has shown that they involve an interaction between the aspectual templates of predicates, the meaning components lexicalized in the verb, and, marginally, the animacy and degree of control of the subject, albeit to a different extent and at different stages.

The relevant constructions in the two languages are generally of the same or similar kind, although the details differ according to language-specific possibilities. In particular, both languages make use of the reflexive pattern and the active intransitive. Latin employs a mediopassive r-form that functions as a general detransitivizer, marking the affectedness/inactive nature of the subject and occurring as an anticausativization device. The r-form is the most general anticausativization strategy in Early Latin, like the Reflexive in Old Norse-Icelandic, which comprises a substantially wider range of verb classes and functions. A comparison of the strategies for anticausativization in Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Early/Classical Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic compared.

	Achievements	Accomplishments	Activities	States
Early/Classical Latin				
Mediopassive R-form	+	+	+	+
Reflexive (se + active)	+	$+^a$	_	-
Active Intransitive	-	+/?	+	-/?
Old Norse-Icelandic				
Reflexive (sk-verbs)	+	+	+	+
${\sf Act.\ Intr.} + {\sf Nom}$	_	-/? ^b	+	-
Act. Intr. + Obl.	+	+	+	+

^aAccomplishments comprise verbs with different degrees of telicity. The reflexive pattern does not occur with gradual completion verbs.

As to the semantic development, in Early and Classical Latin the Active Intransitive mainly occurs with gradual completion and activity verbs, and is only marginally attested with accomplishments encoding a target (i.e., reversible) state. It does not occur, instead, with verbs lexically encoding a final/result state

^bActive intransitives with Nominative subjects are mainly attested with gradual completion verbs and activities.

(i.e., achievements). The Reflexive pattern, instead, occurs in Early and Classical Latin as a strategy for anticausativization mainly with inherently telic predicates, i.e., with verbs lexically encoding a final/result or target state (achievements and accomplishments). It is also preferred when the subject, although inanimate, is personified, involving some degree of control. Finally, the mediopassive -r form is the most general device of the three, occurring at all stages of Latin with all verb classes that allow the anticausative alternation: achievements, accomplishments, and activities. With the last two aspectual classes, however, ambiguity may occur between an anticausative and a passive interpretation, resolved by the context.

In Old Norse-Icelandic the main anticausativization strategies are the Reflexive, i.e., sk-verbs originating from reflexives, and the Active Intransitive with Oblique subjects. The Reflexive is the most general anticausativization device, compatible with all aspectual classes. In the Oblique Active Intransitive the subject retains the accusative, dative, or genitive case of the object of the original transitive construction, whilst the verb reverts to the third person singular impersonal form. This pattern is attested with achievements and accomplishments and is much more common than the Nominative Active Intransitive form, the labile strategy in Old Norse-Icelandic, which seems to be mainly attested with non-inherently telic verbs, e.g., gradual completion verbs, but also some activity verbs. The nature of the subject is relevant as well: it is never an agent, both in the Nominative and Oblique Intransitive anticausative pattern. An Oblique Active Intransitive form emerges in Late Latin, but the verb never occurs in the impersonal form and the oblique subject is always in the accusative case, clearly a different pattern than the inherited oblique active anticausative. More research is needed, however, in order to uncover the relationship between the oblique case preserving anticausative strategy attested in Old Norse-Icelandic and in other early Indo-European languages, including the other types of oblique subject constructions attested in Bavarian German, Lithuanian, Old Russian, and Latin, mentioned in Sections 3.2 and 4.1.2.

To conclude, a close inspection of the distribution of the different anticausative strategies in Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic reveals that their occurrence reflects the interplay (and degree of integration) between both components of a verb meaning, the structural aspect, i.e., its event structure template, and the meaning components it lexicalizes, i.e., the root, as well as the inherent and relational properties of the (derived, P-)subject.

6 Summary and conclusions

A comparison between Latin and Old Norse-Icelandic has uncovered interesting similarities and differences in the evolution of anticausative strategies, pointing

to the existence of common paths of development from an original mediopassive construction covering, initially, the reflexive-middle-anticausative-passiveimpersonal space.

Three different voice forms in Latin serve as anticausative: the mediopassive, the Reflexive and the Active Intransitive. It is generally claimed in the literature on Latin that the three constructions are interchangeable. However, we have shown here that there is, instead, a clear division of labor between the three patterns, based on the semantics of the predicates, and reflecting mainly, albeit not exclusively, aspectual properties.

The mediopassive strategy is most common in Early and Classical Latin and it can be instantiated by all verbs that qualify for the anticausative construal. The Active Intransitive, in contrast, occurs mainly with verbs of variable/ reduced telicity and with activities, whilst the Reflexive pattern is only found with inherently telic predicates. Gradually, through the course of history, the Reflexive and the Active Intransitive patterns spread to aspectual classes with which they did not occur in early and Classical Latin. Hence, the Reflexive starts occurring with predicates of variable/reduced telicity and with atelic ones, and the Active Intransitive is extended to telic predicates, occurring also with oblique subjects at a late stage.

Also in Old Norse-Icelandic anticausativity may be manifested in three main ways, two of which are in common with Latin. These three are the reflexive -sk suffix (in so-called Middle -sk verbs), the Oblique Active Intransitive and proper (P-)lability. The most common device for marking anticausatives is the Reflexive, which like the oblique subject active intransitive, is not aspectually constrained. The active intransitive with nominative subjects, instead, is confined to non-inherently telic predicates. All three strategies can be argued to be of Proto-Germanic origin.

The diachrony of anticausativization in the languages investigated clearly illustrates an interplay between the strategies employed for the anticausative alternation with more general changes taking place in the domain of transitivity, leading to the temporary loss of the grammatical dimension of voice and of the marking of grammatical relations in Late Latin, resulting in the rise of the Reflexive Passive and of oblique anticausatives. A comparable change takes place in the history of Mainland Scandinavian, but is only marginally attested in Old Norse-Icelandic. Further phenomena involving the reorganization of voice and grammatical relations may be seen in the sporadic emergence of nominative subjects (Nominative Substitution) with oblique subject predicates in Old Norse-Icelandic. Whether the Nominative Active Intransitive has developed out of the Oblique Active Intransitive pattern, through Nominative Substitution, or whether the two structures co-existed side by side, needs further investigation. Also the relationship between these structures and the synthetic mediopassive construction needs to be explored: a comparative analysis, in fact, might throw new light on the issue of the origin, function and spread of oblique subjects in early Indo-European, confirming their inherited nature (cf. also Barðdal et al. 2012; Barðdal and Eythórsson 2012; Barðdal et al. 2013; Barðdal and Smitherman 2013).

Whereas the diachrony of the change can be well followed and described for Latin, it can only be inferred for Old Norse-Icelandic, since the data at our disposal give us a synchronic picture of one of the last stages of the change. The mediopassive, in fact, had already almost disappeared in Gothic, since it only occurred in imperfective passive function, whilst its other domains, in particular its reflexive, middle, anticausative and passive functions, had been taken up by different strategies: the reflexive and the active intransitive, each covering different aspects of the original domains of the lost mediopassive form, only partially overlapping in the case of -sk verbs. The data, however, clearly show that Old Norse-Icelandic represents a later point on this evolutionary path than Latin.

Finally, this investigation has also shown that the aspectual template of verbs and the meaning components lexicalized in the verb, e.g. the notion of reversible and non reversible change in Latin, as well as the nature of the subject, e.g., its degree of control, affect the choice of anticausative strategy in the languages examined, both synchronically and diachronically. These parameters, indeed, appear to have played an important role in the changes leading to the demise of the Indo-European mediopassive form and its gradual replacement by other tools that we have discussed in relation to the anticausative domain and its morphosyntactic realizations.

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the generous support of the funding bodies that have made this research possible. Jóhanna Barðdal received support from the ERC under their Starting Grant Schema (grant agreement 313416, EVALISA) and from the Norwegian NFR under their FRIHUM Schema (grant agreement 205007, NonCanCase). We would also like to thank three anonymous reviewers, the audience at the workshop on Lability in Thessaloniki in April 2009 as well as Bridget Drinka, Andrew Koontz-Garboden, Leonid Kulikov, Nikolaos Lavidas, Romano Lazzeroni, Silvia Luraghi, Maria Napoli and Francesco Rovai for comments and discussions. We are also indebted to Ilja A. Serzant for help with the Baltic and the Slavic data in Section 4.1.2. The usual disclaimers apply. We dedicate this article to our late friend, Kjartan G. Ottósson, who passed away in the prime of his life. He contributed both novel data and analysis to the study of anticausativization and valence reduction, which were not merely passionate topics and dear to his heart, but constituted his life's work. This article results from joint work; Michela Cennamo is more directly responsible for Sections 1-3 and Jóhanna Barðdal and Thórhallur Eythórsson for Sections 4-6.

References

- Abraham, Werner. 1993. Null subjects in the history of German: From IP to CP. *Lingua* 89. 117–142. Adams, James. N. 2013. *Social variation and the Latin language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Andrews, Avery D. 2001. Non-canonical A/S marking in Icelandic. In Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald, Robert M. W. Dixon & Masayuki Onishi (eds.), *Non-canonical marking of subjects and objects*, 85–111. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Alexiadou, Artemis & Elena Anagnostopoulou. 2004. Voice morphology in the causative—inchoative alternation: Evidence for a non-unified structural analysis of unaccusatives. In Alexandra Alexiadou, Elena Anagnostopoulou & Martin Everaert (eds.), *The unaccusativity puzzle: Explorations of the syntax–lexicon interface*, 123–159. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, Stephen. 1990. The grammar of Icelandic verbs in -st. In Joan Maling & Annie Zaenen (eds.). *Modern Icelandic syntax* (Syntax and semantics 24), 253–273. New York: Academic Press.
- Baldi, Philip. 1977. Remarks on the Latin *R*-form verbs. *Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung* 90. 222–257.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna. 2001a. The perplexity of Dat-Nom verbs in Icelandic. *Nordic Journal of Linguistics* 24. 47–70.
- Barðdal, Johanna. 2001b. Case in Icelandic A synchronic, diachronic and a comparative approach. Lundastudier i Nordisk språkvetenskap A 57. Lund: Department of Scandinavian Languages.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna. 2004. The semantics of the impersonal construction in Icelandic, German and Faroese: Beyond thematic roles. In Werner Abraham (ed.), *Focus on Germanic typology*, 105–37. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Barddal, Jóhanna. 2008. Productivity: Evidence from case and argument structure in Icelandic (Constructional Approaches to Language 8). Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna. 2009. The development of case in Germanic. In Jóhanna Barðdal & Shobhana Chelliah (eds.), *The role of semantic, pragmatic and discourse factors in the development of case*, 123–159. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna. 2011a. Lexical vs. structural case: A false dichotomy. *Morphology* 21(3/4). 619-659.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna. 2011b The rise of dative substitution in the history of Icelandic: A diachronic construction grammar approach. *Lingua* 121(1). 60–79.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna. 2014. Syntax and syntactic reconstruction. In Claire Bowern & Bethwyn Evans, *The Routledge handbook of historical linguistics*, 343–374. London: Routledge.
- Barðdal, Johanna, Valgerður Bjarnadóttir, Serena Danesi, Tonya K. Dewey, Thórhallur Eythórsson, Chiara Fedriani & Thomas Smitherman. 2013. The story of "Woe". *Journal of Indo-European Studies* 41(3/4). 321–377.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna & Thórhallur Eythórsson. 2003. The change that never happened: The story of oblique subjects. *Journal of Linguistics* 39(3). 439–472.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna & Thórhallur Eythórsson. 2009. The origin of the oblique subject construction: An Indo-European comparison. In Vit Bubenik, John Hewson & Sarah Rose (eds.), Grammatical change in Indo-European Languages, 179–193. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

- Barðdal, Jóhanna & Thórhallur Eythórsson. 2012. 'Hungering and lusting for women and fleshly delicacies': Reconstructing grammatical relations for Proto-Germanic. Transactions of the Philological Society 110(3). 363-393.
- Barðdal, Johanna & Valeria Molnár. 2003. The passive in Icelandic Compared to Mainland Scandinavian. In Jorunn Hetland & Valéria Molnár (eds.), Structures of focus and arammatical relations (Linguistische Arbeiten 477), 231–260. Tübingen: Max Niemeyer.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna & Thomas Smitherman. 2013. The quest for cognates: A reconstruction of oblique subject constructions in Proto-Indo-European. Language Dynamics and Change 3(1). 28-67.
- Barðdal, Jóhanna, Thomas Smitherman, Valgerður Bjarnadóttir, Serena Danesi, Gard B. Jenset & Barbara McGillivray. 2012. Reconstructing constructional semantics: The dative subject construction in Old Norse-Icelandic, Latin, Ancient Greek, Old Russian and Old Lithuanian. Studies in Language 36(3). 511-547.
- Barnes, Michael P. 2008. A new introduction to Old Norse, part 1. Grammar, 3rd edn. Exeter: Short Run Press
- Bassols de Climent, Mariano. 1948. Sintaxis histórica de la lengua Latina, vol. II. 1. Barcelona: Escuela de Filología.
- Beeks, Robert S. P. 1995. Comparative Indo-European linguistics: An introduction, Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Bennett, Charles. E. 1910. The syntax of Early Latin, vol. 1. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Bertinetto, Piermarco & Mario Squartini. 1995. An attempt at defining the class of 'gradual completion verbs'. In Piermarco Bertinetto, Valentina Bianchi, James Higginbotham & Mario Squartini (eds.), Temporal reference, aspect and actionality, vol. I: Semantic and syntactic perspectives, 11-26. Turin: Rosenberg & Sellier.
- Bonnet, Max. 1890. Le latin ole grégoize de tours. Paris: Hachette.
- Braune, Wilhelm & Frank Heidermanns. 2004. Gotische Grammatik, 20th edn. Tübingen: Max Niemeyer.
- Brugmann, Karl. 1918. Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der indogermanischen Sprachen II.3. Strasbourg: Karl J. Trübner.
- Cennamo, Michela. 1993. The reanalysis of reflexives: A diachronic perspective. Naples: Liguori. Cennamo, Michela. 1995. Transitivity and VS order in Italian reflexives. Sprachtypologie und *Universalienforschung* 48(1/2). 84–105.
- Cennamo, Michela. 1998. The loss of the voice dimension between Late Latin and Early Romance. In Monica S. Schmidt, Jennifer R. Austin & Dieter Stein (eds.), Historical linguistics 1997, 77-107. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Cennamo, Michela. 2001a. Classi verbali e cambiamento sintattico: La reinterpretazione passiva del costrutto riflessive. In Zsuzsanna Fábián & Giampaolo Salvi (eds.), Semantica e lessicologia storiche, 225-242. Rome: Bulzoni.
- Cennamo, Michela. 2001b. On the reorganization of voice distinctions and grammatical relations in Late Latin. In Claude Moussy (ed.), Actes du Xeme colloque international de linguistique latine, 51-65. Paris: Peeters.
- Cennamo, Michela. 2005. Passive auxiliaries in Late Latin. In Sándor Kiss, LucaMondin & Giampaolo Salvi (eds.), Latin et langues romanes: Etudes de linguistique offertes à Jozsef Herman à l'occasion de son 80ème anniversaire, 179-196. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Cennamo, Michela. 2006. The rise and grammaticalization paths of Latin fieri and facere as passive auxiliaries. In Werner Abraham & Larisa Leiso (eds.), Passivization and typology, 311-336. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

- Cennamo, Michela. 2008. The rise and development of analytic perfects in Italo-Romance. In Thórhallur Eythórsson (ed.), Grammatical change and linquistic theory: The Rosendal papers, 115-142. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Cennamo, Michela. 2009. Argument structure and alignment variations and changes in Late Latin. In Jóhanna Barðdal & Shobana L. Chelliah (eds.), The role of semantic, pragmatic, and discourse factors in the development of case, 307-346. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Cennamo, Michela. 2010. Control and argument marking in Latin. Paper presented at the workshop Subject and transitivity in Indo-European and beyond: A diachronic typological perspective, 43rd Annual Meeting of the Societas Linguistica Europaea, Vilnius, 2-3 September.
- Cennamo, Michela & Elisabetta Jezek. 2011. The anticausative alternation in Italian: constraints and variation. In Giovanna Massariello & Serena Dal Masi (eds.), Le Interfacce, 809-823. Rome: Bulzoni.
- Cennamo, Michela & Claudia Fabrizio. Forthc. Non-nominative arguments, active impersonals and control in Latin. To appear in Leonid Kulikov, Seppo Kittila & Ilja Seriant (eds.), Diachronic typology of voice and valency-changing categories. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Cleasby, Richard & Guðbrandr Vigfússon 1874. An Icelandic-English Dictionary. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Comrie, Bernard. 1989. Language universals and linguistic typology, 2nd edn. Oxford: Blackwell. Dixon, Robert M. W. 1994. Ergativity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Donohue, Mark. 2008. Semantic alignment systems: What's what and what's not. In Mark Donohue & Søren Wichmann (eds.), Typology of languages with semantic alignment, 24-75. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Eythórsson, Thórhallur. 2000a. Dative vs. nominative: changes in quirky subjects in Icelandic. Leeds Working Papers in Linguistics 8. 27-44.
- Eythórsson, Thórhallur. 2000b. Fall á fallanda fæti [Unstable case marking]. Íslenskt mál og almenn málfræði 22. 185-204.
- Eythórsson, Thórhallur. 2002. Changes in subject case marking in Icelandic. In David Lightfoot (ed.), Syntactic effects of morphological change, 196-212. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Eythórsson, Thórhallur & Jóhanna Barðdal. 2005. Oblique subjects: A common Germanic inheritance. Language 81(4). 824-881.
- Fedriani, Chiara. 2014. Experiential constructions in Latin. Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- Feltenius, Leif. 1977. Intransitivizations in Latin. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Flobert, Pierre. 1975. Les verbes déponents latins: Des origines à Charlemagne. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.
- Folli, Raffaella. 2002. Constructing telicity in English and Italian. Oxford: Oxford University dissertation.
- Fritzner, Johan. 1883-1896. Ordbog over det gamle norske sprog [A Dictionary of the Old Norwegian Languagel. Oslo: Kristiania.
- van Gelderen, Elly. 2011. Valency changes in the history of English. Journal of Historical Linguistics 1(1). 106–143.
- Geniušiene, Emma. 1987. The typology of reflexives. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Gianollo, Chiara. 2014. Labile verbs in Late Latin. Linguistics 52(4). 945-1002.
- Haag, Oskar. 1898. Die Latinität Fredegars. Erlangen: Junge.

- Hale, Ken L. & Samuel J. Keyser. 1997. The limits of argument structure. In Amaya Mendikoetexea & Myriam Uribe-Etxebarria (eds.), Theoretical issues at the morphologysyntax interface (supplement of International Journal of Basque Linquistics and Philology XI), 203-230. Bilbao: University of the Basque Country.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 1987. Transitivity alternations of the anticausative type. Arbeitspapiere (Neue Folge) 5. University of Cologne.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 1993. More on the typology of inchoative/causative verb alternations. In Bernard Comrie & Maria Polinsky (eds.), Causatives and transitivity, 87-120. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2011. On S, A, P, T, and R as comparative concepts for alignment typology. Linguistic Typology 15(3). 535-689.
- Hatcher, Anna G. 1942. Reflexive verbs: Latin, Old French, Modern French. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins Press.
- Hay, Jennifer, Christopher Kennedy & Beth Levin. 1999. Scalar structure underlies telicity in "degree achievements." In Tania Matthews & Devon Strolovitch (eds.), Proceedings of SALT IX, 127-144. Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications.
- Herman, Jószef. 2002. La disparition du passif synthétique latin: Nouvel essai sur l'écrit et le parlé en latin mérovingien. Esstudios Romanicos 24. 31-46.
- Hofmann, Johann-Baptist & Anton Szantyr. 1965. Lateinische Syntax und Stylistik, vol. 1. Munich: Beck.
- Hopper, Paul J. & Sandra A. Thompson. 1980. Transitivity in grammar and discourse. Language 56. 251-300.
- Kainhofer, Judith Maria. 2002. Monadische Akkusativ-Subjekt-Konstruktionen im Isländischen. Salzburg: University of Salzburg MA thesis.
- Kitazume, Sachiko. 1996. Middles in English. Word 47(2). 161-183.
- Koontz-Garboden, Andrew. 2009. Anticausativization. Natural Language & Linguistic Theory 27. 77-138.
- Kulikov, Leonid. 1998. Vedic causative nasal presents and their thematicization. In John Ch. Smith & Delia Bentley (eds.), Historical linguistics 1995, 191-210. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Kulikov, Leonid. 2001. The vedic -ya Presents. Leiden: Leiden University dissertation.
- Kulikov, Leonid. 2003. The labile syntactic type in a diachronic perspective: The case of Vedic. SKY Journal of Linguistics 16. 93-112.
- Kurzová, Helena. 1993. From Indo-European to Latin: The evolution of a morphosyntactic type. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Lazzeroni, Romano. 2004. Inaccusatività indoeuropea e alternanza causativa vedica. Archivio Glottologico Italiano 89. 1-28.
- Lazzeroni, Romano. 2009. Causativi e transitivi indoeuropei: fra comparazione e tipologia. Studi e Saggi Linguistici 57. 7-23.
- Lehmann, Christian. 1988. Predicate classes and participation. In Studies in General Comparative Linguistics (AKUP 71), 33-77. Cologne: University of Cologne Institute of Linguistics
- Lenerz, Jürgen. 1977. Zur Abfolge nominaler Satzglieder im Deutschen. Tübingen: Günter Narr.
- Letuchiy, Alexander. 2009. Towards a typology of labile verbs: Lability vs. derivation. In Patience Epps & Alexandre Arkhipov (eds.), New challenges in typology: Transcending the borders and refining the distinctions, 247-268. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Levin, Beth & Malka Rappaport Hovav 1995. Unaccusativity. Cambridge: MIT Press.

- Levin, Beth & Malka Rappaport Hovav 2005. Argument realization. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Löfstedt, Bengt. 1977. Studien über die Sprache der Langobardischen Gesetze. Uppsala: Almkvist & Wiksell.
- Michaelis, Laura A. 1993. On deviant case-marking in Latin. In Robert D. Van Valin Jr. (ed.), Advances in Role and Reference Grammar, 311-373. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Mithun, Marianne & Wallace Chafe. 1999. What are S, A and O? Studies in Language 23. 569-596. Norberg, Dag. 1943. Syntaktische Forschungen auf dem Gebiete des Spätlateins und des Frühen Mittellateins. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Onishi, Masayuki. 2001. Introduction: Non-canonically marked subjects and objects: parameters and properties. In Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald, Robert M. W. Dixon & Masayuki Onishi (eds.), Non-canonical marking of subjects and objects, 1-52. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Ottósson, Kjartan G. 1992. The Icelandic middle voice: The morphological and phonological development. Lund: Lund University dissertation.
- Ottósson, Kjartan G. 2008. The Old Nordic middle voice in the pre-literary period: Questions of grammaticalisation and cliticisation. In Folke Josephson & Ingmar Söhrman (eds.), Interdependence of diachronic and synchronic analyses, 185-219. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Ottósson, Kjartan G. 2013. The anticausative and related categories in the Old Germanic languages. In Folke Josephson & Ingmar Söhrman (eds.), Diachronic and typological perspectives on verbs, 329-382. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Parsons, Terence. 1990. Events in the semantics of English. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pirson, Jules. 1906. Mulomedicina Chironis: La syntaxe du verbe. In Festschrift zum Allgemeinen Deutschen Neuphilologentage. Erlangen, 390-431.
- Rappaport Hovav, Malka. 2008. Lexicalized meaning and the internal temporal structure of events, in Susan D. Rothstein (ed.), Crosslinguistic and theoretical approaches to the semantics of aspect, 13-42. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Rappaport Hovay, Malka & Beth Levin. 2010. Reflections on manner/result complementarity. In Malka Rappaport Hovav Edit Doron & Ivy Sichel (eds.), Syntax, lexical semantics and event structure, 21-38. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Reichenkron, Günter. 1933. Passivum, Medium und Reflexivum in den romanischen Sprachen (Berliner Beiträge zur romanischen Philologie Band 3, Heft 1). Leipzig & Jena Gronau
- Ringe, Donald. 2006. From Proto-Indo-European to Proto-Germanic: A linguistic history of English 1. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ronconi, Alessandro. 1968. Il verbo latino: Problemi di sintassi storica. Florence: Le Monnier. Sandal, Catrine. 2011. Akkusative subject og antikausativitet i norrønt [Accusative Subjects and Anticausativity in Old Norse-Icelandic]. Bergen: University of Bergen MA thesis.
- Schäfer, Florian, 2008. The syntax of (anti-)causatives: External arguments in change-of-state contexts. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Schwerdt, Judith. 2001. Zur Bedeutung des -nan-Suffixes der gotischen schwachen Verben. Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur 123. 175-210.
- von Seefranz-Montag, Ariane. 1983. Syntaktische Funktionen und Wortstellungsveränderung: die Entwicklung "subjektloser" Konstruktionen in einigen Sprachen. Munich: Wilhelm Fink.

von Seefranz-Montag, Ariane. 1984. 'Subjectless' constructions and syntactic change. In Jacek Fisiak (ed.), Historical syntax, 521-553. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Siewierska, Anna. 1984. The passive: A comparative linquistic analysis. London: Croom Helm. Smith, Henry. 1994. Dative sickness in Germanic. Natural Language & Linguistic Theory 12. 675-736.

Smith, Henry, 1996. Restrictiveness in case theory, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Smitherman, Thomas. 2010. Dative subject predicates in Old Russian. Bergen: University of Bergen ms.

Strunk, Klaus. 1980. Zum Idg. Medium und konkurrierenden Kategorien. In Gunter Brettschneider & Christian Lehmann (eds.), Wege zur Universalienforschung, 321–337. Tübingen: Gunter Narr.

Suzuki, Seiichi. 1989. The morphosyntax of detransitive suffixes -b- and -n- in Gothic: A synchronic and diachronic Study. New York: Peter Lang.

Svennung, Josef. 1935. Untersuchungen zu Palladius und zur lateinischen Fach-und Volkssprache. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell.

Timberlake, Alan. 1977. Reanalysis and actualization in syntactic change. In Charles A. Li (ed.), Mechanisms of syntactic change, 141-177. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.

Van Valin, Robert D. Jr. & David P. Wilkins. 1996. The case for 'effector': Case roles, agents and agency revisited. In Masayoshi Shibatani & Sandra A. Thompson (eds.), Grammatical Constructions, 289-322, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

West, Jonathan. 1980. Die Semantik der vierten Klasse des gotischen schwachen Verbums. Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie 99(1). 403-410.

Wistrand, Eric. 1942. Über das Passivum. Gothenburg: Wettergren.

Appendix A: Abbreviations

first person 2 second person 3 third person ABI ablative case ACC accusative case ACT active AGR agreement ΑN animate DAT dative case feminine FUT future FUTPRF

1

future perfect (tense marker)

GEN genitive GER gerundive HUM human

IMPF imperfect (tense)

IMPER imperative IMPERS impersonal IND indicative INF Infinitive

INTR intransitive M masculine

MPASS (medio) passive marker -r (in middle, anticausative, passive or impersonal function)

NACT non-active voice

N neuter specification of case is not provided for nominative and accusative owing to

their formal iolentity

NOM nominative case

PASS passive

PRF perfect (tense marker)

PL plural

PRS present (tense marker)
PRPTCP present participle
PTPTCP past participle

PLUP pluperfect (tense marker)
PST past (tense marker)

REFL reflexive morpheme (se/sese in Latin, -sk in Old Norse-Icelandic)

SBJV subjunctive SG singular

Appendix B: Primary Sources

Latin Sources

Anthim. 3, 6–8

Lichtenhan, Eduard A. (ed.). 1928. *Anthimi. De Observatione Ciborum ad Theodoricum Regem Francorum Epistula*, Leipzig: Teubner (Corpus Medicorum Latinorum VIII, 1).

Anton. Plac. Itin.

Geyer, Paul (ed.). 1965. *Antonini Placentini Itinerarium*, Turnhout: Brepols (Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina 175. Itineraria et Alia Geographica [= Corp. Christ.].

Cat. Agr. 128; 157

Mazzarino, Antonio (ed.). 1982. M. Porci Catonis de Agri Cultura, Leipzig: Teubner.

Chiron 76, 91, 230, 344, 384, 670, 717, 775

Oder, Eugenius (ed.). 1901 Claudii Mermeri Mulomedicina Chironis. Leipzig: Teubner.

Cic. Att. 2, 4, 2

Shackleton Bailey, David R. (ed.). 1965–1968. *Cicero's Letters to Atticus*. Vols. 1–6. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Cic. *Catil.* 4, 18

Clark, Albert C. (ed.). 1905. M. Tulli Ciceronis Orationes. Vol. 1. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Cic. Div. 1, 34, 74; Rep. 6,29

Müller, Carl Friedrich W. (ed.). 1890. M. Tulli Ciceronis Scripta quae manserunt omnia. Part 4. Vol. 2. Leipzig: Teubner.

Cic. Fin. 1, 3

Schiche, Theodor (ed.). 1915. M. Tulli Ciceronis Scripta Quae Manserunt Omnia. Fasc. 43, Leipzig: Teubner.

Cic. ND 3, 31

Ax, Wilhelm. (ed.). 1933. M. Tulli Ciceronis Scripta Quae Manserunt Omnia. Fasc. 45. Leipzig: Teubner.

Cic. de Orat. 1, 265

Wilkins, Augustus S. (ed.). 1902. M. Tulli Ciceronis Rhetorica. Vol. 1. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Cic. Sen. 7, 21

Simbeck, Karl (ed.). 1917. M. Tulli Ciceronis Scripta Quae Manserunt Omnia. Fasc. 47. Leipzig: Teubner.

Cic. Ver. 2,1,19

Müller, Carl Friedrich W. (ed.). 1903. M. Tullii Ciceronis. Actiones in Traium Gaium Verrem. Leipzig: Teubner.

Enn. Trag. 77

Jocelyn Henry D. (ed.). 1969. The tragedies of Ennius. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gell. NA 18, 12, 6; 18, 12, 8:

Marshall, Peter K. (ed.). 1968. A. Gelli Noctes Atticae. Vols. 1-2. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Lucr. RN 6, 121; RN 4, 674-675

Martin, Joseph (ed.). 1969. De Rerum Natura Libri Sex. Leipzig: Teubner.

Orib. Syn. 7,3; 7,10 Aa

Mørland, Henning (ed.). 1940. Oribasius Latinus. Synopsis, I-II. Oslo: Brøgger:

Ovid. Met. 3,598; 12,54/55

Miller, Frank J. & George P. Goold (eds.). 1977–1984. Ovid: Metamorphoses in Two Volumes. Cambridge, MA & London: Harvard University Press & William Heinemann. Peregr. Aeth. 27,1

Heraeus, Wilhelm (ed.). 1923.³ Silviae vel potius Aetheriae Peregrinatio ad loca Sancta (Itinerarium Aegeriae). Heidelberg: Winters.

Plaut. Amph. 273; Curc. 69; Bacch.304

Leo, Friedrich (ed.). 1895. Plauti Comoediae. Vol. 1. Berlin: Weidmann.

Plaut. Mil. 583: Persa 300: Pseud. 890

Leo, Friedrich (ed.). 1896. Plauti Comoediae. Vol. 2. Berlin: Weidmann.

Plin. Nat. 23, 50

Mayhoff, Karl F. Th. (ed.). 1892–1909. C. Plini Secundi Naturalis Historiae libri XXXVII. Vols. 1-5. Leipzig: Teubner.

Sall. Iug. 104, 2

Kurfess, Alfons (ed.). 1951–1957. C. Sallusti Crispi Catilina. Iugurtha. Fragmenta Ampliora. Leipzig: Teubner.

Sen. Phoen, 530

Zwierlein, Otto 1987 (ed.). L. Annaei Senecae Tragoediae, Incertorum Auctorum Hercules [Oetaeus], Octavia, Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Soran, 99, 13

Rose, Valentino (ed.). 1882. Sorani Gynaeciorum. Leipzig: Teubner.

Varr. LL 9, 26

Goetz, Georg & Friedrich Schoell (eds.). 1910. M. Terenti Varronis de Linguae Latinae auae supersunt, Leipzig: Teubner,

Verg. A 4, 449

Mynors, Roger Aubrev B. (ed.). 1972. P. Vergili Maronis Opera. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Old Norse-Icelandic Sources

Barlaams ok Josaphats saga

Rindal, Magnus (ed.). 1981. Barlaams ok Iosaphats saga. Norrøne tekster 4. Oslo: Norsk historisk kjeldeskrift-institutt.

Biskupasögur

Kristjánsson, Jónas (ed.). 1998–2003. Biskupasögur. Íslenzk fornrit XV-XVII. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Brennu-Njáls saga

Sveinsson, Einar Ól. (ed.). 1954. Brennu-Njáls saga. Íslenzk fornrit XII. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Egils saga

Nordal, Sigurður (ed.). 1933. Egils saga. Íslenzk fornrit II. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Eiríks saga rauða

Halldórsson, Ólafur (ed.). 1985. Eiríks saga rauða. Íslenzk fornrit IV. Revkjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Flóamanna saga

Vilmundarson, Thórhallur & Bjarni Vilhjálmsson (eds.). 1991. Flóamanna saga.

Íslenzk fornrit XIII. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Fornmannasögur (cited from Cleasby and Vigfússon 1874)

Cleasby, Richard & Guðbrandur Vigfússon. 1957. An Icelandic-English Dictionary, 2nd ed., p. 751. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Grettis saga Ásmundarsonar

Jónsson, Guðni (ed.). 1956. Grettis saga Ásmundarsonar. Íslenzk fornrit VII. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Grænlendinga þáttr

Jónsson, Guðni (ed.). 1938. Eirbyggja saga, Brands þáttr örva, Eiríks saga rauða, Grænlendinga saga, Grænlendinga þáttr. Íslenzk fornrit IV. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Saga af Ólafi hinom helga

Schöning, Gerhard (ed.). 1777–1826. Heimskringla edr Noregs konunga sögor. Copenhagen: Stein.

Saga Hákonar góða

Linder, Nils & H. A. Haggson (ed.). 1869–1872. Heimskringla Snorra Sturlusonar. Uppsala: W. Schultz.

Ívars þáttur Ingimundarsonar

Halldórsson, Bragi, Jón Torfason, Sverrir Tómasson & Örnólfur Thorsson. 1987. Íslendingasögur og þættir III. Revkjavík: Svart á Hvítu.

Iómsvíkinga saga

Halldórsson, Ólafur (ed.). 1969. Jómsvíkinga saga. Reykjavík: Prentsmiðja Jóns Helgasonar.

Karlamagnús saga ok kappa hans

Unger, Carl Richard (ed.). 1860. *Karlamagnús saga ok kappa hans*. Christiania: H. J. Jensen.

Skáldskaparmál

Jónsson, Guðni (ed.). 1959. Edda Snorra Sturlusonar. Reykjavík: Íslendingasagnaútgáfan

Skírnismál

Neckel, Gustav (ed.). 1983. Edda: Die Lieder des Codex Regius nebst verwandten Denkmälern I: Text. (Rev. Hans Kuhn, 5th edn.). Heidelberg: Winter.

Sturlunga saga

Jóhannesson, Jón, Magnús Finnbogason & Kristján Eldjárn (eds.). 1946. Sturlunga saga, 2 vols. Reykjavík: Sturlunguútgáfan.

Ynglingatal

Jónsson, Finnur (ed.). 1912–1915. Ynglingatal. Den norsk-islandske skjaldedigtning. B, Rettet Tekst, 1–2. København: Gyldendalske Boghandel (reprint: Rosenkilde og Bagger, 1967–1973).

Þorgríms þáttur Hallasonar

Kristjánsson, Jónas (ed.). 1956. *Þorgríms þáttur Hallasonar*. Íslenzk fornrit IX. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Þorleifs þáttur jarlaskálds

Kristjánsson, Jónas (ed.). 1956. *Porleifs þáttur jarlaskálds*. Íslenzk fornrit IX. Reykjavík: Hið íslenska fornritafélag.

Örvar Odds saga

Richard Constant Boer (ed.). 1888. Örvar Odds saga. Leiden: E. J. Brill.