■ CLINICAL SCIENCE ■

IMAGING

# Intrasession Reproducibility of RNFL Thickness Measurements Using SD-OCT in Eyes With Keratoconus

*Michele Reibaldi, MD, PhD; Maurizio G. Uva, MD; Teresio Avitabile, MD; Mario D. Toro, MD; Marco Zagari, MD; Cesare Mariotti, MD; Gilda Cennamo, MD; Alfredo Reibaldi, MD; Antonio Longo, MD, PhD*

■ **BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE:** To evaluate the intrasession reproducibility of the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness measurements obtained by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) in eyes with keratoconus and normal eyes.

■ **PATIENTS AND METHODS:** Peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements with SD-OCT were repeated three times during the same visit using the eye tracker and retest function in one eye of each participant. Reproducibility was evaluated using within-subject standard deviation (Sw), coefficient of variation (CV), and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).

■ **RESULTS:** For the overall global RNFL thickness, the values of the three parameters were Sw (± 1.96 standard error) 1.43 ± 0.24, CV 1.28%, ICC (95% confidence interval) 0.969 (range: 0.947–0.983) in control eyes and Sw (± 1.96 standard error) from 1.41 ± 0.26 to 1.57 ± 0.34, CV from 1.18% to 1.37%, and ICC (95% confidence interval) from 0.951 (range: 0.909–0.976) to 0.977 (range: 0.938–0.993) in eyes with keratoconus.

■ **CONCLUSION:** Measurement of peripapillary RNFL thickness by SD-OCT shows a good intrasession reproducibility in eyes with keratoconus.

[**Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging 2012;43:S83-S89.**]

## INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is a chronic degenerative optic neuropathy in which retinal ganglion cells die, leading to gradual vision loss and ultimately blindness.[1] Elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) is a major risk factor for the onset of glaucoma.[2,3] Other risk factors include age, family history, and race.[4] Due to the limited under-

standing of the molecular mechanisms of the disease, so far IOP has been the only clinically modifiable causative factor, and all current medical and surgical treatments of glaucoma are aimed at reducing IOP.[1]

Keratoconus is an ectatic corneal disorder characterized by progressive corneal thinning that results in corneal protrusion, irregular astigmatism, and decreased vision.[5] Glaucoma or ocular hypertension may coexist in patients with structurally abnormal corneas such as keratoconus.[6] Monitoring of glaucoma in such patients may be difficult because the assessment of IOP is affected by corneal thickness, which is irregularly reduced in keratoconus, and ocular surface disease.[7] Visual field examination can also be affected by refractive changes.

Measurement of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness is important for the early diagnosis and determination of glaucoma progression.[8] Thinning of the RNFL correlates highly with, or even precedes, visual field loss.[9-12] Therefore, establishing reliable methods of RNFL measurement could be one key step in early diagnosis and treatment of glaucoma.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a noninvasive, cross-sectional imaging technique that allows measurement of RNFL thickness.[13] OCT has been shown to be a highly reproducible imaging modality[14,15] that correlates with ex vivo histologic measurements of the retina.[16,17] To date, patients with astigmatism of more than 5 diopters have been excluded from OCT studies because of the possible effect of corneal alteration on RNFL thickness measurement.[18,19]

Time-domain OCT is a third-generation modality that has a resolution of 8 to 10 µm and is capable of differentiating between healthy and glaucomatous eyes.[20,21] Recent spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT) technology provides better scan resolution and allows for a greater number of scans acquired at a faster rate than time-domain OCT technology.[22,23] Also, an online eye-tracking device (eye tracker) that compensates for involuntary eye movements during the scanning process and a retest function that ensures follow-up measurements are taken from the same area as the baseline examination[19,24] have been introduced in Spectralis SD-OCT (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany). By such devices, improved reproducibility has been reported in healthy subjects.[19,24,25]

The purpose of this study was to determine the reproducibility of peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements obtained with Spectralis OCT using both the eye tracker and retest function in normal eyes and eyes with keratoconus on the same day (intrasession) by a single operator.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

### Participants

This was an observational, prospective study. It was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

All study participants with keratoconus were recruited consecutively from the outpatient service of the Department of Ophthalmology of the University of Catania between April 2010 and July 2011. The healthy control participants were recruited in the same period from hospital staff with no evidence of disease of any nature, including neurologic disorders.

All participants underwent a full ophthalmic examination including measurement of manifest refraction (sphere and cylinder), determination of Snellen best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and intraocular pressure (IOP) by Goldmann applanation tonometry, biomicroscopy of the anterior and posterior segments, optic disc and fundus evaluation, achromatic automated perimetry using the Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm Standard, 24-2 program with Humphrey visual field analyzer (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA), corneal topographic analysis by the Orbscan IIz (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, NY), and peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements using the Spectralis OCT (Spectralis software version 4.0).

Participants wearing contact lenses for the correction of the refractive error were instructed to discontinue their use before the examination, for at least 2 weeks for soft contact lenses and at least 4 weeks for rigid gas-permeable contact lenses.

The diagnosis of keratoconus was based on corneal topography and slit-lamp observation. In all cases, clinical findings characteristic of keratoconus were evident: corneal topography revealing an asymmetric bowtie pattern, with or without skewed axes, and at least one keratoconus sign on slit-lamp examination, such as stromal thinning, conical protrusion of the cornea at the apex, Fleischer ring, Vogt striae, or anterior stromal scar.[5] The Amsler–Krumeich classification system[26,27] was used to grade keratoconus. For inclusion

in the study, eyes with keratoconus had to have a stage from 1 to 3.

The inclusion criteria for both healthy participants and those with keratoconus were: Snellen BCVA of 0.5 or better, a refractive error lower than ± 5.00 diopters spherical, and clear ocular media (nuclear opalescence, nuclear color, and cortical changes up to grade 3 on the Lens Opacities Classification System III).[28] Participants with other ocular pathologies affecting the cornea, previous uveitis, ocular surgery or trauma, retinal or macular pathology, tilted discs, peripapillary atrophy, and any neurological disease were excluded from the study. If both eyes of one participant fitted inclusion and exclusion criteria, one eye was selected randomly by using a random number generator statistical table.

### Image and Data Acquisition

All peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements were performed by the same experienced operator (MR) with the Spectralis OCT using a circular scan pattern (Spectralis software version 4.0). The scan circle was 12° in diameter, which equates to a retinal diameter of 3.5 mm when assuming a standard corneal curvature of 7.7. All measurements were performed in mydriasis.

Within one session, three measurements were taken with the eye tracker and retest function engaged. With the Automatic Real-Time function activated, multiple frames (16) of the same scanning location are performed during the scanning process and images are averaged for speckle noise reduction. As described by Wu et al.,[24] in each participant, a circular peripapillary scan was acquired first and then defined as a reference scan (first scan). Then the camera was restarted, the follow-up button in the acquisition window was pressed, an earlier reference image was selected, and another circular peripapillary scan (second scan) at the same location as the reference image was obtained. This follow-up function was then repeated for the third image (third scan). By this method, three circular scans of the peripapillary RNFL were obtained at exactly the same location.

Between each measurement, the participant was instructed to lean back before being repositioned on the headrest and the correction for spherical error was readjusted. No manual correction was applied to the OCT output. An internal fixation target was used because it has been shown to give the highest reproducibility.[29]

For this study, scans with a quality of less than 15 (as suggested by the manufacturer) were excluded and were repeated until good quality was achieved. If the quality of the scans was less after three attempts, the participant was excluded from the analysis. Likewise, scans with blinks during the scanning process were excluded and repeated.

### Statistical Analysis

Demographic and ocular characteristics of the healthy participants and those with keratoconus were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and, in case of significance, the Tukey–Kramer test.

The reproducibility of RNFL thickness measurements was assessed by calculating, for each of the overall global RNFL parameters, four quadrants (superior, temporal, inferior, and nasal), four sectors (temporal superior, temporal inferior, nasal superior, and nasal inferior), and three parameters (the within-subject standard deviation [Sw], the coefficient of variation [CV], and the intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC]). The Sw is the common standard deviation of the repeated measurements and was calculated as the square root of the average of the variances of the measurements of each participant.[30] The CV is a ratio of the standard deviation over the mean and was calculated as the square root of the residual mean squared values of three measures, divided by the mean. ICC was determined by an SPSS Reliability Analysis (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) that uses a one-way random model.[31]

Spearman rank correlation coefficient analyses were used to assess the correlation of the standard deviation of the three repeated measures for each participant with the specific RNFL thickness measurement. Statistical analyses were done using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). A $P$ value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Reliable measurements were obtained from 111 participants: 36 control eyes, 26 eyes with grade 1 keratoconus, 38 eyes with grade 2 keratoconus, and 11 eyes with grade 3 keratoconus.

Table 1 shows the demographics and characteristics of the study sample. Among groups, no difference was seen in mean age; astigmatism was greater in eyes with keratoconus ($P < .001$, ANOVA; $P < .01$, Tukey–Kramer among all groups).

Table 2 shows the peripapillary mean RNFL thick-

**TABLE 1**
**Demographics and Characteristics of the Eyes With Keratoconus (Stage I, II, and III) and Control Eyes**

| Characteristic | Control Eyes (n = 36) | Eyes With Keratoconus | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | Stage I (n = 26) | Stage II (n = 38) | Stage III (n = 11) |
| Age (y), mean ± SD | 29 ± 4 | 27 ± 8 | 28 ± 6 | 30 ± 7 |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 16 | 16 | 27 | 7 |
| Female | 20 | 6 | 11 | 4 |
| Astigmatism (diopters), mean ± SD | 0.3 ± 0.5 | 2.6 ± 1.2 | 3.7 ± 1.7 | 7.3 ± 1.9 |

*SD = standard deviation.*

**TABLE 2**
**Peripapillary RNFL Thickness (Microns, Mean ± SD) Detected by SD-OCT in Eyes With Keratoconus (Stage I, II, and III) and Control Eyes**

| Peripapillary Sectors | Control Eyes (n = 36) | Eyes With Keratoconus | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | Stage I (n = 26) | Stage II (n = 38) | Stage III (n = 11) |
| Overall global | 98 ± 8 | 96 ± 7 | 99 ± 8 | 96 ± 9 |
| Nasal superior | 98 ± 17 | 100 ± 20 | 101 ± 21 | 103 ± 22 |
| Nasal | 78 ± 12 | 72 ± 10 | 79 ± 13 | 79 ± 12 |
| Nasal inferior | 110 ± 16 | 106 ± 21 | 109 ± 16 | 99 ± 21 |
| Temporal inferior | 147 ± 17 | 147 ± 16 | 153 ± 17 | 144 ± 18 |
| Temporal | 69 ± 8 | 69 ± 11 | 70 ± 10 | 71 ± 12 |
| Temporal superior | 134 ± 14 | 127 ± 18 | 134 ± 17 | 126 ± 19 |

*RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer; SD = standard deviation; SD-OCT = spectral-domain optical coherence tomography.*

ness values of the control and keratoconus groups for overall global and sector RNFL thickness. No significant difference in mean RNFL thickness values at all locations was identified in eyes with keratoconus compared with control eyes. Table 3 shows the reproducibility of Spectralis OCT peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements for all study participants.

Within-subjects standard deviation (Sw ± 1.96 standard error) of the overall global measure ranged from 1.41 ± 0.26 (stage II) to 1.57 ± 0.34 (stage I); for measurements in sectors, it ranged from 1.31 ± 0.30 (temporal, stage I) to 4.68 ± 1.95 (nasal superior, stage III) (Table 3). CV for overall global value ranged from 1.18% (stage II) to 1.37% (stage III); for measurements in sectors, it ranged from 1.56 (temporal, stage I) to 4.00 (nasal inferior, stage III) (Table 3). ICC for overall global value ranged from 0.951 (stage I) to 0.977 (stage III); for measurements in sectors, it ranged

from 1.31 ± 0.30 (temporal, stage I) to 4.68 ± 1.95 (nasal superior, stage III) (Table 3).

No significant correlation was found between mean RNFL thickness and within-subject variability in any location for all groups (no *P* values < .05, Spearman rank correlation) (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

Results of our study show that measurement of RNFL thickness by Spectralis OCT in eyes with keratoconus is highly reproducible (Sw ranging from 1.41 ± 0.26 to 1.57 ± 0.34) and repeatable (ICCs ranging from 0.957 to 0.977), with values of the statistical parameters investigated similar to those of control eyes.

The values detected in control eyes (Sw of 1.46 microns, mean CV of 1.4, ICC of 0.994) are consistent with those reported in previous studies with Spectralis

### TABLE 3
**Reproducibility of Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Measurements for Eyes With Keratoconus and Control Eyes for Each Peripapillary Sector**

| Peripapillary Sector | Control Eyes (n = 36) | Eyes With Keratoconus | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | Stage I (n = 26) | Stage II (n = 38) | Stage III (n = 11) |
| Within-subjects standard deviation | | | | |
| Overall global | 1.43 ± 0.24 | 1.57 ± 0.34 | 1.41 ± 0.26 | 1.45 ± 0.53 |
| Nasal superior | 2.63 ± 0.49 | 3.72 ± 0.87 | 3.77 ± 0.84 | 4.68 ± 1.95 |
| Nasal | 1.92 ± 0.38 | 2.40 ± 0.62 | 1.75 ± 0.35 | 3.48 ± 1.49 |
| Nasal inferior | 4.19 ± 0.74 | 4.27 ± 0.96 | 4.34 ± 0.89 | 4.56 ± 1.57 |
| Temporal inferior | 3.31 ± 0.58 | 3.37 ± 0.78 | 4.41 ± 1.02 | 4.60 ± 1.76 |
| Temporal | 1.52 ± 0.26 | 1.31 ± 0.30 | 2 ± 0.44 | 1.69 ± 0.69 |
| Temporal superior | 2.58 ± 0.46 | 3.27 ± 0.89 | 4.28 ± 0.99 | 3.60 ± 1.46 |
| Coefficient of variation | | | | |
| Overall global | 1.28 | 1.37 | 1.18 | 1.25 |
| Nasal superior | 2.21 | 3.21 | 2.81 | 3.24 |
| Nasal | 1.99 | 2.53 | 1.81 | 3.36 |
| Nasal inferior | 3.28 | 3.38 | 3.04 | 4.00 |
| Temporal inferior | 1.96 | 1.91 | 1.95 | 2.62 |
| Temporal | 1.92 | 1.56 | 2.04 | 1.77 |
| Temporal superior | 1.62 | 1.96 | 2.23 | 2.05 |
| Intraclass correlation coefficient | | | | |
| Overall global | 0.969 (0.947–0.983) | 0.951 (0.909–0.976) | 0.972 (0.953–0.984) | 0.977 (0.938–0.993) |
| Nasal superior | 0.977 (0.961–0.987) | 0.968 (0.940–0.984) | 0.969 (0.948–0.983) | 0.956 (0.886–0.987) |
| Nasal | 0.976 (0.958–0.987) | 0.942 (0.893–0.972) | 0.981 (0.968–0.989) | 0.924 (0.812–0.977) |
| Nasal inferior | 0.934 (0.889–0.963) | 0.959 (0.924–0.980) | 0.932 (0.887–0.961) | 0.954 (0.883–0.986) |
| Temporal inferior | 0.961 (0.934–0.978) | 0.954 (0.915–0.978) | 0.931 (0.886–0.961) | 0.941 (0.852–0.982) |
| Temporal | 0.964 (0.939–0.980) | 0.987 (0.976–0.994) | 0.958 (0.929–0.976) | 0.981 (0.950–0.994) |
| Temporal superior | 0.966 (0.942–0.994) | 0.968 (0.940–0.984) | 0.941 (0.902–0.967) | 0.996 (0.911–0.990) |

OCT with the use of eye tracker and retest function. For global overall value, Wu et al.[24] found an Sw of 1.34 ± 0.20 microns, mean CV of 1.40, and ICC of 0.990, Langenegger et al.[19] reported a CV of 1% and an ICC of 0.99, and Garcia Martin et al.[25] found a CV of 1.31% with an ICC of 0.987.

Several factors are known to affect the reproducibility of RNFL thickness measurements: pupil dilation,[15] variations of signal strength,[32,33] sampling density,[34] media opacity, and the quadrants measured.[35] In this study, we selected all eyes with good visual acuity, patients who were able to fixate, and eyes in which the quality of the scan was at least 15. Our results show that measurement of RNFL thickness is reproducible in all stages of keratoconus, suggesting that the deformation of the cornea, when not affecting the quality of the image or the fixation, does not alter the reproducibility of measurement. However, the effect on RNFL detected values must be established. Recent studies have found that astigmatism has an effect on RNFL measurement. In particular, with-the-rule astigmatism decreased average, superior, and 12 to 6 sector thickness, whereas against-the-rule astigmatism reduced thickness in the nasal and temporal quadrants.[36]

In keratoconus, the irregular astigmatism could alter the RNFL thickness in some sectors. Similar to other studies, we found higher variability in sector measurement. In general, the narrower the peripapillary area measured, the higher the variability; as the area measured gets larger, more individual measure-

### TABLE 4
### Values of Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients Between the Mean and the Standard Deviations of the Three Repeated Measurements RNFL Thickness Values

| | Control Eyes (n = 36) | | Eyes With Keratoconus | | | | | |
| | | | Stage I (n = 26) | | Stage II (n = 38) | | Stage III (n = 11) | |
| Peripapillary Sector | r | P | r | P | r | P | r | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Overall global | -0.113 | .511 | 0.163 | .427 | 0.036 | .830 | -0.350 | .292 |
| Nasal superior | 0.230 | .178 | -0.159 | .438 | -0.021 | .902 | 0.388 | .238 |
| Nasal | 0.129 | .454 | 0.150 | .465 | 0.098 | .557 | -0.009 | .979 |
| Nasal inferior | 0.011 | .949 | 0.133 | .516 | 0.224 | .177 | -0.055 | .873 |
| Temporal inferior | -0.190 | .268 | -0.121 | .555 | 0.272 | .099 | -0.324 | .331 |
| Temporal | 0.016 | .924 | 0.206 | .313 | 0.243 | .142 | 0.392 | .233 |
| Temporal superior | 0.292 | .084 | -0.221 | .279 | 0.003 | .986 | 0.497 | .120 |

RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer.

ments are added into the mean for that area and this type of signal averaging results in more reliable measurements.[35]

In our study, for measurement in sectors, Sw ranged from 1.31 ± 0.30 (temporal, stage I) to 4.68 ± 1.95 (nasal superior, stage III). These values are consistent with the data of Wu et al., which ranged from 1.83 ± 0.27 (temporal quadrant and nasal inferior sector) to 2.39 ± 0.35 (in temporal superior and temporal inferior sectors).[32]

CV values in our study (from 1.56 [temporal, stage I] to 4.00 [nasal inferior, stage III]) are consistent with those reported by Garcia Martin et al.[25] (from 2.67 in inferotemporal area, to 4.04 in nasal area), Langenegger et al.[19] (from 1.4 in temporal superior and temporal inferior sectors to 3 in papillomacular bundle), and Wu et al.[32] (from 1.66 in inferior quadrant to 2.59 in temporal quadrant).

We report ICC values ranging from 0.924 (nasal sector of stage II) to 0.996 (temporal superior sector, stage III), which are slightly greater than those reported by Garcia-Martin et al.[25] (from 0.888 in inferonasal sector to 0.984 in superotemporal sector), Langenegger et al.[19] (from 0.93 in papillomacular bundle to 0.99 in temporal, nasal, and nasal superior sectors), and Wu et al.[32] (from 0.977 in temporal quadrant to 0.990 in nasal inferior sector). However, all values indicate high reproducibility.

This study has several limitations, including the small number of participants, all measurements were performed in the same visit, and patients were asked to stop contact lens use for 2 to 4 weeks before their visit. It is possible that repeated measurements performed at different visits could be affected by a more recent use of contact lenses.

In glaucomatous eyes with progression of visual field alterations, a reduction of 4.3 microns in average RNFL thickness has been found by Stratus OCT. Wu et al. reported that because the Sw of Spectralis OCT ranges from 1.14 to 2.39 mm, this instrument has the sensitivity for detecting glaucomatous changes in longitudinal studies.[32] In eyes with keratoconus in our study, Sw for overall global thickness ranged from 1.41 ± 0.26 (stage II) to 1.57 ± 0.34 (stage I). It is unknown whether changes with corneal morphology could affect RNFL thickness measurement; longitudinal studies in eyes with progressive keratoconus are required to evaluate this aspect.

Measurement of RNFL thickness in eyes with stage I, II, and III keratoconus is highly reproducible. Further studies are required to evaluate the effect of the irregular astigmatism on RNFL value detected and the effect or keratoconus progression on measurements.

### REFERENCES

1. Kwon YH, Fingert JH, Kuehn MH, et al. Primary open-angle glaucoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:1113-1124.
2. Sommer A. Intraocular pressure and glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1989;107:186-188.
3. Ekstrom C. Risk factors for incident open-angle glaucoma: a population-based 20-year follow-up study. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 2012;90:316-321.
4. Boland M, Quigley H. Risk factors and open-angle glaucoma: classification and application. *J Glaucoma.* 2007;16:406-418.
5. Rabinowitz YS. Keratoconus. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 1998;42:297-319.

6. Ayyala RS. Penetrating keratoplasty and glaucoma. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 2000;45:91-105.

7. Doughty MJ, Zaman ML. Human corneal thickness and its impact on intraocular pressure measures: a review and meta-analysis approach. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 2000;44:367-408.

8. Bowd C, Zangwill LM, Berry CC, et al. Detecting early glaucoma by assessment of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and visual function. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2001;42:1993-2003.

9. Ajtony C, Balla Z, Somoskeoy S, et al. Relationship between visual field sensitivity and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness as measured by optical coherence tomography. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2007;48:258-263.

10. Horn FK, Mardin CY, Laemmer R, et al. Correlation between local glaucomatous visual field defects and loss of nerve fiber layer thickness measured with polarimetry and spectral domain OCT. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50:1971-1977.

11. Schuman J, Hee M, Arya A, et al. Optical coherence tomography: a new tool for glaucoma diagnosis. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* 1995;6:89-95.

12. Kerrigan-Baumrind LA, Quigley HA, Pease ME, et al. Number of ganglion cells in glaucoma eyes compared with threshold visual field tests in the same persons. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2000;41:741-748.

13. Huang D, Swanson EA, Lin CP, et al. Optical coherence tomography. *Science.* 1991;254:1178-1181.

14. Budenz DL, Chang RT, Huang X, et al. Reproducibility of retinal nerve fiber thickness measurements using the Stratus OCT in normal and glaucomatous eyes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2005;46:2440-2443.

15. Paunescu LA, Schuman JS, Price LL, et al. Reproducibility of nerve fiber thickness, macular thickness, and optic nerve head measurements using Stratus OCT. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2004;45:1716-1724.

16. Schuman JS, Pedut-Kloizman T, Pakter H, et al. Optical coherence tomography and histologic measurements of nerve fiber layer thickness in normal and glaucomatous monkey eyes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2007;48:3645-3654.

17. Blumenthal EZ, Parikh RS, Pe'er J, et al. Retinal nerve fibre layer imaging compared with histological measurements in a human eye. *Eye (Lond).* 2009;23:171-175.

18. Mwanza JC, Chang RT, Budenz DL, et al. Reproducibility of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and optic nerve head parameters measured with cirrus HD-OCT in glaucomatous eyes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010;51:5724-5730.

19. Langenegger SJ, Funk J, Töteberg-Harms M. Reproducibility of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements using the eye tracker and the retest function of Spectralis SD-OCT in glaucomatous and healthy control eyes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52:3338-3344.

20. Bowd C, Weinreb RN, Williams JM, et al. The retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in ocular hypertensive, normal, and glaucomatous eyes with optical coherence tomography. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2000;118:22-26.

21. Williams ZY, Schuman JS, Gamell L, et al. Optical coherence tomography measurement of nerve fiber layer thickness and the likelihood of a visual field defect. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2002;134:538-546.

22. Chen TC, Cense B, Pierce MC, et al. Spectral domain optical coherence tomography: ultra-high speed, ultra-high resolution ophthalmic imaging. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2005;123:1715-1720.

23. De Boer JF, Cense B, Park BH, et al. Improved signal-to-noise ratio in spectral-domain compared with time-domain optical coherence tomography. *Opt Lett.* 2003;28:2067-2069.

24. Wu H, De Boer JF, Chen TC. Reproducibility of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements using spectral domain optical coherence tomography. *J Glaucoma.* 2011;20:470-476.

25. Garcia-Martin E, Pueyo V, Pinilla I, et al. Fourier-domain OCT in multiple sclerosis patients: reproducibility and ability to detect retinal nerve fiber layer atrophy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52:4124-4131.

26. Krumeich JH, Daniel J, Knulle A. Live-epikeratophakia for keratoconus. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 1998;24:456-463.

27. Alio JL, Shabayek MH. Corneal higher order aberrations: a method to grade keratoconus. *J Refract Surg.* 2006;22:539-545.

28. Chylack LT Jr, Wolfe JK, Singer DM, et al. The Lens Opacities Classification System III: the Longitudinal Study of Cataract Study Group. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1993;111:831-836.

29. Schuman JS, Pedut-Kloizman T, Hertzmark E, et al. Reproducibility of nerve fiber layer thickness measurements using optical coherence tomography. *Ophthalmology.* 1996;103:1889-1898.

30. Bland JM, Altman DG. Measurement error. *BMJ.* 1996;312:1654.

31. McGraw KO, Wong SP. Forming inferences about some intraclass correlation coefficients. *Psychological Methods.* 1996;1:30-46.

32. Wu Z, Vazeen M, Varma R, et al. Factors associated with variability in retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurements obtained by optical coherence tomography. *Ophthalmology.* 2007;114:1505-1512.

33. Kim JH, Kim NR, Kim H, et al. Effect of signal strength on reproducibility of circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measurement and its classification by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. *Jpn J Ophthalmol.* 2011;55:220-227.

34. Gurses-Ozden R, Ishikawa H, Hoh ST, et al. Increasing sampling density improves reproducibility of optical coherence tomography measurements. *J Glaucoma.* 1999;8:238-241.

35. Budenz DL, Fredette MJ, Feuer WJ, et al. Reproducibility of peripapillary retinal nerve fiber thickness measurements with Stratus OCT in glaucomatous eyes. *Ophthalmology.* 2008;115:661-666.

36. Hwang YH, Lee SM, Kim YY, et al. Astigmatism and optical coherence tomography measurements. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2012;250:247-254.