

Review

Current Advances in Radioactive Iodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer

Fabio Volpe, Carmela Nappi *, Emilia Zampella, Erica Di Donna, Simone Maurea, Alberto Cuocolo and Michele Klain

Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, University of Naples “Federico II”, 80138 Naples, Italy; fabio.volpe@unina.it (F.V.); emilia.zampella@gmail.com (E.Z.); ericadidonna5@gmail.com (E.D.D.); maurea@unina.it (S.M.); cuocolo@unina.it (A.C.); michele.klain@unina.it (M.K.)

* Correspondence: c.nappi@unina.it

Abstract: Background: Differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) patients have an outstanding overall long-term survival rate, and certain subsets of DTC patients have a very high likelihood of disease recurrence. Radioactive iodine (RAI) therapy is a cornerstone in DTC management, but cancer cells can eventually develop resistance to RAI. Radioactive iodine-refractory DTC (RAIR-DTC) is a condition defined by ATA 2015 guidelines when DTC cannot concentrate RAI ab initio or loses RAI uptake ability after the initial therapy. The RAIR condition implies that RAI cannot reveal new metastatic foci, so RAIR-DTC metabolic imaging needs new tracers. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT has been widely used and has demonstrated prognostic value, but ¹⁸F-FDG DTC avidity may remain low. Fibroblast activation protein inhibitors (FA-Pi)s, prostatic-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), and somatostatin receptor (SSTR) tracers have been proposed as theragnostic agents in experimental settings and Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) peptides in the diagnostic trial field. Multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors are relatively new drugs approved in RAIR-DTC therapy. Despite the promising targeted setting, they relate to frequent adverse-event onset. Sorafenib and trametinib have been included in re-differentiation protocols aimed at re-inducing RAI accumulation in DTC cells. Results appear promising, but not excellent. Conclusions: RAIR-DTC remains a challenging nosological entity. There are still controversies on RAIR-DTC definition and post-RAI therapy evaluation, with post-therapy whole-body scan (PT-WBS) the only validated criterion of response. The recent introduction of multiple diagnostic and therapeutic agents obliges physicians to pursue a multidisciplinary approach aiming to correct drug introduction and timing choice.

Citation: Volpe, F.; Nappi, C.; Zampella, E.; Di Donna, E.; Maurea, S.; Cuocolo, A.; Klain, M. Current Advances in Radioactive Iodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Curr. Oncol.* **2024**, *31*, 3870–3884. <https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol31070286>

Received: 31 May 2024

Revised: 28 June 2024

Accepted: 1 July 2024

Published: 3 July 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: radioactive iodine; therapy; theragnostics; differentiated thyroid cancer; refractory DTC

1. Introduction

While differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) patients have an outstanding overall long-term survival rate, certain subsets of DTC patients have a very high likelihood of disease recurrence [1–3]. To evaluate the likelihood of recurrent or chronic illness in DTC patients, the American Thyroid Association (ATA) initial risk classification system has been proposed. Three risk categories (low, middle, and high) are assigned to patients. Furthermore, the ATA has suggested a dynamic risk categorization approach that considers imaging, biochemical, and clinical data gathered during follow-up. Radioiodine (RAI) diagnostic whole-body scanning (WBS) has been utilized in the past for DTC disease status assessment, but it has been replaced by a combination of neck ultrasonography (US) and serum thyroglobulin (Tg) measurement [1].

The current data demonstrate that patients with undetectable serum Tg levels have a high chance of achieving complete remission, and that a diagnostic workup may not be necessary in these cases [4,5]. Moreover, serum Tg, after some months of detectable levels, can tend to zero with no further actions.

An adequate uptake of RAI in the target tissue, defined as RAI avidity (RAI-A), is mandatory to obtain a successful RAI therapy. Low-risk disease and a post-surgical thyroid remnant are usually highly iodine-avid targets as they usually retain sodium–iodine symporter (NIS) expression.

Recently, the clinical application of RAI therapy has experienced a gradual decrease [6,7]. In particular, low-risk DTC should not be treated with post-surgery RAI ablation according to ATA guidelines [1]. Nevertheless, intermediate- and high-risk DTC may take advantage of RAI therapy administered for ablation purposes, or in cases of advanced disease, for metastasis therapy or palliation purposes [1].

The primary tumor size and the eventual lymph node metastases determine the administered radioiodine activity, but RAI-A is not guaranteed, especially in high-risk DTC or in the presence of known metastases [8–10].

Several factors have been associated with lower RAI-A of metastatic tissue, such as patient age, large tumor, histological type and high [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose uptake [11,12]. Furthermore, tumors exhibiting BRAF V600E or TERT promoter mutations are less likely to spawn iodine-avid metastases and are associated with poorer patient outcomes. The co-occurrence of these two mutational events in papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) has been found to be especially indicative of aggressive tumor features [8,13,14].

2. Defining Radioactive Iodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer

Despite the possibility of iodine uptake being altered ab initio in DTC [15], RAI therapy is still a cornerstone for the success of medium- and high-risk DTC treatment [1,2,16]. Iodine uptake may decrease with disease progression until further RAI administration becomes ineffective from a clinical point of view. In this condition, DTC can be considered refractory to RAI. RAIR-DTC is a relatively uncommon condition (four to five cases/million/year). RAIR-DTC is associated with a bad prognosis, and less than 10% of patients survive at 10 years (mean 3–5 years) [17].

Radioactive iodine-refractory DTC (RAIR-DTC) is defined by the ATA 2015 guidelines as a condition where DTC cannot concentrate radioactive iodine (RAI) at the time of initial treatment or loses its ability to concentrate RAI after initial therapy. RAIR-DTC also includes cases where only the local lesion concentrates RAI or there is disease progression and metastatic spread after high-dose treatment despite the ability to concentrate RAI [1].

While the refractory condition of DTC patients who lose the capability to concentrate RAI into the target lesion is well understood, more controversy surrounds cases where RAIR is associated with disease progression despite good RAI uptake. For these patients, evaluating the risk-to-reward ratio is crucial. After a cumulative dose of 600 mCi, the risk of side effects increases, while the likelihood of achieving a cure decreases. Therefore, the decision to continue RAI treatment should be made on a case-by-case basis, considering the patient's previous response to RAI administration [18–20]. Table 1 summarizes all the conditions where the ATA 2015 guidelines define a DTC as RAIR.

Table 1. ATA 2015 RAIR categories.

I.	Malignant/metastatic tissue cannot concentrate RAI on a diagnostic radioiodine scan.
II.	Malignant tissue cannot concentrate RAI on a post- ¹³¹ I therapy scan.
III.	The tumor loses the ability to concentrate RAI after previous evidence of RAI-avid disease.
IV.	RAI is concentrated in some lesions only.
V.	Metastasis progression even with significant RAI uptake.
VI.	>600 mCi of cumulated ¹³¹ I therapy.

Radioactive iodine-refractory (RAIR); radioactive iodine (RAI).

Nevertheless, RAIR categories defined by ATA 2015 may appear over-restrictive in the view of a personalized medicine approach and should not be considered definitive. Martiniq principles were proposed in 2019 when some experts proposed that the feasibility of RAI therapy in DTC patients should be discussed case by case, not excluding it a priori when a DTC patient falls in an ATA 2015 RAIR category. Indeed, RAIR definition criteria will be subject to evolution due to recent introduction of re-differentiation therapies [21].

The risk of RAIR-DTC can rise in elderly patients with an aggressive histological DTC subtype and with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis. In these patients, cancer heterogeneity increases with RAI uptake inhomogeneity into target lesions, so RAI therapy can be less effective [3,22]. The prevalence of RAIR-DTC amounts to approximately 15% of DTC patients, particularly those with distant metastases at diagnosis and older age. BRAF and RAS kinase mutations are the more frequent alterations in follicular thyroid cancer (FTC) [8,14,23]. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) or mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) activation pathways are the main mechanisms involved in RAIR with under-expression of sodium–iodine symporter (NIS) and the overexpression of glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) [2,10,24,25]. From a functional point of view, DTC cells progressively lose the capability of accumulating iodine, but gain extra energetic substrates that can sustain the increased metabolic requirement of cancer cells.

3. Identifying RAIR

¹³¹I gamma emission can be used for diagnostic purposes with a whole-body scan (WBS) performed by a gamma camera. According to the ¹³¹I dose administered, WBS can be defined as diagnostic WBS (D-WBS) or post-therapy WBS (PT-WBS) according to low or high activity used. While PT-WBS can be considered a good negative detector of RAIR, the same consideration cannot be reserved to diagnostic ¹³¹I-whole-body scan (D-WBS). RAI activity, acquisition time, γ -camera model and TSH stimulation play a role in D-WBS accuracy and sensibility. In particular, low-administered-RAI activity for D-WBS acquisition would not allow the detection of all the RAI-avid foci [26–28].

Nevertheless, the added value of performing ¹³¹I-single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)–computed tomography (CT) has been debated since the introduction of this hybrid method. Some authors put the light on the better detection ability derived from the attenuation correction algorithms and from the morphological imaging acquired simultaneously [29–31]. In cases of equivocal findings on planar WBS images, PT-¹³¹I-SPECT/CT can differentiate remnant thyroid from lymph-nodal accumulation. Indeed, focal uptake of uncertain source may be defined as para-physiological or metastatic with fine body district localization [31–33]. Thus, the initial staging of DTC, as with patient risk assessment, can be corrected by additional imaging findings.

Patients who present negative imaging of ¹³¹I-WBS (despite D-WBS or PT-WBS) and abnormally elevated serum Tg levels should receive adequate attention, because this always indicates the presence of RAI-refractory disease.

RAIR often occurs in advanced DTC patients, when cancer heterogeneity increases along with aggressiveness. Additionally, RAI accumulation can vary lesion by lesion [34,35].

Molecular imaging reflects these changes accordingly. RAI accumulation decreases while ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) uptake increases. This is called the “flip-flop” phenomenon and it is directly correlated with DTC dedifferentiation and aggressiveness [11,12,36,37].

¹⁸F-FDG positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (TC) can be evaluated qualitatively by visual uptake detection and quantitatively by SUV estimation.

In RAIR-DTC, usually ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and SUV are increased compared to DTC. Nevertheless, SUV may be considered a good predictor of cancer growth speed in DTC [38,39].

¹⁸F-FDG DTC-positive findings are also correlated with poorer prognosis, as demonstrated by various scientific papers [2,12,36,39–41]. Some authors also suggest a good correlation between ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and the presence of BRAF v600e mutation in DTC cells [42,43].

4. Current Molecular Imaging and Care Options

Routine RAI imaging in clinical settings involves both regional and planar WBS and SPECT methods. However, there are no standardized quantitative methods for assessing response. Instead, response criteria often rely on visually assessed decreases in tumor uptake during post-treatment follow-up. It is important to consider the potential for functional tumor de-differentiation over the course of the disease when interpreting decreased RAI uptake in follow-up scans. To assess this possibility, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scans, which reflect tumor glycolytic activity, should be used.

It is now possible to target aberrant cellular pathways and to provide additional treatment options for patients with otherwise poor prognoses due to the identification of multiple molecular alterations in advanced thyroid cancer.

For RAI-DTC, the current standard of care involves treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs).

The first-line setting includes both sorafenib and lenvatinib, as established by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines [44]. However, some patients manifest RET or NTRK fusions, and the standard of care has to be changed accordingly. More than half of patients show BRAF mutation, but the efficacy of BRAF inhibitors is not better than lenvatinib, and they are reserved to later therapy options [45].

Before initiating lenvatinib, blood pressure must be under control, but in cases of difficulty, sorafenib should be adopted. Selective RET inhibitors such as selpercatinib or larotrectinib should be preferred in patients with fusion detection. However, in cases of BRAF positivity, lenvatinib remains preferable, with BRAF inhibitors reserved for later lines of therapy. In the second-line setting, cabozantinib is also authorized and considered standard therapy.

The main challenges in managing RAI-refractory differentiated thyroid cancer (RAIR-DTC) include the onset of resistance and adverse events. To extend the efficacy of systemic therapy, local treatments such as surgery or external radiation should be considered for single progressing lesions. Although sorafenib and lenvatinib therapies are associated with adverse events, patients may achieve optimal outcomes and should be encouraged to adhere to treatment to avoid unnecessary dose reductions or treatment withdrawal.

5. Future Diagnostic and Therapeutic Perspectives

RAIR-DTC biochemical characteristics imply the need to research alternative targeted imaging tracers to iodine. Advanced cancer cells show some molecular pathway activation and mechanism similarities, so some tracers used in other cancer imaging could be adopted.

Integrin $\alpha v \beta 3$ is involved in tumor angiogenesis and can be a potential imaging target for cancer growth using radiolabeled arginylglycylaspartic acid (RGD) peptides in DTC patients who had negative ¹³¹I-WBS, but elevated Tg levels [46–49]. Additionally, it has been suggested that ^{99m}Tc-3PRGD2 uptake can predict the disease progression after initial RAI therapy in high-risk DTC patients [50]. RGD peptides can also be labeled with positron-emitting radionuclides for PET/TC application [51]. Chernaya et al. reported that BRAF mutation is linked with different expression levels of integrin receptors in DTC. In this scenario RGD imaging can be proposed under individualized conditions [52].

Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) ligands are a recent introduction in prostate cancer theragnostics [53–55]. PSMA overexpression has also been found in tumor neovasculature in various other tumors [56,57]. The expression of PSMA in thyroid tissue has been examined by some authors. Bychkov et al. enrolled 267 patients and found that

PSMA was expressed in DTC neovasculature, but not in healthy tissue [58]. Similar results were found by Heitkotter and coworkers when comparing PSMA expression in thyroid cancer and benign thyroid diseases [59]. Hence, PSMA imaging in RAI-DTC should be feasible. One study investigated PSMA uptake prospectively in 10 patients with 32 DTC metastatic lesions: ^{68}Ga -PSMA PET/CT uptake was consistent (30/32 detected metastasis) and performance was superior to ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT (23/32 detected metastasis) [60]. Verburg et al. in 2015 [61] and Lütje et al. in 2017 [62] demonstrated a possible role of ^{68}Ga -HBED-CC-PSMA PET/CT for staging patients with RAI-DTC metastases and for select patients eligible for PSMA radioactive labeled therapy. More recently, de Vries and coworkers explored the possible use of ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 therapy in five RAI-DTC patients that showed ^{68}Ga -PSMA PET/CT uptake in distant metastasis foci. Only two of them were considered eligible for ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 administration and only one of them established a temporary response [63]. These results need to be used to better define the possible role of PSMA ligands as a basis for future studies.

Somatostatin receptor (SSTR) types 2, 3, and 5 have been demonstrated in various studies in DTC cells and also in normal thyroid tissue and benign thyroid diseases [64–68]. Radiolabeled somatostatin analogues, such as octreotide and lanreotide marked with ^{68}Ga -DOTA, have seen reasonably large use in PET/CT SSTR imaging in recent years, especially in neuroendocrine tumor (NET) imaging [69–73]. However, the role of SSTR tracers in RAI-DTC remains unclear. In 2020, Donohoe and colleagues published a document on the appropriate use of the available nuclear medicine methods, including ^{68}Ga -DOTATATE PET/CT and ^{177}Lu -labeled SSTR tracers in RAI-DTC. The committee stated that there was insufficient evidence to correlate Tg increase with ^{68}Ga -DOTATATE PET/CT imaging positivity. Therefore ^{177}Lu -labeled SSTR tracers should be considered in the therapeutic choices of RAI-DTC patients that have demonstrated SSTR tracer imaging positivity [74].

Similarly to PSMA, radiolabeled choline PET/CT has found consistency in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. Thyroid uptake has been recorded in some ^{18}F -choline PET/CT for prostate cancer diagnosis and staging [75,76]. ^{18}F -choline PET/CT has also been investigated for detection of DTC metastases negative on ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT. Piccardo et al. evaluated 25 patients with high-risk RAI-DTC with both ^{18}F -FDG and ^{18}F -choline PET/CT. They found a good correlation with Tg doubling time and ^{18}F -choline uptake. Thus, ^{18}F -choline outperformed ^{18}F -FDG in terms of sensitivity, specificity, and negative predictive value [77]. ^{18}F -choline PET/CT should be considered in addition to ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT DTC lesions.

More recently, attention has also moved to the tumor microenvironment (TME), a complex system composed of extracellular matrix, immune cells, fibroblast, endothelial cells, and signaling compounds. It has been demonstrated that the TME plays an important role in tumorigenesis and progression [78]. Of note, fibroblast function is shifted and promotes tumor growth, so these can be defined as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and express the fibroblast activation protein (FAP) [78,79]. FAP can be targeted by FAP inhibitors (FAPis) and used in nuclear medicine theragnostic applications [80].

A possible RAI-DTC application for FAPi has been explored by Chen and coworkers, who studied a population of 24 patients [81]. All of them underwent ^{68}Ga -DOTA-FAPi-04 PET/CT and the detection rate was fairly good (87.5%). Ballal and coworkers compared ^{68}Ga -DOTA-FAPi-04 PET/CT versus ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT in 117 patients with RAI-DTC and demonstrated superior performance in metastasis detection of radiolabeled FAPi over ^{18}F -FDG [82]. After these results, Ballal et al. performed a pilot study aimed at evaluating a possible therapeutic use of ^{177}Lu -DOTAGA.(SA.FAPi)₂ in 15 RAI-DTC patients that had failed on all of the standard options of systemic drugs [83]. At the end of the therapy cycles, the response rate was 92% and a complete response was achieved in 23% of patients.

¹⁷⁷Lu-EB-FAPI was studied by Fu and coworkers in 12 patients with RAIR-DTC in a dose-escalation trial. The results, evaluated with RECIST 1.1 criteria [84], were a partial response in 25% of patients, stable disease in 58% of patients, and progression in 17% of patients [85].

Retinoic acids have been studied in thyroid function, and their impairment is often associated with iodine deficit and thyroid autoimmune disorders. Some authors suggested that retinoids are involved in gene regulation and NIS expression and potentially could be used in DTC treatment when RAI avidity decreases [86,87]. Pak and coworkers [88] and Groener et al. [89] explored the retinoic acid administration in RAIR-DTC patients for re-differentiation purposes and RAI administration eligibility. Both studies reported that a minority of patients responded to retinoid administration.

Selumetinib is an MAPK kinase (MEK) 1 and MEK2 inhibitor that has been proposed to reverse refractoriness to RAI. A cluster of RAIR-DTC patients were included in experimental selumetinib administration by Ho et al. [90]. Patient RAI uptake was studied by a ¹²⁴I-PET/TC scan, performed before and after 4 weeks of selumetinib treatment, for dosimetry purposes. Eight (four with BRAF mutation and five with NRAS mutation) of the twenty patients received RAI due to the optimal RAI dose to lesions (≥ 2000 cGy). Five of eight obtained a partial response, while three achieved stability of disease [90].

Larson and coworkers also found an increase in RAI uptake after selumetinib administration in 20 RAIR-DTC patients studied with ¹²⁴I-PET/TC scan [91].

The ASTRA phase III trial investigated selumetinib and RAI synergic administration in 233 high-risk DTC patients with high likelihood of RAIR. In sum, 78 patients received placebo and 155 patients received selumetinib and RAI adjuvant therapy. The tandem drug administration failed to improve the complete response rate in this patient cluster [92].

Sorafenib and lenvatinib are multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors (mTKIs) recently approved for use in RAIR-DTC [93–96]. Progression-free survival (PFS) achieved using these drugs is good, but neither overall survival (OS) nor quality of life (QOL) would match the patient's needs. Numerous adverse events have been reported and the treatment is usually prolonged until progression, so the development of resistance has to be expected [94,95]. There is expanding evidence that mTKIs can induce a sort of re-differentiation in RAIR-DTC cells, promoting NIS exposition on cell membranes and re-inducing a possible RAI sensibility. Irvani et al. studied a re-differentiation protocol in six RAIR-DTC patients harboring the BRAF v600e mutation. The therapy was targeted to MEK with trametinib and the v600e mutation of BRAF with dabrafenib and trametinib. RAI uptake was demonstrated in four of six patients, and one of them achieved a complete response after therapeutic RAI administration [97]. Leboulleux and coworkers developed a phase II prospective trial based on re-differentiation therapy with dabrafenib and trametinib, followed by a fixed RAI administration of 5550 MBq. The RAIR-DTC status was demonstrated by a D-WBS prior to mTKI administration [98]. Eleven patients were enrolled and ten of them received RAI therapy. After 6 months, RECIST criteria defined a partial response in 20% of patients and stable disease in 70% of patients. Unfortunately, 10% of patients showed a progression of the disease. Metabolic assessment was performed with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT and results were similar to RECIST evaluation (partial response in 25%, stable disease in 63%, and progression in 13% of patients) [98]. Balakirouchenane et coworkers studied 22 patients undergoing re-differentiation therapy followed by RAI administration. They found a linkage between lower mTKi plasma concentration and RAI uptake [99]. Leboulleux et al. studied 24 patients with RAIR-DTC (confirmed by D-WBS) with small metastases that underwent a re-differentiation protocol with dabrafenib–trametinib tandem administration for 42 days [95]. A 5550 MBq RAI therapy was administered at day 28 after rh-TSH stimulation and a first evaluation of response was assessed by RECIST criteria after 6 months. If a partial response was reached, a second RAI could be administered after 6 or 12 months. Progression was diagnosed in 10% of patients, while partial or stable disease was achieved in 38% and 52% of patients,

respectively. Ten patients received a second RAI administration: one of them obtained a complete response and six obtained a partial response at 6-month evaluation. One patient died because of progressive disease within 24 months. Despite the evidence of adverse events being common (96% of patients), the re-differentiation protocol was considered a good option for RAIR-DTC patients with small metastases.

6. A Case of Re-Differentiation

A 59-year-old man underwent total thyroidectomy in 2016 and a subsequent left cervical lymphadenectomy based on evidence of papillary thyroid carcinoma with lymph node metastases (pT1b N1b Mx). 5550 MBq of RAI were administered within 6 months from surgery. Nevertheless, Tg levels returned, detectable after some years from the first RAI therapy, so a second dose of 5550 MBq of ^{131}I was administered. The PT-WBS did not show abnormal uptake foci (Figure 1), while Tg blood level was 378 pg/dL after FT4 withdrawal TSH stimulation and there was evidence of pulmonary nodules on CT examination.





Figure 1. No evidence of pathological RAI uptake foci. (A) PT-WBS anterior view; (B) PT-WBS posterior view.

The patient was defined as RAIR and the presence of BRAF v600e mutation was identified by molecular investigation. A re-differentiation protocol was attempted with the administration of dabrafenib and trametinib for 42 days. A third dose of 5550 MBq RAI was administered at the 28th day of dabrafenib and trametinib administration, under rhTSH stimulation.

A PT-WBS scan demonstrated high RAI uptake in the pulmonary area and left cervical region (Figure 2).



(A)

(B)

Figure 2. Focal RAI accumulation in upper-left cervical region: diffuse and intense RAI uptake in pulmonary field. (A) PT-WBS anterior view; (B) PT-WBS posterior view.

PT-SPECT/TC demonstrated RAI diffuse uptake in pulmonary parenchyma and left posterior mandibular lymph node (Figure 3). Tg blood levels also increased to 3183 pg/dL after rhTSH stimulation, suggesting that the re-differentiation protocol must have worked at different molecular levels.




Figure 3. (A) High RAI accumulation in posterior mandibular lymph node. (B) Diffuse, intense, and bilateral RAI uptake in pulmonary tissue.

7. Advanced RAI-R-DTC Treatment

When cancer progresses, it accumulates mutations and acquires multiple drug resistance. In this setting, different molecular targets have to be explored to obtain a clinical benefit. In RAI-R-DTC, MAPK pathway alterations are involved in cancer de-differentiation and proliferation, so several drugs have been tested in this condition [100].

Lenvatinib is a broad-spectrum TKI directed at vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFRs), fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) 1–4, C-KIT, RET protooncogene, and platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFR- α). It was first approved for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma, but recently it has been introduced in RAI-R-DTC therapy options. While overall response rate and disease control are acceptable, the main lenvatinib shortcoming is the onset of important adverse events that can interfere with therapy continuation [101].

Similarly to lenvatinib, sorafenib is an oral antiangiogenic agent. In the DECISION trial, sorafenib resulted in a significant improvement in progression-free survival over placebo in a setting of RAI-R-DTC patients who showed progression after RAI therapy [102]. Cabozantinib is a relatively new entrant to broad-spectrum TKIs. In the COSMIC-311 trial, it was compared to placebo in previously TKI-treated RAI-R-DTC patients, demonstrating superior efficacy with acceptable side effect onset [103].

Vandetanib was tested in RAI-R-DTC patients versus placebo in the VERIFY study. Researchers found that this compound failed to obtain an improvement over placebo and in addition introduced an increase in adverse events and deaths [104].

The need for new molecular targets has led to the introduction of sarco/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase (SERCA) inhibitors when RAI-R-DTC develops resistance to TKIs. In some studies, SERCAi reached in vitro tumor control after TKI therapy failed [105,106].

In this scenario, the role of single-stranded mature microRNAs (miRNAs) has been investigated. MiRNAs are small sequences of nucleotides that lack coding capability, but are involved in post-transcriptional gene expression. Some miRNAs have been linked to

DTC tumorigenesis [107], others have been proposed as biomarker for relapse detection [107,108], and others, such as miR-139-5p, have been suggested as an RAIR pathogenesis explanation [109]. When DTC cells take the way of de-differentiation, this leads to increased aggressivity, metastasis onset, and worse prognosis [2,40,110].

8. Conclusions

Understanding DTC functional differentiation requires understanding of its complexity, and it is necessary to build clear criteria for response evaluation. Tumor genomics insights are progressing rapidly, and the chimera of individualized therapy becomes more perceivable real time progresses. Despite that, RAIR-DTC still represents a challenging nosological entity. There are still controversies on RAIR-DTC definition, and post-RAI therapy evaluation with PT-WBS is the only validated criterion of response. Avoiding unnecessary RAI radiation exposure and sub-optimal interventions for patients are current concerns. There is a current need to predict RAIR-DTC before RAI therapy and individualizing therapeutic choices. Thus, molecular imaging is advancing with molecular biochemistry research and should aim for RAIR-DTC prediction, targeted therapy, and optimal onset timing to select second-line treatment strategies in advance.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.V. and C.N.; methodology, F.V.; validation, S.M., E.D.D. and E.Z.; formal analysis, F.V.; investigation, F.V.; resources, F.V.; data curation, F.V.; writing—original draft preparation, F.V.; writing—review and editing, F.V. and C.N.; visualization, M.K.; supervision, M.K.; project administration, C.N.; funding acquisition, A.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Haugen, B.R.; Alexander, E.K.; Bible, K.C.; Doherty, G.M.; Mandel, S.J.; Nikiforov, Y.E.; Pacini, F.; Randolph, G.W.; Sawka, A.M.; Schlumberger, M.; et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid Off. J. Am. Thyroid Assoc.* **2016**, *26*, 1–133. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2015.0020>.
- Klain, M.; Nappi, C.; Zampella, E.; Cantoni, V.; Green, R.; Piscopo, L.; Volpe, F.; Manganelli, M.; Caiazzo, E.; Petretta, M.; et al. Ablation Rate after Radioactive Iodine Therapy in Patients with Differentiated Thyroid Cancer at Intermediate or High Risk of Recurrence: A Systematic Review and a Meta-Analysis. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2021**, *48*, 4437–4444. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05440-x>.
- Worden, F.; Rajkovic-Hooley, O.; Reynolds, N.; Milligan, G.; Zhang, J. Real-World Treatment Patterns and Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Radioiodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer (RAI-R DTC) Treated with First Line Lenvatinib Monotherapy in the United States. *Endocrine* **2023**, *84*, 663–669. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-023-03638-7>.
- Chou, R.; Dana, T.; Brent, G.A.; Goldner, W.; Haymart, M.; Leung, A.M.; Ringel, M.D.; Sosa, J.A. Serum Thyroglobulin Measurement Following Surgery Without Radioactive Iodine for Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Thyroid Off. J. Am. Thyroid Assoc.* **2022**, *32*, 613–639. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2021.0666>.
- Schlumberger, M.; Leboulloux, S. Current Practice in Patients with Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2021**, *17*, 176–188. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-020-00448-z>.
- Gordon, A.J.; Dublin, J.C.; Patel, E.; Papazian, M.; Chow, M.S.; Persky, M.J.; Jacobson, A.S.; Patel, K.N.; Suh, I.; Morris, L.G.T.; et al. American Thyroid Association Guidelines and National Trends in Management of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *JAMA Otolaryngol. Neck Surg.* **2022**, *148*, 1156–1163. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2022.3360>.
- Schlumberger, M.; Leboulloux, S.; Catargi, B.; Deandreis, D.; Zerdoud, S.; Bardet, S.; Rusu, D.; Godbert, Y.; Buffet, C.; Schwartz, C.; et al. Outcome after Ablation in Patients with Low-Risk Thyroid Cancer (ESTIMABL1): 5-Year Follow-up Results of a Randomised, Phase 3, Equivalence Trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **2018**, *6*, 618–626. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(18\)30113-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30113-X).
- Liu, J.; Liu, R.; Shen, X.; Zhu, G.; Li, B.; Xing, M. The Genetic Duet of BRAF V600E and TERT Promoter Mutations Robustly Predicts Loss of Radioiodine Avidity in Recurrent Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2020**, *61*, 177–182. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.119.227652>.
- Nakanishi, K.; Kikumori, T.; Miyajima, N.; Takano, Y.; Noda, S.; Takeuchi, D.; Iwano, S.; Kodera, Y. Impact of Patient Age and Histological Type on Radioactive Iodine Avidity of Recurrent Lesions of Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2018**, *43*, 482–485. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000002078>.

10. Simões-Pereira, J.; Mourinho, N.; Ferreira, T.C.; Limbert, E.; Cavaco, B.M.; Leite, V. Avidity and Outcomes of Radioiodine Therapy for Distant Metastasis of Distinct Types of Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2021**, *106*, e3911–e3922. <https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgab436>.
11. Pace, L.; Klain, M.; Salvatore, B.; Nicolai, E.; Zampella, E.; Assante, R.; Pellegrino, T.; Storto, G.; Fonti, R.; Salvatore, M. Prognostic Role of 18F-FDG PET/CT in the Postoperative Evaluation of Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Patients. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2015**, *40*, 111. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000000621>.
12. Salvatore, B.; Klain, M.; Nicolai, E.; D'Amico, D.; De Matteis, G.; Raddi, M.; Fonti, R.; Pellegrino, T.; Storto, G.; Cuocolo, A.; et al. Prognostic Role of FDG PET/CT in Patients with Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Treated with 131-Iodine Empiric Therapy. *Medicine* **2017**, *96*, e8344. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000008344>.
13. Celik, M.; Bulbul, B.Y.; Ayturk, S.; Durmus, Y.; Gurkan, H.; Can, N.; Tastekin, E.; Ustun, F.; Sezer, A.; Guldiken, S. The Relation between BRAFV600E Mutation and Clinicopathological Characteristics of Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *Med. Glas. Ljek. Komore Zenicko-Doboj. Kantona* **2020**, *17*, 30–34. <https://doi.org/10.17392/1086-20>.
14. Wu, Y.; Shi, L.; Zhao, Y.; Chen, P.; Cui, R.; Ji, M.; He, N.; Wang, M.; Li, G.; Hou, P. Synergistic Activation of Mutant TERT Promoter by Sp1 and GABPA in BRAFV600E-Driven Human Cancers. *Npj Precis. Oncol.* **2021**, *5*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-020-00140-5>.
15. Schlumberger, M.; Lacroix, L.; Russo, D.; Filetti, S.; Bidart, J.-M. Defects in Iodide Metabolism in Thyroid Cancer and Implications for the Follow-up and Treatment of Patients. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2007**, *3*, 260–269. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncpendmet0449>.
16. Namwongprom, S.; Dejkhamron, P.; Unachak, K. Success Rate of Radioactive Iodine Treatment for Children and Adolescent with Hyperthyroidism. *J. Endocrinol. Invest.* **2021**, *44*, 541–545. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40618-020-01339-w>.
17. Shogbesan, G.; Muzahir, S.; Bridges, A. Radioiodine Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: Albatross of Patients and Physicians. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2022**, *63*, 2693–2693.
18. Schlumberger, M.; Brose, M.; Elisei, R.; Leboulleux, S.; Luster, M.; Pitoia, F.; Pacini, F. Definition and Management of Radioactive Iodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **2014**, *2*, 356–358. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(13\)70215-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(13)70215-8).
19. Finessi, M.; Liberini, V.; Deandreis, D. Definition of Radioactive Iodine Refractory Thyroid Cancer and Redifferentiation Strategies. In *Integrated Diagnostics and Theranostics of Thyroid Diseases*; Giovannella, L., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Germany, 2023; pp. 143–156, ISBN 978-3-031-35213-3.
20. Kiyota, N.; Robinson, B.; Shah, M.; Hoff, A.O.; Taylor, M.H.; Li, D.; Dutcus, C.E.; Lee, E.K.; Kim, S.-B.; Tahara, M. Defining Radioiodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: Efficacy and Safety of Lenvatinib by Radioiodine-Refractory Criteria in the SELECT Trial. *Thyroid* **2017**, *27*, 1135–1141. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2016.0549>.
21. Van Nostrand, D. Selected Controversies of Radioiodine Imaging and Therapy in Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am.* **2017**, *46*, 783–793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecl.2017.04.007>.
22. Deandreis, D.; Rubino, C.; Tala, H.; Leboulleux, S.; Terroir, M.; Baudin, E.; Larson, S.; Fagin, J.A.; Schlumberger, M.; Tuttle, R.M. Comparison of Empiric Versus Whole-Body/Blood Clearance Dosimetry-Based Approach to Radioactive Iodine Treatment in Patients with Metastases from Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2017**, *58*, 717–722. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.116.179606>.
23. Mu, Z.-Z.; Zhang, Y.-Q.; Sun, D.; Lu, T.; Lin, Y.-S. Effect of BRAFV600E and TERT Promoter Mutations on Thyroglobulin Response in Patients With Distant-Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Endocr. Pract. Off. J. Am. Coll. Endocrinol. Am. Assoc. Clin. Endocrinol.* **2022**, *28*, 265–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eprac.2021.12.005>.
24. Sgouros, G.; Bodei, L.; McDevitt, M.R.; Nedrow, J.R. Radiopharmaceutical Therapy in Cancer: Clinical Advances and Challenges. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2020**, *19*, 589–608. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-020-0073-9>.
25. Dotinga, M.; Vriens, D.; van Velden, F.H.P.; Stam, M.K.; Heemskerck, J.W.T.; Dibbets-Schneider, P.; Pool, M.; Rietbergen, D.D.D.; de Geus-Oei, L.-F.; Kapiteijn, E. Reinducing Radioiodine-Sensitivity in Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancer Using Lenvatinib (RESET): Study Protocol for a Single-Center, Open Label Phase II Trial. *Diagnostics* **2022**, *12*, 3154. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12123154>.
26. Jeong, E.; Yoon, J.-K.; Lee, S.J.; Soh, E.Y.; Lee, J.; An, Y.-S. Risk Factors for Indeterminate Response After Radioactive Iodine Therapy in Patients With Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2019**, *44*, 714–718. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000002653>.
27. Tramontini, M.Y.; Nobre, G.M.; Lopes, M.; Carneiro, M.P.; Alves, P.A.G.; de Andrade, F.A.; Vaisman, F.; Corbo, R.; Bulzico, D. High Thyroglobulin and Negative Whole-Body Scan: No Long-Term Benefit of Empiric Radioiodine Therapy. *Endocrine* **2021**, *73*, 398–406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-021-02647-8>.
28. Rosario, P.W.; Mineiro Filho, A.F.C.; Lacerda, R.X.; dos Santos, D.A.; Calsolari, M.R. The Value of Diagnostic Whole-Body Scanning and Serum Thyroglobulin in the Presence of Elevated Serum Thyrotropin during Follow-up of Anti-Thyroglobulin Antibody-Positive Patients with Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma Who Appeared to Be Free of Disease after Total Thyroidectomy and Radioactive Iodine Ablation. *Thyroid Off. J. Am. Thyroid Assoc.* **2012**, *22*, 113–116. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2011.0020>.
29. Al Hatmi, A.; Jain, A.; Mittal, A.K.; Hussain, S. Evaluation of Diagnostic Value of SPECT/CT Imaging in Post-Radioiodine Therapy in Thyroid Cancer. *Sultan Qaboos Univ. Med. J.* **2022**, *22*, 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.18295/squmj.4.2021.054>.

30. Spanu, A.; Nuvoli, S.; Marongiu, A.; Gelo, I.; Mele, L.; Piras, B.; Madeddu, G. Neck Lymph Node Metastasis Detection in Patients with Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma (DTC) in Long-Term Follow-up: A 131I-SPECT/CT Study. *BMC Cancer* **2020**, *20*, 239. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-020-06744-1>.
31. Zilioli, V.; Peli, A.; Panarotto, M.B.; Magri, G.; Alkraisheh, A.; Wiefels, C.; Rodella, C.; Giubbini, R. Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma: Incremental Diagnostic Value of 131I SPECT/CT over Planar Whole Body Scan after Radioiodine Therapy. *Endocrine* **2017**, *56*, 551–559. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-016-1086-3>.
32. Blum, M.; Tiu, S.; Chu, M.; Goel, S.; Friedman, K. I-131 SPECT/CT Elucidates Cryptic Findings on Planar Whole-Body Scans and Can Reduce Needless Therapy with I-131 in Post-Thyroidectomy Thyroid Cancer Patients. *Thyroid Off. J. Am. Thyroid Assoc.* **2011**, *21*, 1235–1247. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2011.0010>.
33. Jiang, L.; Xiang, Y.; Huang, R.; Tian, R.; Liu, B. Clinical Applications of Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography/Computed Tomography in Post-Ablation 131iodine Scintigraphy in Children and Young Adults with Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma. *Pediatr. Radiol.* **2021**, *51*, 1724–1731. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-021-05039-2>.
34. Jannin, A.; Lamartina, L.; Moutarde, C.; Djennaoui, M.; Lion, G.; Chevalier, B.; Vantyghem, M.C.; Deschamps, F.; Hadoux, J.; Baudin, E.; et al. Bone Metastases from Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma: Heterogenous Tumor Response to Radioactive Iodine Therapy and Overall Survival. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2022**, *49*, 2401–2413. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-05697-w>.
35. Zhu, C.; Zhang, M.; Wang, Q.; Jen, J.; Liu, B.; Guo, M. Intratumor Epigenetic Heterogeneity-A Panel Gene Methylation Study in Thyroid Cancer. *Front. Genet.* **2021**, *12*, 714071. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.714071>.
36. Wang, H.; Dai, H.; Li, Q.; Shen, G.; Shi, L.; Tian, R. Investigating 18F-FDG PET/CT Parameters as Prognostic Markers for Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Front. Oncol.* **2021**, *11*, 648658. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.648658>.
37. Albano, D.; Dondi, F.; Mazzeo, A.; Bellini, P.; Rodella, C.; Bertagna, F. Prognostic Role of 2-[18F]FDG PET/CT Metabolic Volume Parameters in Patients Affected by Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma with High Thyroglobulin Level, Negative 131I WBS and Positive 2-[18F]-FDG PET/CT. *Diagn. Basel Switz.* **2021**, *11*, 2189. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11122189>.
38. Terroir, M.; Borget, I.; Bidault, F.; Ricard, M.; Deschamps, F.; Hartl, D.; Tselikas, L.; Dercle, L.; Lumbroso, J.; Baudin, E.; et al. The Intensity of 18FDG Uptake Does Not Predict Tumor Growth in Patients with Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2017**, *44*, 638–646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-016-3551-x>.
39. Singh, I.; Bikas, A.; Garcia, C.A.; Desale, S.; Wartofsky, L.; Burman, K.D. 18F-FDG-PET SUV as a Prognostic Marker of Increasing Size in Thyroid Cancer Tumors. *Endocr. Pract. Off. J. Am. Coll. Endocrinol. Am. Assoc. Clin. Endocrinol.* **2017**, *23*, 182–189. <https://doi.org/10.4158/EP161390.OR>.
40. Klain, M.; Zampella, E.; Piscopo, L.; Volpe, F.; Manganelli, M.; Masone, S.; Pace, L.; Salvatore, D.; Schlumberger, M.; Cuocolo, A. Long-Term Prognostic Value of the Response to Therapy Assessed by Laboratory and Imaging Findings in Patients with Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 4338. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13174338>.
41. Klain, M.; Maurea, S.; Gaudieri, V.; Zampella, E.; Volpe, F.; Manganelli, M.; Piscopo, L.; De Risi, M.; Cuocolo, A. The Diagnostic Role of Total-Body 18F-FDG PET/CT in Patients with Multiple Tumors: A Report of the Association of Thyroid Cancer with Lung or Renal Tumors. *Quant. Imaging Med. Surg.* **2021**, *11*, 4211–4215. <https://doi.org/10.21037/qims-21-36>.
42. Santhanam, P.; Khthir, R.; Solnes, L.B.; Ladenson, P.W. The Relationship of BRAFV600E Mutation Status to Fdg Pet/Ct Avidity in Thyroid Cancer: A Review and Meta-Analysis. *Endocr. Pract. Off. J. Am. Coll. Endocrinol. Am. Assoc. Clin. Endocrinol.* **2018**, *24*, 21–26. <https://doi.org/10.4158/EP-2017-0080>.
43. Chang, J.W.; Park, K.W.; Heo, J.H.; Jung, S.-N.; Liu, L.; Kim, S.M.; Kwon, I.S.; Koo, B.S. Relationship Between 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose Accumulation and the BRAF V600E Mutation in Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *World J. Surg.* **2018**, *42*, 114–122. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-017-4136-y>.
44. Haddad, R.L.; Bischoff, L.; Ball, D.; Bernet, V.; Blomain, E.; Busaidy, N.L.; Campbell, M.; Dickson, P.; Duh, Q.-Y.; Ehya, H.; et al. Thyroid Carcinoma, Version 2.2022, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J. Natl. Compr. Canc. Netw.* **2022**, *20*, 925–951. <https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2022.0040>.
45. Cortas, C.; Charalambous, H. Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors for Radioactive Iodine Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Life* **2024**, *14*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life14010022>.
46. Zhao, J.; Liu, P.; Yu, Y.; Zhi, J.; Zheng, X.; Yu, J.; Gao, M. Comparison of Diagnostic Methods for the Detection of a BRAF Mutation in Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *Oncol. Lett.* **2019**, *17*, 4661–4666. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2019.10131>.
47. Solomon, J.P.; Hechtman, J.F. Detection of NTRK Fusions: Merits and Limitations of Current Diagnostic Platforms. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 3163–3168. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-0372>.
48. Zhang, Y.; Li, Y.; Lin, Z.; Chen, W. Can 99 Tc m -3PRGD 2 (α v β 3) and 18 F-FDG Dual-Tracer Molecular Imaging Change the Therapeutic Strategy for Progressive Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: Case Report. *Medicine* **2023**, *102*, e32751. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000032751>.
49. Gao, R.; Zhang, G.-J.; Wang, Y.-B.; Liu, Y.; Wang, F.; Jia, X.; Liang, Y.-Q.; Yang, A.-M. Clinical Value of 99mTc-3PRGD2 SPECT/CT in Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma with Negative 131I Whole-Body Scan and Elevated Thyroglobulin Level. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 473. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-19036-9>.
50. Liang, Y.; Jia, X.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Yao, X.; Bai, Y.; Han, P.; Chen, S.; Yang, A.; Gao, R. Evaluation of Integrin Avβ3-Targeted Imaging for Predicting Disease Progression in Patients with High-Risk Differentiated Thyroid Cancer (Using 99mTc-3PRGD2). *Cancer Imaging* **2022**, *22*, 72. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40644-022-00511-0>.
51. Parihar, A.S.; Mittal, B.R.; Kumar, R.; Shukla, J.; Bhattacharya, A. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-RGD₂ Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography in Radioiodine Refractory Thyroid Cancer: Prospective Comparison of Diagnostic Accuracy with ¹⁸F-FDG

- Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography and Evaluation Toward Potential Theranostics. *Thyroid* **2020**, *30*, 557–567. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2019.0450>.
52. Chernaya, G.; Mikhno, N.; Khabalova, T.; Svyatchenko, S.; Mostovich, L.; Shevchenko, S.; Gulyaeva, L. The Expression Profile of Integrin Receptors and Osteopontin in Thyroid Malignancies Varies Depending on the Tumor Progression Rate and Presence of BRAF V600E Mutation. *Surg. Oncol.* **2018**, *27*, 702–708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suronc.2018.09.007>.
 53. Volpe, F.; Nappi, C.; Piscopo, L.; Zampella, E.; Mainolfi, C.G.; Ponsiglione, A.; Imbriaco, M.; Cuocolo, A.; Klain, M. Emerging Role of Nuclear Medicine in Prostate Cancer: Current State and Future Perspectives. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15194746>.
 54. Hofman, M.S.; Emmett, L.; Sandhu, S.; Irvani, A.; Joshua, A.M.; Goh, J.C.; Pattison, D.A.; Tan, T.H.; Kirkwood, I.D.; Ng, S.; et al. [177Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 versus Cabazitaxel in Patients with Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (TheraP): A Randomised, Open-Label, Phase 2 Trial. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* **2021**, *397*, 797–804. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00237-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00237-3).
 55. Alan-Selcuk, N.; Beydagi, G.; Demirci, E.; Ocak, M.; Celik, S.; Oven, B.B.; Toklu, T.; Karaaslan, I.; Akcay, K.; Sonmez, O.; et al. Clinical Experience with [225Ac]Ac-PSMA Treatment in Patients with [177Lu]Lu-PSMA-Refractory Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2023**, *64*, 1574–1580. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.123.265546>.
 56. Demirci, E.; Ocak, M.; Kabasakal, L.; Decristoforo, C.; Talat, Z.; Halaç, M.; Kanmaz, B. (68)Ga-PSMA PET/CT Imaging of Metastatic Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2014**, *41*, 1461–1462. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-014-2766-y>.
 57. Chang, S.S.; Reuter, V.E.; Heston, W.D.; Bander, N.H.; Grauer, L.S.; Gaudin, P.B. Five Different Anti-Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen (PSMA) Antibodies Confirm PSMA Expression in Tumor-Associated Neovasculature. *Cancer Res.* **1999**, *59*, 3192–3198.
 58. Bychkov, A.; Vutrapongwatana, U.; Tepmongkol, S.; Keelawat, S. PSMA Expression by Microvasculature of Thyroid Tumors—Potential Implications for PSMA Theranostics. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 5202. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05481-z>.
 59. Heitkötter, B.; Steinestel, K.; Trautmann, M.; Grünwald, I.; Barth, P.; Gevensleben, H.; Bögemann, M.; Wardelmann, E.; Hartmann, W.; Rahbar, K.; et al. Neovascular PSMA Expression Is a Common Feature in Malignant Neoplasms of the Thyroid. *Oncotarget* **2018**, *9*, 9867–9874. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.23984>.
 60. Verma, P.; Malhotra, G.; Agrawal, R.; Sonavane, S.; Meshram, V.; Asopa, R.V. Evidence of Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen Expression in Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Using 68Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC PET/CT. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2018**, *43*, e265–e268. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000002161>.
 61. Verburg, F.A.; Krohn, T.; Heinzel, A.; Mottaghy, F.M.; Behrendt, F.F. First Evidence of PSMA Expression in Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Using [68Ga]PSMA-HBED-CC PET/CT. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2015**, *42*, 1622–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-015-3065-y>.
 62. Lütje, S.; Gomez, B.; Cohnen, J.; Umutlu, L.; Gotthardt, M.; Poeppel, T.D.; Bockisch, A.; Rosenbaum-Krumme, S. Imaging of Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen Expression in Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Using 68Ga-HBED-CC-PSMA PET/CT. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2017**, *42*, 20–25. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000001454>.
 63. de Vries, L.H.; Lodewijk, L.; Braat, A.J.A.T.; Krijger, G.C.; Valk, G.D.; Lam, M.G.E.H.; Borel Rinkes, I.H.M.; Vriens, M.R.; de Keizer, B. 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT in Radioactive Iodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer and First Treatment Results with 177Lu-PSMA-617. *EJNMMI Res.* **2020**, *10*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13550-020-0610-x>.
 64. Pishdad, R.; Treglia, G.; Mehta, A.; Santhanam, P. Somatostatin Receptor Imaging of Thyroid Tissue and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Using Gallium-68-Labeled Radiotracers—A Review of Clinical Studies. *Endocrine* **2024**. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-024-03779-3>.
 65. Sancak, S.; Hardt, A.; Singer, J.; Klöppel, G.; Eren, F.T.; Güllüoğlu, B.M.; Sen, L.S.; Sever, Z.; Akalin, N.S.; Eszlinger, M.; et al. Somatostatin Receptor 2 Expression Determined by Immunohistochemistry in Cold Thyroid Nodules Exceeds That of Hot Thyroid Nodules, Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma, and Graves' Disease. *Thyroid Off. J. Am. Thyroid Assoc.* **2010**, *20*, 505–511. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2009.0203>.
 66. Pisarek, H.; Stepień, T.; Kubiak, R.; Borkowska, E.; Pawlikowski, M. Expression of Somatostatin Receptor Subtypes in Human Thyroid Tumors: The Immunohistochemical and Molecular Biology (RT-PCR) Investigation. *Thyroid Res.* **2009**, *2*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-6614-2-1>.
 67. Klagge, A.; Krause, K.; Schierle, K.; Steinert, F.; Dralle, H.; Fuhrer, D. Somatostatin Receptor Subtype Expression in Human Thyroid Tumours. *Horm. Metab. Res. Horm. Stoffwechselforschung Horm. Metab.* **2010**, *42*, 237–240. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1243636>.
 68. Teunissen, J.J.M.; Kwekkeboom, D.J.; Kooij, P.P.M.; Bakker, W.H.; Krenning, E.P. Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy for Non-Radioiodine-Avid Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma. *J. Nucl. Med. Off. Publ. Soc. Nucl. Med.* **2005**, *46* (Suppl. S1), 107S–114S.
 69. Piscopo, L.; Zampella, E.; Pellegrino, S.; Volpe, F.; Nappi, C.; Gaudieri, V.; Fonti, R.; Vecchio, S.D.; Cuocolo, A.; Klain, M. Diagnosis, Management and Theragnostic Approach of Gastro-Entero-Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasms. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 3483. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15133483>.
 70. Park, H.; Subramaniam, R.M. Diagnosis and Treatment of Lung Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: Somatostatin Receptor PET Imaging and Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy. *PET Clin* **2023**, *18*, 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpet.2022.11.005>.
 71. Gallicchio, R.; Giordano, A.; Milella, M.; Storto, R.; Pellegrino, T.; Nardelli, A.; Nappi, A.; Tarricone, L.; Storto, G. Ga-68-Edotreotide Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography Somatostatin Receptors Tumor Volume Predicts Outcome

- in Patients With Primary Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors. *Cancer Control* **2023**, *30*, 10732748231152328. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10732748231152328>.
72. Fortunati, E.; Bonazzi, N.; Zanoni, L.; Fanti, S.; Ambrosini, V. Molecular Imaging Theranostics of Neuroendocrine Tumors. *Semin. Nucl. Med.* **2023**, *53*, 539–554. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2022.12.007>.
73. Duan, H.; Ferri, V.; Fisher, G.; Shaheen, S.; Davidzon, G.; Moradi, F.; Nguyen, J.; Franc, B.; Iagaru, A.; Aparici, C.M. Evaluation of Interim ⁶⁸Ga-Dotatate PET after Two Cycles of Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy (PRRT) in Neuroendocrine Tumors (NET). *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2023**, *48*, e276.
74. Donohoe, K.J.; Aloff, J.; Avram, A.M.; Bennet, K.G.; Giovanella, L.; Greenspan, B.; Gulec, S.; Hassan, A.; Kloos, R.T.; Solórzano, C.C.; et al. Appropriate Use Criteria for Nuclear Medicine in the Evaluation and Treatment of Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2020**, *61*, 375–396. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.119.240945>.
75. Bertagna, F.; Albano, D.; Giovanella, L.; Giubbini, R.; Treglia, G. F18-Choline/C11-Choline PET/CT Thyroid Incidentalomas. *Endocrine* **2019**, *64*, 203–208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-019-01841-z>.
76. Albano, D.; Durmo, R.; Bertagna, F.; Giubbini, R. 18F-Choline PET/CT Incidental Thyroid Uptake in Patients Studied for Prostate Cancer. *Endocrine* **2019**, *63*, 531–536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-018-01832-6>.
77. Piccardo, A.; Trimboli, P.; Puntoni, M.; Foppiani, L.; Treglia, G.; Naseri, M.; Bottoni, G.L.; Massollo, M.; Sola, S.; Ferrarazzo, G.; et al. Role of 18F-Choline Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography to Detect Structural Relapse in High-Risk Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Patients. *Thyroid Off. J. Am. Thyroid Assoc.* **2019**, *29*, 549–556. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2018.0552>.
78. Fozzatti, L.; Cheng, S. Tumor Cells and Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts: A Synergistic Crosstalk to Promote Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinol. Metab.* **2020**, *35*, 673–680. <https://doi.org/10.3803/EnM.2020.401>.
79. Dvorak Harold F. Tumors: Wounds That Do Not Heal. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1986**, *315*, 1650–1659. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198612253152606>.
80. Kratochwil, C.; Flechsig, P.; Lindner, T.; Abderrahim, L.; Altmann, A.; Mier, W.; Adeberg, S.; Rathke, H.; Röhrich, M.; Winter, H.; et al. ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT: Tracer Uptake in 28 Different Kinds of Cancer. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2019**, *60*, 801. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.119.227967>.
81. Chen, Y.; Zheng, S.; Zhang, J.; Yao, S.; Miao, W. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-FAPI-04 PET/CT Imaging in Radioiodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer (RR-DTC) Patients. *Ann. Nucl. Med.* **2022**, *36*, 610–622. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12149-022-01742-8>.
82. Ballal, S.; Yadav, M.P.; Roesch, F.; Satapathy, S.; Moon, E.S.; Martin, M.; Wakade, N.; Sheokand, P.; Tripathi, M.; Chandekar, K.R.; et al. Head-to-Head Comparison of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTA-SA.FAPi with [¹⁸F]F-FDG PET/CT in Radioiodine-Resistant Follicular-Cell Derived Thyroid Cancers. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2023**, *51*, 233–244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-023-06404-z>.
83. Ballal, S.; Yadav, M.P.; Moon, E.S.; Rösch, F.; ArunRaj, S.T.; Agarwal, S.; Tripathi, M.; Sahoo, R.K.; Bal, C. First-in-Human Experience With ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTAGA.(SA.FAPi)₂ Therapy in an Uncommon Case of Aggressive Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma Clinically Mimicking as Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2022**, *47*, e444–e445. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000004164>.
84. Eisenhauer, E.A.; Therasse, P.; Bogaerts, J.; Schwartz, L.H.; Sargent, D.; Ford, R.; Dancey, J.; Arbuck, S.; Gwyther, S.; Mooney, M.; et al. New Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours: Revised RECIST Guideline (Version 1.1). *Eur. J. Cancer* **2009**, *45*, 228–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026>.
85. Fu, H.; Huang, J.; Zhao, T.; Wang, H.; Chen, Y.; Xu, W.; Pang, Y.; Guo, W.; Sun, L.; Wu, H.; et al. Fibroblast Activation Protein-Targeted Radioligand Therapy with ¹⁷⁷Lu-EB-FAPI for Metastatic Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancer: First-in-Human, Dose-Escalation Study. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2023**, *29*, 4740–4750. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-23-1983>.
86. Farasati Far, B.; Broomand Lomer, N.; Gharedaghi, H.; Sahrai, H.; Mahmoudvand, G.; Karimi Rouzbahani, A. Is Beta-Carotene Consumption Associated with Thyroid Hormone Levels? *Front. Endocrinol.* **2023**, *14*, 1089315. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1089315>.
87. Capriello, S.; Stramazzo, I.; Bagagli, M.F.; Brusca, N.; Virili, C.; Centanni, M. The Relationship between Thyroid Disorders and Vitamin A: A Narrative Minireview. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2022**, *13*, 968215. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.968215>.
88. Pak, K.; Shin, S.; Kim, S.-J.; Kim, I.-J.; Chang, S.; Koo, P.; Kwak, J.; Kim, J.-H. Response of Retinoic Acid in Patients with Radioactive Iodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. *Oncol. Res. Treat.* **2018**, *41*, 100–104. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000484206>.
89. Groener, J.; Gelen, D.; Mogler, C.; Herpel, E.; Toth, C.; Kender, Z.; Peichl, M.; Haufe, S.; Haberkorn, U.; Sulaj, A.; et al. BRAF V600E and Retinoic Acid in Radioiodine-Refractory Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *Horm. Metab. Res.* **2019**, *51*, 69–75. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0765-9078>.
90. Ho, A.L.; Grewal, R.K.; Leboeuf, R.; Sherman, E.J.; Pfister, D.G.; Deandreis, D.; Pentlow, K.S.; Zanzonico, P.B.; Haque, S.; Gavane, S.; et al. Selumetinib-Enhanced Radioiodine Uptake in Advanced Thyroid Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2013**, *368*, 623–632. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1209288>.
91. Larson, S.M.; Osborne, J.R.; Grewal, R.K.; Tuttle, R.M. Redifferentiating Thyroid Cancer: Selumetinib-Enhanced Radioiodine Uptake in Thyroid Cancer. *Mol. Imaging Radionucl. Ther.* **2017**, *26*, 80–86. <https://doi.org/10.4274/2017.26.suppl.09>.
92. Ho, A.L.; Dedejus, M.; Wirth, L.J.; Tuttle, R.M.; Inabnet, W.B.; Tennvall, J.; Vaisman, F.; Bastholt, L.; Gianoukakis, A.G.; Rodien, P.; et al. Selumetinib Plus Adjuvant Radioactive Iodine in Patients With High-Risk Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Phase III, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial (ASTRA). *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2022**, *40*, 1870–1878. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.21.00714>.

93. Schlumberger, M.; Tahara, M.; Wirth, L.J.; Robinson, B.; Brose, M.S.; Elisei, R.; Habra, M.A.; Newbold, K.; Shah, M.H.; Hoff, A.O.; et al. Lenvatinib versus Placebo in Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *372*, 621–630. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1406470>.
94. Porcelli, T.; Luongo, C.; Sessa, F.; Klain, M.; Masone, S.; Troncone, G.; Bellecine, C.; Schlumberger, M.; Salvatore, D. Long-Term Management of Lenvatinib-Treated Thyroid Cancer Patients: A Real-Life Experience at a Single Institution. *Endocrine* **2021**, *73*, 358–366. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-021-02634-z>.
95. Wirth, L.J.; Durante, C.; Topliss, D.J.; Winkquist, E.; Robenshtok, E.; Iwasaki, H.; Luster, M.; Elisei, R.; Leboulleux, S.; Tahara, M. Lenvatinib for the Treatment of Radioiodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: Treatment Optimization for Maximum Clinical Benefit. *Oncologist* **2022**, *27*, 565–572. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oncolo/oyac065>.
96. Lieberman, L.; Worden, F. Novel Therapeutics for Advanced Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am.* **2022**, *51*, 367–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecl.2021.11.019>.
97. Irvani, A.; Solomon, B.; Pattison, D.A.; Jackson, P.; Ravi Kumar, A.; Kong, G.; Hofman, M.S.; Akhurst, T.; Hicks, R.J. Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Pathway Inhibition for Redifferentiation of Radioiodine Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: An Evolving Protocol. *Thyroid* **2019**, *29*, 1634–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2019.0143>.
98. Leboulleux, S.; Benisvy, D.; Taieb, D.; Attard, M.; Bournaud, C.; Terroir, M.; Ghuzlan, A.A.; Lamartina, L.; Schlumberger, M.J.; Godbert, Y.; et al. MERAIODE: A Redifferentiation Phase II Trial with Trametinib Followed by Radioactive Iodine for Metastatic Radioactive Iodine Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Patients with a RAS Mutation. *Ann. Oncol.* **2021**, *32*, S1204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.08.889>.
99. Balakirouchenane, D.; Seban, R.; Groussin, L.; Puszkiel, A.; Cottreau, A.S.; Clerc, J.; Vidal, M.; Goldwasser, F.; Arrondeau, J.; Blanchet, B.; et al. Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics of Dabrafenib and Trametinib for Redifferentiation and Treatment of Radioactive Iodine-Resistant Mutated Advanced Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid®* **2023**, *33*, 1327–1338. <https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2023.0228>.
100. Boucai, L.; Zafereo, M.; Cabanillas, M.E. Thyroid Cancer: A Review. *JAMA* **2024**, *331*, 425. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.26348>.
101. Hamidi, S.; Boucher, A.; Lemieux, B.; Rondeau, G.; Lebœuf, R.; Ste-Marie, L.-G.; Le, X.K.; Mircescu, H. Lenvatinib Therapy for Advanced Thyroid Cancer: Real-Life Data on Safety, Efficacy, and Some Rare Side Effects. *J. Endocr. Soc.* **2022**, *6*, bvac048. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jendso/bvac048>.
102. Brose, M.S.; Nutting, C.M.; Jarzab, B.; Elisei, R.; Siena, S.; Bastholt, L.; de la Fouchardiere, C.; Pacini, F.; Paschke, R.; Shong, Y.K.; et al. Sorafenib in Radioactive Iodine-Refractory, Locally Advanced or Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Randomised, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Trial. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* **2014**, *384*, 319–328. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60421-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60421-9).
103. Brose, M.S.; Robinson, B.G.; Sherman, S.I.; Jarzab, B.; Lin, C.-C.; Vaisman, F.; Hoff, A.O.; Hitre, E.; Bowles, D.W.; Sen, S.; et al. Cabozantinib for Previously Treated Radioiodine-Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: Updated Results from the Phase 3 COSMIC-311 Trial. *Cancer* **2022**, *128*, 4203–4212. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.34493>.
104. Brose, M.S.; Capdevila, J.; Elisei, R.; Bastholt, L.; Führer-Sakel, D.; Leboulleux, S.; Sugitani, I.; Taylor, M.H.; Wang, Z.; Wirth, L.J.; et al. Vandetanib in Locally Advanced or Metastatic Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Refractory to Radioiodine Therapy. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **2024**, *31*, e230354. <https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-23-0354>.
105. Chang, H.-S.; Kim, Y.; Lee, S.Y.; Yun, H.J.; Chang, H.-J.; Park, K.C. Anti-Cancer SERCA Inhibitors Targeting Sorafenib-Resistant Human Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 7069. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24087069>.
106. Kim, Y.; Yun, H.J.; Choi, K.H.; Kim, C.W.; Lee, J.H.; Weicker, R.; Kim, S.-M.; Park, K.C. Discovery of New Anti-Cancer Agents against Patient-Derived Sorafenib-Resistant Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 16413. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms242216413>.
107. Rosignolo, F.; Sponziello, M.; Giacomelli, L.; Russo, D.; Pecce, V.; Biffoni, M.; Bellantone, R.; Lombardi, C.P.; Lamartina, L.; Grani, G.; et al. Identification of Thyroid-Associated Serum microRNA Profiles and Their Potential Use in Thyroid Cancer Follow-Up. *J. Endocr. Soc.* **2017**, *1*, 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jes.2016-1032>.
108. Celano, M.; Rosignolo, F.; Maggisano, V.; Pecce, V.; Iannone, M.; Russo, D.; Bulotta, S. MicroRNAs as Biomarkers in Thyroid Carcinoma. *Int. J. Genomics* **2017**, *2017*, 6496570. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6496570>.
109. Pecce, V.; Sponziello, M.; Verrienti, A.; Grani, G.; Abballe, L.; Bini, S.; Annunziata, S.; Perotti, G.; Salvatori, M.; Zagaria, L.; et al. The Role of miR-139-5p in Radioiodine-Resistant Thyroid Cancer. *J. Endocrinol. Invest.* **2023**, *46*, 2079–2093. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40618-023-02059-7>.
110. Huang, I.-C.; Chou, F.-F.; Liu, R.-T.; Tung, S.-C.; Chen, J.-F.; Kuo, M.-C.; Hsieh, C.-J.; Wang, P.-W. Long-Term Outcomes of Distant Metastasis from Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma. *Clin. Endocrinol.* **2012**, *76*, 439–447. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2265.2011.04231.x>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.