

ADDITIONS, INTEGRATIONS, CORRECTIONS AND SUPPLEMENTS
TO THE BIBLIOGRAPHY OF ARNOLD JOSEPH TOYNBEE*

by Teodoro Tagliaferri (University of Naples Federico II)

Nos. 351-352
(October 4th, 2023)

Additions to Part I,
Works by Arnold J. Toynbee

1921

351) *Notes. Meetings of the Autumn Session*, in «The Journal of the British Institute of International Affairs», I, 1 (January 1922), p. 30. Gives a very short account (text below, I) of a meeting held at Chatham House on November 22, 1921, and chaired by Arthur Evans, at which Toynbee delivered a revisionist, controversial lecture on the «Greco-Turkish Question». This significant episode (with a critical focus on Evans' strongly philhellenic introduction) is evoked in A.J. Toynbee, *Acquaintances*, London, 1967 (chapter 19, *Some Turkish Friends*), pp. 247-249. It provides the background to Toynbee's letter mentioned in no. 352.

352) A.J. Toynbee, Letter to the Editor, in «The Journal of the British Institute of International Affairs», I, 1 (January 1922), p. 32. Dated January 12, 1922. Text below, II. See also *Editorial*, *ibid.*, p. 5.

* *A Bibliography of Arnold J. Toynbee*, compiled by S. Fiona Morton, with a Foreword by Veronica M. Toynbee, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1980.

I
(no. 351)

On November 22nd, Professor Arnold J. Toynbee, who had recently returned from Asia Minor, where he had spent many months, read a paper on the "Greco-Turkish Question", in which he gave a general account of the problems to be solved in effecting a settlement. A vigorous and keen debate followed, which to a large extent turned on allegations that Greek atrocities were comparable with those of the Turks. Sir Arthur Evans was in the Chair, and the speakers included Major Bettelheim, Mr. Harold Spender, Mr. Leonard Stein, Professor Ernest Gardner, Sir John Stavridi, Sir Valentine Chirol and Mr. Harold Goad.

II
(no. 352)

Sir,

as the first speaker last autumn at a meeting of the British Institute of International Affairs (on the Greco-Turkish Question), which raised rather a controversial discussion, may I take the opportunity of the first publication of the «Journal» to say that I hope the Institute may evolve ways in which the examination, even of controversial questions, may be carried on more academically than is always possible in general meetings.

It seems to me that, in addition to our regular general meetings, it would be an advantage to form smaller groups for the continuous discussion of particular questions as occasion arises. This method would have two advantages: the members of a small group would have less incentive to plead a case than we have in the general meetings, and more chance of learning from one another; and, secondly, in a series of meetings on the same subject there would be more opportunity of carrying the discussion back into the past, which is the best means of viewing contemporary politics without heat and in perspective.

Believing that formal proposals are in fact being made on these lines, I want to advocate them personally here.